

1226 Pickens Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201
info@garberreporting.com
Telephone: (803) 256-4500 | Fax (803) 256-1999

HEARING PROCEEDINGS

November 17, 2021

JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION

REPORTER: Jennifer Nottle

1	STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
2	COUNTY OF RICHLAND)
3	
4	* * * *
5	JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION
6	TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC HEARINGS
7	* * * *
8	BEFORE: REPRESENTATIVE G. MURRELL SMITH, CHAIRMAN
9	SENATOR LUKE A. RANKIN, VICE CHAIRMAN
10	SENATOR RONNIE A. SABB
11	SENATOR SCOTT TALLEY
12	REPRESENTATIVE J. TODD RUTHERFORD
13	MS. HOPE BLACKLEY
14	MR. J.P. "PETE" STROM
15	MS. LUCY GREY MCIVER
16	MR. ANDREW N. SAFRAN
17	REPRESENTATIVE JEFFREY E. JOHNSON
18	MS. ERIN CRAWFORD, CHIEF COUNSEL
19	* * * *
20	DATE: November 17, 2021
21	TIME: 9:58 a.m.
22	LOCATION: Gressette Building
23	1101 Pendleton Street
24	Columbia, South Carolina 29201
25	REPORTED BY: JENNIFER NOTTLE, COURT REPORTER
	1

1	INDEX
2	PAGE:
3	HONORABLE FRANK ROBERT ADDY, JR.
4	- Examination by Ms. Crawford10
5	
6	HONORABLE PERRY H. GRAVELY
7	- Examination by Ms. Ross27
8	
9	HONORABLE ALEX KINLAW, JR.
10	- Examination by Mr. Pearce
11	
12	HONORABLE VICKI J. SNELGROVE
13	- Examination by Ms. Baker51
14	
15	HONORABLE MILTON G. KIMPSON
16	- Examination by Ms. Dean65
17	
18	VOTE73
19	
20	HONORABLE THOMAS M. BULTMAN
21	- Examination by Ms. Ross80
22	
23	HONORABLE CELY ANN BRIGMAN
24	- Examination by Mr. Franklin91
25	

1	HONORABLE DANIEL HALL
2	- Examination by Ms. Wilkinson103
3	Complaint by Ms. Neal118
4	Complaint by Mr. Brackett125
5	- Examination by Representative Rutherford139
6	- Examination by Ms. Blackley158
7	- Examination Mr. Strom159
8	- Re-examination by Representative Rutherford164
9	- Examination by Representative Johnson169
10	- Examination by Mr. Safran176
11	- Examination by Vice Chairman Rankin192
12	
13	Continuation of The Honorable Daniel Hall
14	- Examination by Senator Talley203
15	- Examination by Mr. Safran206
16	- Examination by Representative Rutherford209
17	- Examination by Ms. Blackley216
18	- Re-examination by Ms. Wilkinson224
19	VOTE230
20	Certificate of Reporter232
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	* * * *
2	EXHIBIT INDEX
3	EXHIBITS: PAGE:
4	EXHIBIT NO. 19
5	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable Frank
6	Robert Addy, Jr. (19 pages)
7	EXHIBIT NO. 29
8	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable Frank Robert Addy, Jr.
9	(8 pages)
10	EXHIBIT NO. 326
11	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable Perry H.
12	Gravely (15 pages)
13	EXHIBIT NO. 4
14	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable Perry H. Gravely (8
15	pages)
16	EXHIBIT NO. 537
17	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable Alex
18	Kinlaw, Jr. (12 pages)
19	EXHIBIT NO. 637
20	- Sworn Statement of the Honorable Alex Kinlaw, Jr. (5
21	pages)
22	EXHIBIT NO. 750
23	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable Vicki J.
24	Snelgrove (12 pages)
25	EXHIBIT NO. 850

1	- Amendment to Personal Data Questionnaire for The
2	Honorable Vicki J. Snelgrove (2 pages)
3	EXHIBIT NO. 951
4	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable Vicki J. Snelgrove (5
5	pages)
6	EXHIBIT NO. 1064
7	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable Milton G.
8	Kimpson (15 pages)
9	EXHIBIT NO. 1164
10	- Amendment to Personal Data Questionnaire for The
11	Honorable Milton G. Kimpson (2 pages)
12	EXHIBIT NO. 1265
13	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable Milton G. Kimpson (5
14	pages)
15	EXHIBIT NO. 1379
16	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable Thomas M.
17	Bultman (13 pages)
18	EXHIBIT NO. 14
19	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable Thomas M. Bultman (4
20	pages)
21	EXHIBIT NO. 1590
22	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable Cely Ann
23	Brigman (14 pages)
24	EXHIBIT NO. 1690
25	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable Cely Ann Brigman (6

1	pages)
2	EXHIBIT NO. 17
3	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable Daniel
4	Hall (14 pages)
5	EXHIBIT NO. 18
6	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable Daniel Hall (6 pages)
7	EXHIBIT NO. 19118
8	- Affidavit of Daisy and Eddie Neal (75 pages)
9	EXHIBIT NO. 20124
10	- Response to Neal Complaint (7 pages)
11	EXHIBIT NO. 21127
12	- Affidavit of Kevin Brackett (18 pages)
13	EXHIBIT NO. 22203
14	- Response to Affidavit of Kevin Brackett (23 pages)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right let's come to order.
2	We're going to come to order and get started here
3	it's almost 10:00 o'clock. Senator Rankin moves
4	that we go into executive session, all in favor
5	signify by saying aye.
6	(Ayes are heard.)
7	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed.
8	(No replies are heard.)
9	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ayes have it we are now in executive
10	session.
11	EXECUTIVE SESSION
12	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. We're coming out of
13	executive session. Senator Talley moves that we
14	come out of executive session all in favor
15	signify by saying aye.
16	(Ayes are heard.)
17	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed?
18	(No replies are heard.)
19	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ayes have it. Let me state while we
20	were in executive session, while in executive
21	session for the three minutes, there were no
22	votes taken or any matters decided. We will
23	await the first candidate. Morning Judge Addy,
24	how you doing?
25	JUDGE ADDY: Doing well, y'all?

1	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Doing well. I see you have a guest
2	there, would you like to introduce your guest to
3	us?
4	JUDGE ADDY: My wife Kelly, she was not able to come
5	last time I was down here an she was they did
6	not call her into the ER today to work and so she
7	was kind enough to join me for a little bit of
8	moral support.
9	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Well welcome, Kelly, good
10	to see you.
11	THE HONORABLE FRANK ROBERT ADDY, JR., being duly
12	sworn, testifies as follows:
13	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Before you, you have your sworn
14	statement and your personal data questionnaire.
15	Are those both documents that you've submitted to
16	the commission?
17	JUDGE ADDY: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Are they both correct? Any changes
19	or updates that need to be made at this time?
20	JUDGE ADDY: No.
21	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Do you have any objection to us
22	making those as an exhibit to your sworn
23	testimony here today?
24	JUDGE ADDY: No objection.
25	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Good enough. Without

1 objection we'll make those as an exhibit. 2 the Judicial Merit Selection Commission has 3 thoroughly investigated your qualifications for 4 the bench. Our inquiry, as you know, is focused 5 on the nine evaluated criteria and it also 6 includes a ballot box survey, thorough study of 7 your application materials, verification of your 8 compliance with state ethics laws, search of 9 newspaper articles in which your name appears and 10 study of previous screenings as well as checks 11 for economic conflicts of interest. There have 12 been no affidavits filed in opposition to your 13 candidacy today and there are no witnesses here 14 to testify. Do you wish to make a brief opening 15 statement to the Commission? 16 (Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification 17 purposes - (19 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for 18 The Honorable Frank Robert Addy, Jr.) 19 (Exhibit Number 2 was marked for identification 2.0 purposes - (8 pages) Sworn Statement of The Honorable 21 Frank Robert Addy, Jr.) 22 JUDGE ADDY: I just appreciate being here. Ι 23 appreciate what y'all are doing. I know that 24 y'all have been busy this week and I'm not going 25 to take up your time with a lengthy opening, if

1 that's okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you very much answer any questions that your screening counsel may have for you.

JUDGE ADDY - EXAMINATION BY MS. CRAWFORD:

- Q. Good morning, Judge.
- A. Morning.
 - Q. Judge after serving I guess -- how long have you been on the bench, six?
- | A. Since 2010.
- 11 Q. Right. Why do you want to continue serving?
- Very good question. I do enjoy the job and I will 12 Α. 13 tell you that one of the reasons I do enjoy it, 14 it's nothing that's ever going to show up in the 15 newspaper. It's the opportunity to have a positive 16 effect on people's lives. I mean, truthfully, 17 that's the reason I enjoy this job. 18 certainly not easy but when you come across 19 somebody in the supermarket or a gas station and 20 they approach you and of course you know it's a 21 50/50 proposition about whether you're about to be 22 complimented or cold cut. But you get that 23 compliment, you get expression of appreciation for 24 something that you did for them. 25 incredibly rewarding and I genuinely enjoy that on

1 a personal level. Friends of mine who are 2 attorneys, I ask them because they can speak 3 candidly with me, they tell me I'm good at it and 4 so that's one of the reasons I do want to continue doing it. 5 6 Thank you, judge. What do you think your 0. 7 reputation is among attorneys and then also among 8 court personnel? 9 I'm hoping it's a positive one. I'm thinking it is Α. 10 from what I heard from the bar and from the 11 screening attorney that I had a phone conversation 12 with a few weeks ago. Apparently I'm doing all 13 I'd like to be patient. I like to be on 14 It's important never to lose your temper, 15 listen to everybody, come in prepared, don't just 16 wing it. When you start a term of court or when 17 you start a week, you go in and you know what 18 you're doing, you know what's in front of you, you 19 know what's on deck and I think that helps a lot in 20 terms of keeping things running in an orderly 21 fashion and making progress on the cases that 22 you've got. 23 Okay, Judge. On that note, the Commission received Q. 24 642 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 58

Some of the positive comments

additional comments.

included, "Judge Addy is one of our state's best 1 2 jurists. He is smart, fair, patient and kind. 3 treats everyone in his courtroom with dignity and 4 respect, we are lucky to have him." Also, "Judge 5 Addy is a fantastic judge to appear in front of. 6 He listens, takes his time and makes good rulings 7 and is fair to all parties. An asset to the bench 8 and bar and the people of South Carolina." And 9 another example, "Judge Addy is an excellent trial 10 judge, displays the temperament so necessary for 11 that role and is extremely well spoken and 12 intelligent. He allows lawyers to try their cases 13 and manages his courtroom extremely well." 14 believe there are only two written comments with 15 any kind of concerns and they dealt with your 16 impartiality and that sometimes perhaps you act 17 with bias that you have not been impartial in your 18 rulings and that you allow your personal opinions 19 about litigants to impact your rulings. How would 20 you respond to that? 21 I honestly have no idea where that is coming from. Α. 22 If -- the only thing I could think of and, you 23 know, the screening attorney didn't really bring 24 that to my attention but there was something

similar in the bar surveys that sometimes I seem to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have a preference for certain lawyers. One thing I do -- and I was talking to one of the other judges who was waiting out there. One thing I do when I come into a county and I'm not really familiar with their procedure, for example this week I've been doing Common Pleas, non-jury, mostly and I really think that a lawyer's time is valuable. And instead of just starting at the top of the docket and going down, I will just go out there and I will say okay, I see we have a number of motions that should be fairly quick, debt collections. Who has a quick motion for me, or who has resolved your And I'll just ask for the hands to go up. I'll sometimes ask, if I see a lawyer that I recognize, I'll say I think you're here on something that shouldn't take too long Mr. Smith or whoever. Why don't you come on down, let's go ahead and get that and get you out of here. way you're not keeping 30 or 40 lawyers waiting while you argue a hour motion on summary judgement or something. So in terms of preference, maybe it's because I would call somebody out and say, hey, I know you, I recognize your face. Especially if I'm in a county that's not my home county, I would call them out and I would say I noticed from

the docket that you've got something quick, why
don't we go ahead and knock that out. And then
I'll invite other people to come down and do it.
So that's the only reason that I think that comment
may have been made. That perception. But I'm

certainly very conscious about that now.

Q. Thank you, Judge.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, I'd note that the Piedmont Citizens Committee reported Judge Addy to be qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional qualifications, physical health and mental stability, and well qualified as to the remaining evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, professional and academic ability, character, reputation, experience, and judicial temperament. The Committee stated in summary, "Judge Addy has a long judicial record as a probate judge and Circuit Court judge and he continues to approach his professional challenges with the same level of energy and involvement that characterized his wide range of service to his local community. The Committee found noteworthy his humility, his energetic commitment to resolving legal disputes with compassion, and thoughtfulness, and his deep respect to the judicial profession. The state is

- fortunate to have a person of Judge Addy's
 experience and talent as a Circuit Court judge."
 So, not bad.
- Q. Judge Addy, since submitting your letter of intent have you contacted any members of the Commission --
- 6 A. I have not.
- 7 Q. -- about your candidacy? Are you familiar with §
 8 2-19-70, including limitations of contacting
 9 members --
- 10 A. I am.
- 11 Q. -- of the General Assembly? Have you sought or 12 received the pledge of any legislator --
- 13 A. No, ma'am.
- Q. -- pending -- I mean for this date, or pending the outcome. Have you asked any third parties to contact members of the General Assembly?
- 17 | A. I have not.
- 18 Q. Are you aware of anyone attempting to do so?
- 19 | A. No, I am not.
- Q. And have you reviewed and do you understand the Commission's guidelines on pledging and South Carolina code section § 2-19-70(E)?
- 23 | A. I do.
- MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, I note for the record that any concerns raised during the investigation were

1 incorporated into the questioning of the candidate 2 I have no further questions. today. 3 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you very much. Judge Addy, let me 4 start off and just say a couple of things and thank 5 you for your service to the state of South 6 I looked through your ballot box Carolina. 7 And so, you know, I know this is surveys. 8 anonymous so they can be good and bad and you 9 really don't know what you -- you know, what you 10 get and there's no way for you all to defend it but 11 when I look through yours it's remarkable and I 12 want to congratulate you on that because, you know, 13 sometimes people who don't have any accountability 14 have a good reason to throw stones and there are no 15 stones thrown at you in these. And when I look 16 through this, you're at the top echelon of judges 17 in the state. And we had looked through these 18 every time and to us the Commission and, you know, 19 we're letting every judge know that probably the 20 most important characteristic of a judge is your 21 temperament. How you treat people in the 22 courtroom, how you treat litigants, how you treat 23 the court staff, how you treat the lawyers is very 24 important. You've been -- we've been practicing 25 law for a long time and you know what it's like to

1 get chewed out and be embarrassed in front of your 2 client. 3 I do. I definitely do. JUDGE ADDY: 4 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yeah, and we've all been there and it 5 was a rite of passage for those of us who've been 6 practicing law for a long time. But nonetheless 7 that's not what happens now so I just want to thank 8 you for your service, thank you for what you have 9 done and you have just shown the best in what we 10 have in the judiciary and you are well respected. 11 You've been there for ten years and you only ticked 12 two people off that talks about your temperament 13 out of all those you've done an amazing job over 14 those ten -- over those eleven years. 15 going to have to read you one comment that I find 16 and I've never seen this. We've been -- I quess my 17 fifth or sixth year on this Commission and I want 18 to congratulate you on a unique characteristic you 19 have and apparently it's your voice. 20 someone said it is amazing, has to be an attorney, 21 to hear him speak from the bench. "I know how 22 Moses must have felt on Mt. Sinai receiving the ten 23 commandments --24 Stop, please. His wife is REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: 25 there.

1	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Because Judge I know, I'm trying to
2	make you look good in front of your wife, okay.
3	JUDGE ADDY: That's why I brought her, sir. That's why I
4	brought her.
5	CHAIRMAN SMITH: "Because Judge Addy's practiced baritone
6	is how I believed it sounded on the summit of Mt.
7	Sinai. He is James Earl Jones in a black robe. I
8	would be willing to be sentenced to Judge Addy's
9	most serious sentence of house arrest and
10	monitoring just to hear his dulcet tones announce
11	my future." So
12	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Sounds like a crush to me.
13	CHAIRMAN SMITH: So you have really impressed an
14	attorney.
15	JUDGE ADDY: I really appreciate you reading that into
16	the transcript. When that comes out when that
17	comes out.
18	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Speak a little higher, please. A
19	little higher.
20	JUDGE ADDY: I'm going to have to I'm just going to
21	have to frame that and put that up somewhere.
22	Yeah, obviously being a ballot box survey that's
23	coming from a lawyer and, as we all know, sometimes
24	they can take creative liberties with the truth. I
25	really don't know about James Earl Jones though. I

1 don't know where that is coming from but I'll take 2 it, I'll take it. 3 Well that's good. But let me say also, CHAIRMAN SMITH: 4 and those of us who've done criminal work I don't 5 do it much anymore, but you issued an order years 6 ago that was deemed the Addy order and it was about 7 the confidential informants. That may the one 8 negative you saw that some -- obviously it was a 9 solicitor says you want to be an advocate, rather 10 than following the law, and wanting to change the 11 law. But nonetheless that was the only negative 12 comment I saw on there. But that order which was 13 passed around the bar I had a case one time and 14 I'll tell you, Judge Cothran who I think we 15 screened him earlier who we all think is just a 16 wonderful judge. Judge Cothran read that thing 17 and he'll say -- and I'll never forget we were in 18 chambers, he was like, "Damn, that's a good order." 19 And he said, "I'll tell you what's going to happen from this point -- " he announced it in court. 20 21 "From this point forward, that is my standing order

on CI's over there." So not only do you have the temperament but you have intellect and the legal reasoning ability to be one of our finest judges.

22

23

24

25

So, I thank you for that. I think it's good for

1 y'all to come up here every six years and check the 2 temperature of how you're doing and it keeps you 3 all grounded. And, you know, what we needed to let you know is you're doing a great job and keep up 4 5 the good work. 6 JUDGE ADDY: Thank you. And I did not realize that order had become eponymous so, you know, that's somewhat 7 8 interesting but I appreciate that. 9 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Mr. Rutherford would like more orders 10 like that but I don't think that the rest of us may 11 want that. All right. Any questions for Judge Senator Rankin. 12 Addy? 13 Real quick judge, and I don't want VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 14 to belabor this love-fest, and again an anonymous 15 love-fest it appears, but in the realm of, again, 16 what you've just been asked about not the 17 particulars of it but the ability for lawyers to 18 participate in this process and weigh in on our 19 judges' service. What's the buzz in the Bar -rather the bench, about whether this is a valuable 20 21 tool, a credible tool, and or something that we 22 should give some or no credence to? 23 JUDGE ADDY: I think it's a valuable tool in the sense 24 that if you notice a pattern of conduct or, you 25 know, a one off is one thing but if you have 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

percent saying that this judge has an issue with this particular aspect of the job then that's something that I think provides y'all with a reason to make further inquiry. If it's a question of temperament, I know years ago I think that the committee used to send people to observe surreptitiously the judges in court and see how they behave and how they act towards the litigants and court personnel. And so if you have maybe 15, 20 percent of the surveys saying there's an issue with his temperament, there's an issue with his punctuality or her punctuality, whatever the case may be, then that could provide maybe a reason to investigate further and send someone. Dispatch someone to actually see with their own eyes how it's going down in court. There's always the risk and, you know, we live in the internet age and when I started -- when I graduated law school in '93 it wasn't that big of a deal. But the anonymity thing, people have it on Facebook they have that ability, that feeling that I can just throw it out there and I can put it out there. It doesn't really matter whether it's true or not and those of you who were elected, you've been on the receiving end of this as well I'm sure. And I was popularly

1 elected for twelve years and so, yeah, you're used 2 to stones being thrown at you for no reason 3 Is there going to be the occasional whatsoever. 4 disgruntled person that just wants to absolutely slam a judge, sure. 5 That's a part of it. I think 6 it's useful. You always have to take it with a 7 grain of salt if it's anonymous and if it's 8 critical and perhaps even be cognizant of perhaps 9 efforts to undermine or to replace a judge that is 10 just not seen as -- sometimes when you're being 11 objective that means you're ruling against both 12 sides and some particular organizations or people 13 may not appreciate the fact that hey, this is --14 I'm calling balls and strikes here. This one was, 15 you know. You didn't swing, it was a strike, I'm 16 sorry. And so sometimes there is that hurt feeling 17 kind of a thing in litigation, that inability to 18 see maybe that there are two sides to a story and 19 they're going to take it out on the judge. I think 20 it's useful. Should it be 100 percent, should it 21 be totally determinant of what y'all do? Certainly 22 But I think getting anonymous input is the 23 only way sometimes you're going to get the truthful 24 input. Often it's not going to be, though. 25 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: In the realm of the comments about

1	you, you don't think you sound like James Earl
2	Jones?
3	JUDGE ADDY: I do not think I sound like James Earl
4	Jones.
5	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Would you like to put your wife
6	under oath or would you like us to leave it
7	alone, don't look back, please look forward, please
8	look forward.
9	JUDGE ADDY: I mean that's not that's I'll work on
10	that though. Thank you.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And then finally you've been here
12	and I don't think I have I don't think
13	screened you before forgive me if we have. I
14	started in late '16 or it might have been '17 that
15	I actually began. But nonetheless in the this
16	exercise, this time as compared to how many prior
17	screenings? You've been serving how long now?
18	JUDGE ADDY: Since 2010, so this will be my fourth
19	screening in the sense that there was one time I
20	ran and Judge Griffith I withdrew and Judge
21	Griffith got it and then the next year is when I
22	ran again and so for a total this would be the
23	fourth.
24	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And the again area that I'm asking
25	you about in terms of the regard that you have in

1 your district among the lawyers and these anonymous 2 -- and these are attorneys only that get to 3 participate. Have you enjoyed this reputation for 4 as long as you've been serving? 5 JUDGE ADDY: I -- to my knowledge, yes. I mean the --6 I've scored pretty much similar to this every time 7 I've run. So I have the benefit of having been 8 probate judge for twelve years. So I had a little 9 bit of a reputation as a jurist going into this 10 process in 2009 and I think that reputation is 11 simply carried over. I've simply stayed the same 12 guy for all intents and purposes, I think. 13 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Any further questions? All 14 James Earl Jones, that concludes this right. 15 portion of your screening so I want to take this 16 opportunity to remind you that pursuant to the 17 Commission's evaluative criteria the Commission 18 expects candidates to follow the spirit as well as 19 the letter of the ethics laws and we will view 20 violations or the appearance of impropriety as 21 serious and potentially deserving of heavy weight 22 in the screening deliberations. As you may be 23 aware, the record will remain open until the formal 24 release of the report of qualifications and you may be called back at such time if the need arises. 25 So

1	thank you for being here today and thank you for
2	your service to the state of South Carolina and
3	keep up the great work.
4	JUDGE ADDY: Thank you Mr. Chairman, thank you all.
5	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Good to see you.
6	JUDGE ADDY: Y'all take care.
7	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Good to see you.
8	(Off the record.)
9	JUDGE GRAVELY: Hello, hello. I don't have any prepared
10	remarks.
11	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Good. That may aid your screening
12	today.
13	JUDGE GRAVELY: I feel nervous, I mean y'all know more
14	about me than my wife.
15	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right, Judge Gravely. Okay. Judge
16	Gravely, welcome.
17	THE HONORABLE PERRY H. GRAVELY, being duly sworn,
18	testifies as follows:
19	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Before you you have your personal data
20	questionnaire and your sworn statement are those
21	both documents you've submitted to the Commission?
22	JUDGE GRAVELY: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Are they both correct?
24	JUDGE GRAVELY: They are.
25	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any changes or updates need to be made

at this time? 1 JUDGE GRAVELY: 2 No. 3 CHAIRMAN SMITH: And do you have any objections to us 4 marking those as exhibits to your sworn testimony 5 here today? I don't. That's fine 6 JUDGE GRAVELY: 7 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. Without objection will you hand 8 those to Lindi for me please, sir? We'll make that 9 exhibit to your sworn testimony. Judge Gravely, 10 the Judicial Merit Selection Commission has 11 thoroughly investigated your qualifications to the 12 bench. Our inquiry is focused on the nine 13 evaluative criteria in addition we've reviewed or 14 received a ballot box survey, thorough study of 15 your application materials, verification of your 16 compliance with state ethics laws, search of 17 newspaper articles in which your name appears, 18 study of previous screenings, and check for 19 economic conflicts of interest. We have not 20 received any affidavits in opposition to your 21 testimony here today and there are no witnesses 22 present to testify. You do not have prepared 23 remarks, you've told us, but do you wish to make a 24 brief opening statement to the Commission? 25 (Exhibit Number 3 was marked for identification purposes

1 - (15 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for the 2 Honorable Perry H. Gravely.) 3 (Exhibit Number 4 was marked for identification purposes 4 - (8 pages) Sworn Statement for The Honorable Perry H. 5 Gravely.) 6 JUDGE GRAVELY: Well, my -- as stated in my -- I quess 7 the numerous documents that I had to present, you 8 know, I think that you know based on my experience 9 I feel that I am qualified and would like to serve 10 another term I think it's a very rewarding job. 11 think it's one where you feel like you can make a 12 difference and like I said it's just -- it's an 13 interesting job every day and with the pleasure of 14 this Commission I'd like to go forward another 15 term. 16 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Thank you very much. Will 17 you answer questions from your screening attorney, 18 please, sir? 19 JUDGE GRAVELY: Okay. 20 JUDGE GRAVELY - EXAMINATION BY MS. ROSS: 21 Good morning judge. 0. 22 Α. Good morning. 23 0. You just answered my first question of after 24 serving six years in the Circuit Court, why you 25 want to continue serving unless you have anything

- 1 to add to that answer I'll move on.
- 2 A. Nothing.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. All right. Judge, what do you think your reputation is among attorneys or staff and personnel that practice before you?
- Hopefully it's not different than what y'all Α. Wow. I think probably that I work hard, that I'm got. very accommodating as far as trying to schedule stuff in. Been chief admin judge for civil for the Probably through the last four years, past year. you know, when we tried I tell my law clerks, hey, whatever you need to do to get something scheduled. Which has been real easy during WebEx because you really are -- you know, you're able to schedule things fairly quickly and I like to accommodate because I feel like that's our job. Accommodate, you know, officers that need warrant signed and stuff. You know, I met somebody last week in a tractor supply because I was coming through the place and they needed to meet with me. I think that -- I would think that attorneys would feel that I was very even. I don't think that, you know, whether I'm the State or defense or plaintiff or defendant I mean I try to be really middle of the road on any decision I make and not try to

1 benefit either side.

- Q. Judge Gravely the Commission received 736 ballot box surveys regarding you with 58 additional comments. The ballot box survey for example contained the following positive comments, "Always patient, fair-minded, and considerate. Judge Gravely has the perfect temperament. He does a wonderful job of handing out justice in a tactful, compassionate manner. He's one of the best judges we have on the bench."
- A. I didn't know my mother was -- did one of these.
- Q. Four of the written comments expressed concerns.

 One comment questioned your level of preparedness and understanding of both civil and criminal rules.

 What response would you offer to this concern?
- A. You know that's interesting. It must have been somebody that I didn't rule in favor of because I did a lot of civil work in my career. In fact, I feel like the civil part is definitely my stronger suit, although I don't think I'm deficient in the criminal part. And, you know, I'm one of those that goes by the rule. The Rules of Civil Procedure are always -- the book is still right next to me all the time. I would be surprised if somebody said I had issues with the Rules of Civil

1 Procedure, or criminal but definitely civil, that's 2 interesting so. But, you know, always room for 3 improvement. 4 A couple of comments question your demeanor as a 0. 5 And can you speak to what you think is the 6 appropriate judicial demeanor? 7 Α. I think that the demeanor should always be 8 respectful of everybody in the courtroom and I feel 9 like I always try to do that whether it's not only 10 lawyers that are your friends but every lawyer that 11 walks in there, every defendant in a criminal trial 12 deserves the same respect. I mean, they're 13 innocent until proven guilty so regardless of the 14 crime charge every bailiff -- in fact, those are 15 the people you get closest with, especially when 16 you travel, are the bailiffs and they're wonderful 17 people but I believe that everybody deserves the 18 same respect regardless of who they 19 MS. ROSS: Thank you, judge Gravely. I would note that 20 the Upstate Citizens Committee found Judge Gravely 21 qualified in the evaluative criteria of 22 constitutional qualifications physical health and 23 mental stability. And well qualified in the

professional and academic ability, character

evaluative criteria of ethical fitness,

24

1 reputation, experience, and judicial temperament. 2 The Committee had no related or summary comments. I just have a few housekeeping things to run 3 Q. 4 through with you. 5 Α. Okay. 6 Judge Gravely, since submitting your letter of 0. 7 intent, have you contacted any members of the 8 Commission about your candidacy? 9 No, I have not. Α. 10 Are you familiar with § 2-19-70, including the Q. 11 limitations on contacting members of the General 12 Assembly regarding your screening? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you Q. 15 sought or received the pledge of any legislator, 16 either prior to this date or pending the outcome of 17 your screening? 18 No. Α. 19 Have you asked any third parties to contact members Q. 20 of the General Assembly on your behalf or are you 21 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this 22 process on your behalf? 23 No, I have not. Α. 24 Have you reviewed and do you understand the Q. 25 commission's guidelines on pledging in South

Carolina code § 2-19-70(E)?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ROSS: I would just note for the record that any concerns raised during the investigation regarding the candidate were incorporated into the questioning of the candidate today and, Mr.

Chairman, I have no further questions.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Thank you. Judge Gravely appreciate you being here today and let me tell you I've been sort of tasked as chairman this year to especially for the judges that are up for reelection to talk to them a little bit about what this Commission focuses on. And, you know, honestly when it boils down to it I think what we in unison believe is that temperament is probably the most important characteristic and attribute of a judge. And so we've been looking through these and we go through these anonymous ballot boxes and these ballot boxes aren't determinative. And it's easy to criticize when you don't have to put your name next to the criticism, and we all know that. But what I really want to point out is -- to you and to your colleagues who have overwhelmingly demonstrated this is how good these ballot box Not only about your qualifications surveys are.

1 and your professional academic ability but the 2 character, reputation and temperament are the most 3 important. And when we look at yours, I mean 4 literally you have like one unqualified on there. 5 Which is -- puts you in the top echelon of the 6 judges that we see each time and I want to commend 7 you for that. And the one word that is replete 8 throughout all these comment is excellent. 9 Excellent judge, excellent demeanor, excellent 10 character, treats everybody fairly, and it's how 11 you treat the litigants, how you treat the court 12 staff, how you treat the attorneys says a lot about 13 you and your character as a judge and so I want to 14 commend you for that. The only thing I do want to 15 point out in one of the comments is -- I've never 16 seen this before and so I think it's a compliment 17 but I just wanted to get your opinion on it. 18 it says Judge Gravely is a sober, intelligent, and 19 well qualified judge and a pleasant -- pleasure to appear in front of. So you're the first judge I've 20 21 ever seen called sober. 22 JUDGE GRAVELY: It must have been one of those morning 23 hearings. 24 So I just wanted to point that one out, CHAIRMAN SMITH: 25 that's unique to us. But thank you for your

1	service and on a personal level I know you're a
2	Wafford graduate and a very proud Wafford graduate
3	and you make sure that you give back to your alma
4	mater and create this Wafford legal society. I'm
5	sorry I missed it. I had a competing engagement
6	last week. I hope that went well.
7	JUDGE GRAVELY: Yeah, it did. And we'll have other ones.
8	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. Well please include me on those.
9	I don't know if I had to pay dues or what it was I
10	didn't see anything so it looked like it was a free
11	society but those are the best ones to join.
12	JUDGE GRAVELY: That's right.
13	CHAIRMAN SMITH: But I appreciate I know you've led
14	those efforts and given back to the community and
15	try and encourage the next generation to become
16	lawyers and teach them the importance and the
17	principals that they need to carry out if they are
18	attorneys in the state and I know you've been
19	been things at law school with you and Wafford
20	events and you give back to the legal profession as
21	much as it's given to you and I appreciate your
22	service in that regard.
23	JUDGE GRAVELY: Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any other questions for Judge Gravely?
25	All right Judge Gravely, keep up the great work and

1	let me know
2	JUDGE GRAVELY: That's it? Wow, I really thought I'd get
3	something.
4	CHAIRMAN SMITH: I've got to read you a couple things but
5	Judge Gravely, let me take this opportunity to
6	remind you that pursuant to the commission's
7	evaluative criteria, the Commission expects
8	candidates to follow the spirit as well as the
9	letter of the ethics laws and we will view
10	violations or the appearance of impropriety as
11	serious and potentially deserving of heavy weight
12	in screening deliberations. As you may be aware,
13	the record will remain open until the formal
14	release of the report of qualifications and you may
15	be called back at such time if needed. We
16	appreciate you being here today, we thank you for
17	your service to the state of South Carolina, wish
18	you a safe trip back home.
19	JUDGE GRAVELY: Well thank you. Thank you y'all
20	everything y'all are doing, too.
21	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Good to see you, take care.
22	(Off the Record)
23	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Morning Judge, how are you today?
24	JUDGE KINLAW: Doing wonderful, doing wonderful.
25	MR. STROM: Good morning, judge.

1	JUDGE KINLAW: Been a while since I stood here.
2	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Let me get to your section. All right,
3	Judge Gravely, good morning.
4	JUDGE KINLAW: Uh he just left. I know him though.
5	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Judge Kinlaw. I need to switch the
6	play. All y'all from the upstate, aren't you all
7	the same?
8	JUDGE KINLAW: Yeah we kind of blend in up there, you
9	know, we just filling the gap up there.
10	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Judge Kinlaw, good morning.
11	JUDGE KINLAW: Morning, how are you?
12	CHAIRMAN SMITH: I'm doing well.
13	THE HONORABLE ALEX KINLAW, JR., being duly sworn,
14	testifies as follows:
15	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Before you you have the
16	personal data questionnaire and your sworn
17	statement, are both of those documents you
18	submitted to the commission?
19	JUDGE KINLAW: Yes, sir.
20	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Are they correct?
21	JUDGE KINLAW: Yes, sir.
22	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any changes or updates that need to
23	occur at this time?
24	JUDGE KINLAW: None.
25	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Do you have any objection to us marking

1 those as exhibits to your sworn testimony? 2 JUDGE KINLAW: No objection. 3 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. You will be handing them to 4 Lindi, please, sir. I'm not going to call her 5 Lindsay today. I'm not getting names correct right 6 And we'll mark those exhibits. Judge Kinlaw, 7 the Judicial Merit Selection Commission has 8 thoroughly investigated your qualifications for the 9 bench. Our inquiry has focused on the nine In addition, we've also 10 evaluative criteria. 11 reviewed a ballot box survey, a thorough study of your application materials, verification of your 12 13 compliance with state ethics laws, a search of 14 newspaper articles in which your name appears, 15 study of previous screenings and checks for 16 economic conflicts of interest. There have been no 17 affidavits filed in opposition to your candidacy 18 today and there are no witnesses here to testify. 19 Do you wish to make a brief opening statement to 20 the commission? 21 (Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification purposes 22 - (12 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for The 23 Honorable Alex Kinlaw, Jr.) 24 (Exhibit Number 6 was marked for identification purposes 25 - (5 pages) Sworn Statement for The Honorable Alex

Kinlaw, Jr.) 1 2 3 It's been my absolute pleasure to JUDGE KINLAW: Yes. 4 have served on the judiciary the last almost 14 5 years that I have. Nine years on the Family Court, 6 and almost four years on this court. And the only 7 thing I would like to say is that when I was -- and 8 I'll make it very brief. I quess some fourteen 9 years ago, when I attended the national judicial 10 college in Reno, Nevada, one of the things that 11 resonated with me was what the qualities should be 12 for a judge. In terms of when litigants come 13 Particularly on the criminal side. before them. 14 And as it's always stuck with me I'll tell you what 15 Always look at, on the criminal side, the 16 nature of the offense, the danger to the community, 17 whether there's a rehabilitation piece and always 18 recidivism. I think every judge needs to look at 19 those four categories no matter what the situation 20 is, particularly on the criminal side. On the 21 civil side you just got to hear it and follow the 22 law. 23 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Thank you very much. Will 24 you answer any questions your screening attorney 25 may have for you, please, sir?

JUDGE KINLAW - EXAMINATION BY MR. PEARCE:

Q. Good morning, judge.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Good to see you Rich.
- Q. Yes, sir. My pleasure. What do you think your reputation is amongst the members of the bar and court personnel that you work with in your courtroom and on legal matters?
- Well, you know, I would hope that it's a good. Α. I've had a good relationship with members of the bar, and during the period of time I've been on the judiciary, I've probably been in 39 of 46 counties that we have and I've been there more -- probably So I've gotten to know not only more than once. the members of the judiciary in those circuits but got to know the staff, the clerks office and all that. And let me say this, a judge is only as good as the staff that he works for. And I don't think the clerk of court, and all these people that help the judge, get enough credit. I really don't. I really think they work extremely hard and they're the people behind the scenes that makes things work in all of these counties. So I want to applaud And your judge is only as good as the staff that those counties provide. And I think they do an excellent job. And I just want to toot their

horn today while I'm here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Commission received input from as many as 465 Q. lawyers who filled out ballot box surveys regarding Also received were 43 additional you, Judge. comments. The ballot box survey for example contained the following positive comments, "Great temperament, fair, knowledgeable, pleasant, consistent, has a great BS detector, firm but well balanced, requires litigants to follow the rules, right demeanor for the job, actually practiced law before taking the bench" and that you use court time efficiently. Amongst these additional comments ten people expressed concerns. question your knowledge of the law and you've spoken to that. Others question your impartiality, citing your comments from time to time, and the work that you did as a public defender when folks appeared before you in cases. What response would you offer to that expressed concern?

A. Well, I'll tell you this, when I served as a public defender back in the 80s the public defender system had not been, you know, created so we were working -- they had public defenders. And I've served with five individuals and what our goal was, when I was a public defender, to make sure that we were just

1 as good, just as efficient as the private bar. 2 Because those who are lawyers, you hear this all 3 Well I don't want to hire a public -- I the time. 4 don't want a public defender, I want a paid lawyer. 5 I never could understand the distinction between But when I was there we tried to be as 6 those two. 7 efficient as the paid lawyers. And let me tell you 8 today I report today that three of those five 9 persons that were in that office are now judges. 10 And I think that speaks very highly. And let me 11 just tell you because of that, when lawyers come 12 before me, because of my experiences as a public 13 defender and a private lawyer, I expect them to 14 represent their clients. And I do ask the 15 additional questions, I do ask -- I may ask you a 16 question based upon what the client says, 17 particularly on serious matters. How much time 18 have you spent with your lawyer? If the client --19 if the defendant says well I only saw them one 20 time, I've got an obligation to look into that 21 because not only that, we've got to make sure that 22 we reduce the amount of PCR applications coming in 23 on ineffective assistance of counsel. I'm not rude Lawyers may not like it, but I'll 24 when I do it. 25 say listen, I think I'm going to stand this down, I

think you need to spend a little bit more time talking with your client about this case before we go forward. That's the fair and the right thing to do. And I stand by that. That's my public defender experience. Lot of lawyers don't like that, they want to push it on through. I'm not that kind of judge. I didn't sign up for that, do that. I want to make sure that it's done the right way.

- Q. An additional concern that was expressed was that the identity of the lawyers might affect your treatment of them in the courtroom versus other lawyers in the courtroom. What response would you have to that expressed concern?
- A. Well, you know, I became a member of the bar in 1978. And over that period of time I know a ton of lawyers. I know them, over the years I just know every county I just know a bunch of lawyers.

 What I've tried to do is -- and I will say this, I have -- I make it a point that if lawyers come in and I know this lawyer better than I know this lawyers, you know -- and a lawyer who knows me probably more than this other lawyer and he comes in hey Judge Kinlaw, good to see you again, haven't seen you in such and such time. I'll say, good to

1 see you, well the other lawyer looks at me and you 2 know I can't control what they say. But what I try 3 to do is to be even keeled and not get into that. 4 I don't have any discussions with one lawyer as 5 opposed to the other. I don't talk Clemson 6 football game, I don't talk USC football game, I 7 don't do any of that. Because I think it gives the 8 litigants the impression that I'm with the other 9 A lot of the younger lawyers I don't 10 I probably know some of the younger lawyers' 11 fathers, now. And I never thought I'd be old 12 enough to say that. But a lot of young ones I 13 But a lot of the older ones I've known don't know. 14 So kind of very difficult to not over the years. 15 know lawyers when you've been doing this as long as 16 I have. Thank you, Judge Kinlaw. 17 MR. PEARCE: I would note that 18 the Upstate Citizens Committee found Judge Kinlaw 19 to be qualified in the criteria of constitutional 20 qualifications, physical health, and mental 21 The Committee also found him well stability. 22 qualified in the criteria of ethical fitness, 23 professional and academic ability, character, 24 reputation, experience, and judicial temperament. 25 Judge Kinlaw, since submitting your letter of Q.

1 intent, have you contacted any members of the 2 Commission about your candidacy? 3 Α. No. 4 Are you familiar with South Carolina code § 2-19-0. 5 70, including it's limitations on contacting 6 members of the General Assembly regarding your 7 screening? 8 Very familiar with that. Α. 9 Since submitting our letter of intent, have you Q. 10 sought or received the pledge of any legislator 11 either prior to this date or pending the outcome of 12 your screening? 13 Α. No, I have not. 14 Have you asked any third parties to contact members Q. 15 of the General Assembly on your behalf or are you 16 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this 17 process on your behalf? 18 No, I am not. Α. 19 Have you reviewed and do you understand the Q. 20 commission's guidelines on pledging and South 21 Carolina code § 2-19-70 sub-part E? 22 I do. Α. 23 MR. PEARCE: I would note for the record that any 24 concerns raised during the investigation I 25 conducted regarding this incumbent candidate were

1	incorporated in my questioning him today. And Mr.
2	Chairman, I have no further questions at this time.
3	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Thank you so much. Judge
4	Kinlaw, let me just I'll start off and I've been
5	tasked as chairman this year, especially for the
6	sitting judges who are up for reelection, to kind
7	of express to the candidates what's important to us
8	as we review everything. And the one thing I
9	think's unanimous amongst all of us is your
10	character, your reputation, and your temperament
11	are what's most important in a judge. And so when
12	we look through these anonymous bar ballot box
13	surveys, you know they can without impunity
14	criticize a judge and exact any concerns or revenge
15	or any issues they may have and so, you know,
16	they're not determinative in and of themself of how
17	the judge is. But they can indicate where there's
18	a problem and when there's not a problem by when we
19	look at the percentage of the complaints. And so,
20	you know, I want to commend you as I look through
21	these. You are really one of the lowest
22	percentages of the judges that we have in the
23	state. I know we screened you a few years ago and
24	think you ran maybe three, four years ago that you
25	ran for a Circuit Court judge and you've carried

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

your wonderful reputation from the Family Court on to the Circuit Court and I think that's important that you understand what your peers think of you and those attorneys that appear in front of you. And the way you treat litigants, the way -- I really appreciate your comments about the court staff. Because that's the one thing I learned as a young lawyer, the people you need to be nice to are the ones that run the court. They can help you more than a judge or any other lawyer over there because they know what goes on in the courtroom. And, you know, I think treating those that are around you in a court room is probably one of the best comments I've heard this entire screening. I want to congratulate you on the way you're doing your job. I want to thank you for your service and the ideals you're upholding of the judiciary because you are reflective of what the South Carolina judiciary looks like every time you walk in a courtroom. And it appears to me that from these responses and these screenings from the other entities that you are doing a great job. Also I qo back to I want to correct you on what they said in Sumter about the public defenders when I was over Is they did say some paid lawyers but most there.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

times they say, I don't want you I'm going get a real lawyers when I was a public defender. So I always loved that one. I'll never forget I tried a case one time and he got -- it was very serious charges and he got convicted of some lesser charges and he said, you ain't bad for a public defender. So I know what you went through back in the 80s. Ι did it in the 90s and we were talking the other day how the thing about there was really no discovery, there was no -- you had a hundred cases, you found out you were trying the case when you arrived at the courthouse this morning and the morning which But it taught us how to think on our one it was. feet, how to get prepared in a hurry, and how to be a lawyer. I miss those days but I know you enjoy them.

JUDGE KINLAW: I'll say this as I walk out the door. You know, looking at over the years I'll tell you young public defenders do come up to me all the time and ask me how was it. I said, you know, after the first few years until I really, really, really knew what I was doing, I just prayed that nobody went to jail because of me, because I didn't know what I was doing. But -- and that's important, but I enjoyed my time there. I also worked at legal

services agency before then and I applaud what they're doing. A lot of people don't understand what legal services means to this country. Because there are so many people, and I tell you this and I'm going to walk out the door on this note. There are so many people that just need legal help. And the key thing is you've got to treat everybody like that. No matter who they are, what position they are, you got to treat them like that. And that's what I've tried to do the entire time that I've been with this bench. You got any questions for me or? Be glad to answer them.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right, well, lack of questions demonstrates the faith they have in the job you're doing.

JUDGE KINLAW: All right.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: So Judge Kinlaw let me remind this -let me take this opportunity to remind you that
pursuant to the Commission's evaluative criteria
the Commission expects candidates to follow the
spirit as well as the letter of the ethics law and
we will view violations or the appearance of
impropriety as serious and potentially deserving of
heavy weight in screening deliberations. As you
know the record will remain open until the formal

1	release of the report of qualifications and you may
2	be called back if such need arises. We thank you
3	for your willingness to be here today and thank you
4	for your service to the state of South Carolina.
5	Wish you safe travels home.
6	JUDGE KINLAW: It was pleasant to see all you guys.
7	CHAIRMAN SMITH: You too, take care.
8	(Off the Record)
9	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Judge Snelgrove, how you doing today?
10	JUDGE SNELGROVE: I'm doing well, and you?
11	CHAIRMAN SMITH: I am doing well. I don't know if I
12	think we may be ahead of time.
13	JUDGE SNELGROVE: Yeah mine was at 11:30 but she called
14	me and said y'all running ahead so I had my husband
15	throw me out on the curb and come on up.
16	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well, I can't thank you enough.
17	THE HONORABLE VICKI J. SNELGROVE, being duly sworn,
18	testifies as follows:
19	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. You have before you your
20	personal data questionnaire, your sworn statement,
21	are both those documents that you have submitted to
22	this Commission? And are both of them correct?
23	JUDGE SNELGROVE: Yes, sir.
24	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. Any changes or updates need to be
25	made at this time?

1	JUDGE SNELGROVE: Not that I can think of, no.
2	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Do you have any objection to us making
3	those as exhibits to your sworn testimony here
4	today?
5	JUDGE SNELGROVE: No objection.
6	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Without objection we'll make
7	those exhibits. Will you hand them to Lindi for me
8	please, ma'am? All right, Judge Snelgrove, the
9	Judicial Merit Selection Commission has thoroughly
10	investigated your qualifications for the bench. It
11	is focused on the non evaluative criteria and also
12	has included a ballot box survey, a thorough study
13	of your application materials, verification of your
14	compliance with state ethics laws, search of
15	newspaper articles in which your name appears,
16	study of previous screenings and checks for
17	economic conflicts of interest. There have been no
18	affidavits filed today in opposition to your
19	candidacy and there are no witnesses here to
20	testify. Do you wish to make a brief opening
21	statement to the Commission?
22	(Exhibit Number 7 was marked for identification purposes
23	- (12 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for The
24	Honorable Vicki J. Snelgrove.)
25	(Exhibit Number 8 was marked for identification purposes

1 - (2 pages) Amendment Personal Data Questionnaire for The 2 Honorable Vicki J. Snelgrove.) 3 4 (Exhibit Number 9 was marked for identification purposes 5 - (5 pages) Sworn Statement for The Honorable Vicki J. 6 Snelgrove.) 7 JUDGE SNELGROVE: I do not, sir. No. 8 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Thank you very much. 9 any questions your screening attorney may have for 10 you. 11 JUDGE SNELGROVE - EXAMINATION BY MS. BAKER: 12 Q. Good morning, Judge. 13 Good morning. Α. 14 After serving eleven years on the Family Court why Q. 15 do you want to continue serving? 16 Α. Every time you think you have heard it all there's 17 always another new story to hear. I think I just -18 - I think I'm getting better. I think I'm getting 19 less shockable and a little bit more creative. People come to Family Court with issues that are 20 21 simply amazing at times. And sometimes you have to 22 be a little bit creative to see if you can solve 23 problems for them that didn't work for the next 24 family. 25 Yeah. And Judge, what do you think your reputation 0.

1 is among attorneys that practice before you? 2 I think I have a reputation for being prepared, Α. 3 that I know the file as well as they know the file. 4 And times I feel like I know the file better than 5 some of the attorneys that appear in front of me. 6 That I'm fair, that I follow the rules and I 7 explain my rulings rather well and -- but I let a 8 lawyer try his or her case. 9 Judge, since your last screening a lawsuit was Q. filed against you in 2018 in the U.S. District 10 11 Court by Michael Alexander Collins relating to some 12 prison conditions. Could you please explain the 13 nature or disposition of the suit? 14 I didn't know anything about it until my screening Α. 15 because I was never served with that lawsuit. 16 I saw that it was dismissed without even service. 17 I think I had Mr. Collins in front of me on a DSS 18 case so obviously I had nothing to do with any 19 prison sentence that he had. So I think I was 20 among very distinguished jurists being named as 21 defendant in that suit so it really didn't bother 22 me all that much.

Q. Judge, the commission has received 449 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 46 additional comments.

The ballot box surveys, for example, contain the

23

24

25

1 following positive comments, "Judge Snelgrove is 2 one of the finest judges we have on the Family 3 She handles her cases with great Court bench. 4 knowledge, humility, and concerns for the parties 5 before her. It is always a pleasure to be in her 6 She tries hard to get to the heart of courtroom. 7 the matters in front of her. She treats my clients 8 with respect and compassion. She knows the law and applies it fairly." Four of the written comments 9 10 expressed some concerns. Some of these concerns 11 indicated that you were not partial, depending on 12 the attorneys involved or whether they represented 13 certain parties, for example, DSS. What response 14 would you offer to that concern? 15 On the DSS one, this is what would really be Α. 16 important to know the source of that because if it 17 is a private attorney that has maybe appeared in 18 front of me one time that doesn't concern me a 19 whole lot that he or she may have had that 20 impression. However, if it was a 608 attorney that 21 appears in front of me frequently I would need to 22 take a look at myself. I would need to practice 23 insight or use my insight to see. And that's why I 24 really would like to know if it was an attorney

that appeared in front of me -- a 608 attorney that

25

1 appeared in front of me frequently. Because that 2 would really mean something to me that I do need to 3 take a look at how I deal with DSS cases and what 4 bias I may have that I need to look at strongly. 5 Q. Judge, another concern that was expressed was that 6 you have poor judicial temperament, that you can be 7 rude or lack compassion. What response would you 8 offer to concern about your temper? 9 That one would be the time -- I would like to know Α. 10 the circumstances, and I'll tell you in all honesty 11 I would say November -- around February, March of 12 this year I found myself being extraordinarily 13 impatient. I lost a daughter a year ago and I have 14 since then gotten some help for that. 15 recognized it. I recognized myself coming off the 16 bench just really angry and though people may have 17 done odd things, but some of it might been -- but 18 it's still not appropriate for me to -- so 19 therefore I have taken great measures to address it 20 and I feel like I've addressed it fairly well. 21 Thank you, Judge. Q. 22 The Citizens Committee -- I would note that MS. BAKER: 23 the Midlands Citizens Committee found Judge 24 Snelgrove qualified in the evaluative criteria of 25 constitutional qualifications, physical health, and

1		mental health. The Committee found her well
2		qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical
3		fitness, professional and academic ability,
4		character, reputation, experience, and judicial
5		temperament. The Committee stated, in summary,
6		"excellent qualifications and temperament, well
7		qualified."
8	Q.	Judge, I have a few housekeeping issues. Since
9		submitting your letter of intent have you contacted
10		any members of the Commission about your candidacy?
11	Α.	No, ma'am.
12	Q.	Are you familiar with § 2-19-70, including the
13		limitations on contacting members of the General
14		Assembly regarding your screening?
15	Α.	Yes, ma'am.
16	Q.	Since submitting your letter of intent, have you
17		sought or received the pledge of any legislator
18		either prior to this date or pending the outcome of
19		your screening?
20	Α.	No, ma'am.
21	Q.	Have you asked any third parties to contact members
22		of the General Assembly on your behalf or are you
23		aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this
24		process on your behalf?
0.5	_	

Α.

No, ma'am.

1	Q.	Have you reviewed and do you understand the
2		commission's guidelines on pledging and South
3		Carolina code § 2-19-70(E)?
4	Α.	Yes, ma'am.
5	MS. BA	AKER: I would just note for the record that any
6		concerns raised during the investigation regarding
7		the candidate were incorporated into the
8		questioning today. Mr. Chairman, I have no further
9		questions.
10	CHAIR	MAN SMITH: Judge Snelgrove, let me begin by saying
11		I'm sorry to hear about the loss of your daughter
12		and certainly I know it's tough. And I appreciate
13		your comments and everyone has some bad days and
14		so, you know, to go through a loss like that I
15		commend you that you continued on and certainly
16		we'll pray for comfort as we move forward.
17	JUDGE	SNELGROVE: Thank you.
18	CHAIR	MAN SMITH: So, I'm sorry to hear that. Let me
19		I've been tasked by the Committee to kind of tell
20		the judges as we go through this, as we look at the
21		ballot box surveys in accordance to this Committee,
22		of judges, especially the sitting judges, to look
23		at their character, their reputation, and their
24		temperament. And those are anonymous surveys that
25		have been provided to us in a ballot box survey.

And they're anonymous and you know your worst
critics can take a free shot at you without any
accountability or they can make things up about
you. But when you look at these they're not
determinative in and of themself when we review it
but it indicates whether there's a problem or a
pattern with judges. And so, you know, we want to
bring those to the attention of the judge or if
they are doing well we also want to bring that to
their attention. And so I want to commend you as I
look through these, there are less than one hand of
anyone saying anything negative about you in any of
those conditions, any of those characteristics. No
everyone finds you basically found you well
qualified so you're doing something right on the
bench. And you know what we want to do is
recognize you and thank you for doing that and
upholding the ideals and the principles of the
judiciary. Those of us who are practicing lawyers
are very proud of the South Carolina Bar and think
that we have one of the greatest bars in the state
I think some judge said he went to he would put
up the South Carolina lawyers to any other lawyers
around the state around this country. And we
are very proud of our judiciary, and proud of what

they do, and you're upholding those ideals. want to thank you for that and thank you for your service to the state in making sure that people are getting a fair and -- fair hearing in front of you. And more importantly when you look at this, and I look through some of these comments, and they talk about how you treat people. And when people make remarks about how you treat them and the staff at the courthouse. That's an important characteristic to know that you're doing something right. somebody says you treat the staff, the litigants, and attorneys with respect and so we recognize that and we appreciate that. Any questions for judge Snelgrove? Mr. Strom.

MR. STROM: Morning judge.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE SNELGROVE: Good morning.

MR. STROM: I probably had five Family Court cases in my thirty-five years and you've been involved in a couple of them and I thought your demeanor was perfect. You were well prepared. I'll tell you both of my cases involved children. And I was scared to death that I wasn't going to do a good job with my clients. And my concern was further than I didn't ever feel like I had enough time to put my case up. And I know that's not you, that's

1 the system. But can you help up with any ideas 2 about how the Family Court system could change 3 where we could -- people are representing children 4 we've got time to prove your case to the judge? But you got it right off the bat. You got the 5 6 right decisions, thank God, because. 7 JUDGE SNELGROVE: I ruled for you, therefore it was the 8 right decision. 9 Well well, probably, but -- but in all MR. STROM: 10 seriousness, I mean, you go to Family Court and 11 you sit around for hours and then you've got a case 12 you want to put up and everybody's running behind 13 and you really don't ever have opportunities to 14 prove your case. And if you wanted to try your 15 case with all your witnesses you're talking about a 16 year out to get enough court time to do it. And so 17 you end up compromising and really it's a due 18 process issue as far as I'm concerned. 19 comments on that or thoughts on that? 20 JUDGE SNELGROVE: Well, one thing that Covid did help us 21 on is when you were doing them virtually we would 22 have for temporary hearings. Have your packets 23 sent ahead of time. So I had read everything 24 before we started the hearing. So for that 15 25 minute hearing I wasn't reading your affidavits and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

your packages which usually takes a good nine to ten minutes when they're pretty good packages. Which leaves, theoretically, five minutes left to I've never -- I don't know that I've ever done a really contested temporary hearing in fifteen What I tell younger judges is you start at 9:00 -- I start at 9:00. And oddly enough even though you look at that docket and you're overwhelmed with 21 hearings for today and you're going I don't know how I can get this done. of them are going to settle. Some of them are going to get continued. At the end of the day you usually are finished around 5:00 or 5:15. temporary hearings for like a custody case, even if it's set fifteen minutes, it's going to take 30 I read the affidavits when we're in minutes. person. I read in the affidavits in front of your Because I appeared in court too many times client. where I would give that judge that temporary packet on the bench and it would sit there the entire hearing and never got opened. And after some oral argument he would then rule and I knew he never looked at the affidavits. And that was really important to me. Clients pay a lot of money for those temporary hearings, a lot of money. And so I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

read the affidavits in front of the client so that they know they've been read. My hearing's going take longer than 15 minutes. And 99 percent of the time I rule from the bench for temporary hearings. And there's a big dispute between us as judges well if you rule from the bench they don't think you've taken enough time. My philosophy is, I've read everything in front of you, I've heard everything your lawyer had to tell me, I don't put a clock on I've never put a clock on a -- now if a lawyer. you're repeating what you say -- and I do tell attorneys I've read the affidavits, don't repeat what's in it. Don't tell me these people got married in October of 1982 and they have three children, I've read that in the affidavits so you don't need -- that's one way to do it is get them to go -- Mr. Strom, you know where I want to go. want you to respond to the other guys is what I'm looking for I don't need to re-hear your story. at the end of the day you usually can get done by five fifteen and address it. But I've had -- I had judges that go okay, Ms. Snelgrove, you've got three minutes to go. I don't do that because when it comes to children, I think if your story is a little bit longer than three minutes, I think I

1 need to hear it. But as far as trials I don't know 2 what we're going to do. We're doing A, B and C 3 Just last week in Richland County I dockets now. 4 had a two-day A trial, a two-day B trial, and we 5 did both of them. You go in early and you stay 6 late. 7 MR. STROM: In your circuit if you needed a two-day trial 8 how long would it be -- in Family Court, how long 9 would it be before you --10 JUDGE SNELGROVE: If you were to send in your request 11 She has probably so much request that she's today? filling in now for January to June since we just 12 13 got that docket. Two days would probably be April. 14 Yeah, I think it'd be. I think a two-day trial 15 would be probably April. 16 MR. STROM: Thank you. 17 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any further questions? All right, Judge 18 Snelgrove thank you so much, this concludes this 19 portion of your screening. Let me take this 20 opportunity to remind you that pursuant to the 21 Commission's evaluative criteria, the Commission 22 expects candidates to follow the spirit as well as 23 the letter of the ethics laws and we will view 24 violations or the appearance of impropriety as 25 serious and potentially deserving of heavy weight

1	in the screening deliberations. As you may know,
2	the record will remain open until the formal
3	release of the report of qualifications and you may
4	be called back at such time if the need arises.
5	Thank you for being here today and thank you for
6	your service to the state of South Carolina.
7	JUDGE SNELGROVE: Thank you. And thank you for your
8	work.
9	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Take care.
10	(Off the Record)
11	MR. KIMPSON: Good morning.
12	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Judge Kimpson. Appreciate you being
13	here early today.
14	JUDGE KIMPSON: It's not a problem whatsoever I was
15	I'm less than five minutes in the Brown building
16	and of course maybe ten years ago I could have
17	gotten here in two minutes. It takes a little bit
18	longer now. Thank you for having me.
19	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Thank you.
20	THE HONORABLE MILTON G. KIMPSON, being duly sworn,
21	testifies as follows:
22	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Before you, you have your personal data
23	questionnaire and your sworn statement. Are both
24	of those documents you've submitted to the
25	Commission? Are they correct?
	1

1 JUDGE KIMPSON: Yes, sir. 2 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Are there any changes that need to be made at this time? 3 4 JUDGE KIMPSON: No, sir. 5 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Do you have any objections to us making 6 those as exhibits to your sworn testimony here 7 today? 8 JUDGE KIMPSON: No objection. 9 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Without objection will you 10 hand them to Lindi for us please, sir and we'll 11 mark those as exhibits. Judge Kimpson, the 12 judicial merit selection commission has thoroughly 13 investigated your qualifications for the bench. 14 Our inquiry has focused on the nine evaluative 15 criteria and has also included a ballot box survey, 16 thorough study of your application materials, verification of your compliance with state ethics 17 18 laws, study of previous screenings and checks for 19 economic conflicts of interest. We've received no 20 affidavits today in opposition to your candidacy 21 and no-one is here to testify against you so do you 22 wish to make a brief opening statement to the 23 commission? 24 (Exhibit Number 10 was marked for identification purposes 25 (15 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for The

```
1
      Honorable Milton G. Kimpson.)
 2
      (Exhibit Number 11 was marked for identification purposes
 3
      - (2 pages) Amendment to the Personal Data Questionnaire
 4
      for The Honorable Milton G. Kimpson.)
 5
 6
      (Exhibit Number 12 was marked for identification purposes
 7
      - (2 pages) Sworn Statement of The Honorable Milton G.
 8
      Kimpson.)
 9
      JUDGE KIMPSON: Only to say that once again I appreciate
10
            this opportunity. I was sworn in to the
11
            Administrative Law Court in July of 2017 and it's
12
            been a wonderful experience. It's challenging,
13
            there's something new every day. I practiced law
14
            of course prior to that time and trial de novos.
15
            The ALC however has given me an opportunity to
16
            actually do some appellate and act as an appellate
17
            court judge. So I just look forward to my
18
            continual -- hopefully what will be continued
19
            service at the Administrative Law Court.
20
      CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Thank you very much.
21
            you answer any questions your screening attorney
22
            may have for you, please, sir.
23
     JUDGE KIMPSON - EXAMINATION BY MS. DEAN:
24
                 Thank you Mr. Chairman.
      MS. DEAN:
25
            Judge Kimpson, in your amended PDQ you mention
      0.
```

1 three lawsuits. Kimpson vs. Elouise to collect a 2 bad check written by a client, Kimpson v. Warren 3 again to collect a bad check written by a client, and Kimpson v. Trotman for a debt collection case 4 5 that was settled. Are those correct summaries? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Thank you. Judge Kimpson, what do you think about Q. 8 -- what do you think your reputation is among 9 attorneys that appear before you and court staff? 10 I would hope that people see me as being objective, Α. 11 striving at every opportunity to be fair, to fairly 12 evaluate their arguments. And of course I've heard 13 this and I just hope it's true that I'm courteous 14 to people. And what's important to me is that, and 15 I think I said this when I was before you several 16 years ago, I try to act as a judge for the judges 17 that I've been before that I appreciated to appear 18 So those are the things I strive for. 19 Courteousness, objectiveness, and fairness. 20 Q. Thank you, Judge. Judge Kimpson, the Commission 21 received 490 ballot box surveys regarding you with 39 additional comments. The ballot box survey for 22 23 example contained the following positive comments, 24 "Hardworking and fair." and "Fair and has a bright

legal mind." One expressed concern is that your

25

opinions do not follow a logical path. Could you

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

explain a bit about your opinion and order-writing process? Typically what I do -- of course there are Α. procedures for more routine orders possibly a law

clerk or a staff attorney may -- may produce a

draft and then I will look at that. We have, at the Administrative Law Court, a video taping system and where my notes are insufficient or I've written some I can't even read my notes I go back often to that video system. But essentially what I will do is for more routine case I will go back to an earlier order. And I don't believe in cutting and pasting but I'll use that as a model. We have had some interesting orders with regard to certificates

patterns so we'll just start with an order. Typically, for my more difficult orders, if a staff attorney doesn't -- I'll sit and talk with my law clerk and my staff attorney and get ideas. typically will produce a draft with regard to that.

of need, some DHEC orders, that there are no

I would imagine that the orders that anybody says are difficult to follow is because often, even in the midst of an order, I'm trying to make up my And I will weigh evidence and talk about mind.

evidence that is good and bad for a party. I was -1 2 - I am not afraid even in the context of an order. 3 When -- even if I find for a party, I'm not worried 4 in the context of an order by saying something that 5 might be, at first glance, inconsistent with that 6 finding because it was something that bothered me about, say, the respondent's or petitioner's case. 7 8 Thank you, Judge. And again for the record the Q. 9 vast majority of those comments were positive in 10 nature. 11 MS. DEAN: I would note that the Midlands Citizens 12 Committee found judge Kimpson qualified in the 13 evaluative criteria of constitutional 14 qualifications, physical health and mental 15 stability. The Committee found him well qualified 16 in the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, 17 professional and academic ability, character, 18 reputation, experience and judicial temperament. 19 The Committee stated in summary, "Well qualified in 20 all respects with great reputation. Well 21 qualified." 22 Just moving to some housekeeping issues, Judge. Q. 23 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you 24 contacted any members of the Commission about your

25

candidacy?

- 1 A. I have not.
- Q. Are you familiar with § 2-19-70 including the limitations on contacting members of the General Assembly regarding your screening?
- 5 | A. I am.
- Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening?
- 10 A. I have not.
- 11 Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact members
 12 of the General Assembly on your behalf or are you
 13 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this
 14 process on your behalf?
- 15 A. I have not done so and I am not aware of anyone.
- Q. Okay. Have you reviewed and do you understand the commissions guidelines on pledging and South
 Carolina code § 2-19-70(E)?
- 19 | A. I am.
- MS. DEAN: I would just note for the record that any concerns raised during the investigation regarding the candidate were incorporated into the questioning of the candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions.
- 25 | CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. Thank you very much. Judge

1	I	Kimpson, we were up here Vice Chairman I'm using
2	-	your voice is completely different from your
3	l	brother's so can you explain the difference to us?
4	JUDGE 1	KIMPSON: Somehow he has an acquired twang. I
5	C	cannot he's different from anybody in our
6	t	family.
7	CHAIRM	AN SMITH: So you're saying he made that up for
8	I	political purposes, huh?
9	JUDGE 1	KIMPSON: I have no idea how he came up with the
10	t	twang. But it is very different.
11	CHAIRM	AN SMITH: Oh, goodness. Well, Judge Kimpson, let
12	r	me just say this and is one of the things we do in
13	t	this time especially with sitting judges is talking
14	t	to them about what we view as important
15	C	characteristics in a judge. And you know your
16	נ	reputation and your temperament are very important
17	ć	and when we look at this and for these when we look
18	ć	at your candidacy and we look at these ballot box
19	Ş	surveys and specifically ask for questions
20	C	concerning your character, your reputation, and
21	7	your judicial temperament and you score well
22	á	amongst the highest in the state. So, you know, I
23	V	wanted to recognize that. Those of us who've known
24	7	you would expect no less from you but I just I look
25	á	at this. You had zero people finding you

1 unqualified for your temperament. That means 2 you've never had a bad day in your courtroom 3 really, and so -- or at least people didn't 4 perceive you to have a bad day in your courtroom so 5 I wanted to just commend you for that. 6 JUDGE KIMPSON: Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Encourage you to keep up that great 8 Thank you for your service to us and to the 9 state of South Carolina. And we appreciate the way 10 you're handling your courtroom and appreciate the 11 way you're upholding the integrity and the judicial 12 branch. So thank you so much for that. 13 JUDGE KIMPSON: Thank you. 14 Any further quesstions? Senator Sabb. CHAIRMAN SMITH: 15 SENATOR SABB: No questions, Mr. Chairman, but I would 16 like to make a comment. So Judge Kimpson and I met when we were both in law school at a moot court 17 18 competition and that we were in Baton Rouge, 19 Louisiana. And, of course, when I met him the name 20 Milton Kimpson stuck out because when I was an 21 undergrad I had his dad to come speak at a founders 22 And so I asked him whether or not he day program. 23 knew Milton Kimpson of course he was Milton, Jr. 24 And, of course, we developed a relationship. 25 say all of that to say that the kind, gentle,

1 intelligent law school student that I met has 2 simply blossomed into a wonderful lawyer, judge, 3 and I just could not be more proud of him. 4 I just wanted to share that personal story with 5 y'all. 6 JUDGE KIMPSON: Thank you. Thank you very much. 7 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Any further questions? 8 Judge Kimpson, thank you, and this will conclude 9 this portion of your screening process. I want to 10 take this opportunity to remind you that pursuant 11 to the Commission's evaluative criteria the 12 Commission expects candidates to follow the spirit 13 as well as the letter of the ethics law. 14 view violations or the appearance of impropriety as 15 serious and potentially deserving of heavy weight 16 in the screening deliberations. As you may be 17 aware, the record will remain open until the formal 18 release of the report of qualifications. 19 be called back at such time if necessary. 20 you for offering for this position and thank you 21 for the work you've done and appreciate your service to the state of South Carolina. 22 23 JUDGE KIMPSON: Thank you. And thank all of you. 24 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you for coming early, too. All 25 right. Take care. Hope you make it back to that

1	long walk you have across the complex.
2	JUDGE KIMPSON: I'll be fine. Thank you very much.
3	CHAIRMAN SMITH: So Representative Johnson moves we go
4	into executive section, all in favor signify by
5	saying aye.
6	
7	(Ayes are heard.)
8	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed.
9	(No replies are heard.)
10	CHAIRMAN SMITH: The ayes have it we are in executive
11	session.
12	EXECUTIVE SESSION
13	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. We're going back on the
14	record and we're going to come out of executive
15	session. Ms. McIver moves that we come out of
16	executive session, all in favor signify by saying
17	aye.
18	(Ayes are heard.)
19	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed?
20	(No replies are heard.)
21	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ayes have it. Let me state while we
22	were in executive session there were no votes taken
23	and no matters decided. And we're going to open
24	the doors and I will turn it over to Erin once the
25	thundering herd comes in and we're going to vote on

1	the candidates. So if you're ready I'll turn it
2	over to you.
3	VOTE:
4	MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman first up we'll go yesterday
5	we didn't vote on the circuit race. We've got five
6	candidates the Honorable, no four candidates,
7	excuse me. The Honorable Daniel McLeod Coble,
8	Honorable Amy McCulloch, Kate Whetstone Usry and S.
9	Boyd Young.
10	MR. STROM: Move to find them all qualified?
11	SENATOR SABB: Second.
12	MS. CRAWFORD: Okay. Mr. Chairman, the next thing I'll
13	do is you each have three votes
14	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Let me we got to vote on all being
15	qualified.
16	MS. CRAWFORD: Oh, yeah.
17	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. So those in favor of finding
18	all the candidates qualified, signify by raising
19	your hand. All right. Let the record reflect
20	Senator Rankkin are you voting for all candidates
21	qualified?
22	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. Let the record reflect it's
24	unanimous.
25	MS. CRAWFORD: Okay. And you each have three votes and

1	I'm just going to read them in alphabetical order.
2	Honorable Daniel McLeod Coble. So is that nine?
3	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Nine.
4	MS. CRAWFORD: The Honorable Amy McCulloch. Is that ten?
5	No, nine. Kate Whetstone Usry. Five. S Boyd
6	Young? Okay with Coble having nine votes,
7	McCulloch having nine votes, Usury having five
8	votes and Young having seven. With those qualified
9	and nominated would be Coble, McCulloch and Young.
10	Moving to the next. Today's judges that we've
11	heard from, Honorable Frank Addy.
12	MR. SAFRAN: Move to find him qualified and nominated.
13	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Motion to find judge Addy qualified and
14	nominated, seconded. All in favor signify by
15	raising right hand. Unanimous.
16	MS. CRAWFORD: Honorable, Honorable Perry H. Gravely.
17	MR. SAFRAN: Qualified.
18	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Find judge Gravely qualified and
19	nominated and seconded, all in favor signify by raising
20	their hands. And it's unanimous also known as unanimous.
21	And next.
22	MS. CRAWFORD: The Honorable Alex Kinlaw, Jr.
23	MS. BLACKLEY: Move to find qualified, nominated.
24	MR. SAFRAN: Seconded.
25	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Motion is seconded. All in

1	favor signify by raising their hand. Let the
2	record reflect it's unanimous.
3	MS. CRAWFORD: Honorable Vicki J. Snelgrove.
4	MR. SAFRAN: Qualified and nominated.
5	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Motion is find her
6	qualified, nominated and seconded all in favor
7	signify by raising their hand. Record reflect
8	that's unanimous.
9	MS. CRAWFORD: Honorable Milton G. Kimpson.
10	MS. BLACKLEY: Move to qualify and nominate.
11	SENATOR SABB: Second.
12	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Motion to find Judge Kimpson
13	qualified and nominated everybody raise their hand.
14	Let the record reflect that's unanimous. And
15	that's all we have right now and with that we have
16	lunch if Judge Bultman is here . Is he here? If
17	he's here let's take him since he came early. All
18	right, yeah, let's Senator Sabb motions to go into
19	executive session all in favor signify by saying
20	aye.
21	(Ayes are heard.)
22	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed.
23	(No replies are heard.)
24	CHAIRMAN SMITH: The ayes have it. We're in executive
25	session.

1	EXECUTIVE SESSION
2	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Representative Johnson moves
3	we come out of executive session, all in favor
4	signify by saying aye.
5	(Ayes are heard.)
6	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed.
7	
8	(No replies are heard.)
9	CHAIRMAN SMITH: The ayes have it. We're out of
10	executive session. Let me state while were in
11	executive session no matters were decided and no
12	votes were taken.
13	JUDGE BULTMAN: Afternoon.
14	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Hey, judge.
15	JUDGE BULTMAN: How are you?
16	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Doing well. You're going to benefit
17	from us. We've had Brown's Barbeque for lunch so
18	you're going to benefit from barbeque coma over
19	here so may be the fastest screening ever so.
20	JUDGE BULTMAN: I'm presiding in Kingstree this week and
21	I was at Brown's yesterday.
22	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. Would you like us to feed you
23	some more Brown's Barbeque?
24	JUDGE BULTMAN: No, I'm fine. It was delicious.
25	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right, Judge Bultman, appreciate you

coming early. Sorry to pull you away. We're ahead
of schedule so we'd like to keep moving. Would you
please raise your right hand. Do you swear to tell
the truth the whole truth and nothing but the truth
so help you god?
JUDGE BULTMAN: I do.
CHAIRMAN SMITH: Judge Bultman, before you you have your
personal data questionnaire and your sworn
statement. Are those both documents you submitted
to the Commission?
JUDGE BULTMAN: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN SMITH: It is. Are they correct?
JUDGE BULTMAN: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any changes or updates needed at this
time?
JUDGE BULTMAN: No, sir. None.
CHAIRMAN SMITH: You have any objection to us making
those as exhibits to your sworn testimony here
today?
JUDGE BULTMAN: No objection.
CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Without objection will you
hand those to Lindi for us please, sir, and we will
mark those as an exhibit to your testimony here
today. Judge Bultman, the judicial merit selection
commission has thoroughly investigated your

1	qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry is
2	focused on nine evaluative criteria. I has
3	included a ballot box survey, a thorough study of
4	your application materials, verification of your
5	compliance with state ethics laws, search of
6	newspaper articles in which your name appears,
7	study of previous screenings and checks for
8	economic conflicts of interest. There are no
9	affidavits filed in opposition to your candidacy
10	today and there are no witnesses here to testify.
11	Do you wish to make a brief opening statement to
12	the Commission?
13	(Exhibit Number 13 was marked for identification purposes
14	- (13 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for The
15	Honorable Thomas M. Bultman.)
16	(Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification purposes
17	- (4 pages) Sworn Statement of The Honorable Thomas M.
18	Bultman.)
19	JUDGE BULTMAN: I would.
20	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right.
21	JUDGE BULTMAN: Since being a Family Court judge this is
22	the best job I have ever had. I look forward to
23	going to work every day. And in my 39 years of
24	practice I certainly couldn't say that. It's a
25	fantastic job.

1 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Stole some of my questions I was going to ask you at the beginning. I don't think I ever 2 3 go anywhere without you thanking me and any of us 4 for being allowing you to serve on the bench so I 5 wish everyone had your enthusiasm. Answer any 6 questions that your screening attorney may have, 7 please, sir. 8 JUDGE BULTMAN - EXAMINATION BY MS. ROSS: 9 Judge Bultman, this may be a bit redundant from 0. 10 what you just said but after serving for three 11 years on the Family Court why do you want to 12 continue serving as a Family Court? 13 Like I said it's the greatest job I've ever had I Α. 14 look -- I honestly look forward to going to work 15 every day I really do. 16 0. Thank you, Judge. Judge Bultman, what do you think 17 your reputation is among attorneys that practice 18 before you and the court personnel you work with? 19 I would hope it would be excellent. Treating them Α. 20 with respect, the temperament I have. That's what 21 I would hope. 22 Thank you, Judge. Judge Bultman the commission Q. 23 received 337 ballot box surveys regarding you with 24 22 additional comments. The ballot box surveys for

example contain the following positive comments,

25

1 "Judge Bultman is an excellent judge. 2 temperament and control of the courtroom is beyond 3 perfect for this role. Each time I have been in 4 front of him judge Bultman has always shown the 5 parties as well as attorneys for both sides 6 compassion and fairness. Judge Bultman runs under 7 the radar but he's an intensely hard worker and 8 knows the law. He takes this job very seriously." 9 Only two of the written comments expressed any 10 One of the concerns involved your concerns. 11 demeanor with pro se litigants. Can you speak a 12 bit to how you deal with pro se litigants in your 13 courtroom? 14 Well we have most of the pro se litigants is going Α. 15 be on divorces uncontested. And I do treat them a 16 little a bit differently than in contested trials because what I do is I review the file first to 17 18 make sure everything's in order. And when they 19 come in I ask the questions and we get in and out 20 very quickly. With pro se litigants and contested 21 cases where there's a lawyer on the other side, I 22 tell them upfront that I treat them as if they 23 graduated from law school and passed the South

Garber Reporting info@garberreporting.com

Carolina Bar and I don't help them out at all.

Judge, another comment just questioned your

24

25

Q.

decision making in choosing between issuing no
contact orders versus order of protection. Can you
speak a bit to how you go about how you would
handle such a decision?

A. Most of the time in the hearings for orders of
protection neither party is represented. The way I
handle it is I put them under oath and I let the
alleged victim testify. I then let the alleged
perpetrator ask questions. And then I put the

protection neither party is represented. The way I handle it is I put them under oath and I let the alleged victim testify. I then let the alleged perpetrator ask questions. And then I put the alleged perpetrator under oath, tell me what, and then the alleged victim can cross-examine or ask questions. And based on what's before me, if I find there is sufficient evidence to issue the order of protection, I do that. And depending on what other relief they're looking for most of the time you see it where the alleged victim is saying they don't want any visitation for the parent. I do that on a case-by-case basis.

Q. Thank you, Judge.

MS. ROSS: I would note that the Pee Dee Citizens

Committee found Judge Bultman to be well qualified as to the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, professional and academic ability, character, reputation, experience and judicial temperament.

Judge Bultman was also found qualified in the

1 evaluative criteria of constitutional 2 qualifications, physical health and mental 3 stability. 4 Judge, just a few housekeeping items to go through 0. 5 with you. Since submitting your letter of intent 6 have you contacted any members of the Commission 7 about your candidacy? 8 I have not. Α. 9 Are you familiar with § 2-19-70, including the Q. 10 limitations on contacting members of the General 11 Assembly regarding your screening? 12 Α. I am. 13 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you 0. 14 sought or received the pledge of any legislator 15 either prior to this date or pending the outcome of 16 your screening? 17 Α. I have not. 18 Have you asked any third parties to contact members 0. 19 of the General Assembly on your behalf or are you 20 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this 21 process on your behalf? 22 Α. I haven't asked anybody to do that and I'm not 23 aware of anybody having done that. 24 Have you reviewed and do you understand the Q. 25 Commission's guidelines on pledging in South

Carolina code § 2-19-70(E)?

A. I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ROSS: I would just note for the record that any concerns raised during the investigation regarding the candidate were incorporated into the questioning of the candidate today and, Mr.

Chairman, I have no further questions.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Thank you very much. Bultman, let me just -- we've been going through this and a lot of sitting judges in uncontested elections and so we want to express things that this Commission looks at as it relates to sitting judges and how they're doing. And I think it's the consensus of this Commission that your character, your reputation and your temperament are generally the most important things as a judge for -- and that's one thing that we closely scrutinize. we do it through the ballot box surveys. know they're anonymous and anyone can make a comment about you without retribution or without accountability. And so, you know, you got to take them for what they are and there's outliers in But generally you can see patterns within them. And so we want to let you know what these there. patterns are as they relate to each candidate who's

1 a sitting judge. And I tell you I look at yours 2 and of all the comments you have, the unqualified -3 - as you know it's well qualified, qualified, and 4 unqualified. And through you here you don't have 5 anyone that says you're unqualified. As to your 6 reputation, as to your character, and more 7 importantly as to your temperament. So you are 8 obviously doing a wonderful job on the bench so we 9 want to thank you for that. We appreciate you 10 upholding the ideals and integrity of the judicial 11 system and the judicial branch through your service 12 and we just encourage you to continue the fine 13 service that you have right now. 14 Thank you so much. JUDGE BULTMAN: 15 CHAIRMAN SMITH: And the only other question now since I 16 have you under oath, I have to ask you this and I'm 17 sorry. But tell us how you felt when you heard 18 that Bunt Wilson was retiring from practicing 19 family law? 20 JUDGE BULTMAN: I thought he should go into mediation, I 21 told him that before. And I know his daughter is 22 here. 23 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Oh, lord. You going to miss his 24 emergency hearings? 25 JUDGE BULTMAN: Well. What happened is he guit sending

1	them to me because I denied them.
2	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. That's my law partner for y'all
3	who don't know. He's never had a case that was not
4	an emergency.
5	JUDGE BULTMAN: Exactly. I think I broke him with that.
6	CHAIRMAN SMITH: And Lucy Grey's father, so. So we
7	proudly this is all kidding and affection with
8	him.
9	JUDGE BULTMAN: I know that. I know that.
10	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Any questions for Judge
11	Bultman? All right. No questions. Judge Bultman
12	we're going to
13	JUDGE BULTMAN: Can I say my only regret is?
14	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes.
15	JUDGE BULTMAN: I've got to retire in four years.
16	CHAIRMAN SMITH: I know that. And I think the regret
17	that's the regret of the Bar in that you should
18	have run a whole lot earlier when you had the
19	opportunity.
20	JUDGE BULTMAN: Well, thank you very much.
21	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well, Judge Bultman, this concludes this
22	portion of your screening process and let me take
23	this opportunity to remind you that pursuant to the
24	Commission's evaluative criteria, the Commission
25	expects candidates follow the spirit as well as the

1	letter of the ethics law and we will view
2	violations or the appearance of impropriety as
3	serious and potentially deserving of heavy weight
4	in the screening deliberations. As you may be
5	aware, the record will remain open until the formal
6	release of the report of qualifications. You may
7	be called back at such time if the need arises. I
8	thank you for being here today and I thank you for
9	your service to the state of South Carolina.
10	JUDGE BULTMAN: Thank you for having me. Y'all have a
11	great day.
12	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Safe travels back home,
13	thank you.
14	(Off the Record)
15	
16	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Mr. Safran moves that we go into
17	executive session. All in favor say aye.
18	(Ayes are heard.)
19	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed?
20	(No replies are heard.)
21	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ayes have it and we will go into
22	executive session.
23	EXECUTIVE SESSION
24	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Coming out of executive session. All
25	right. Representative Johnson moves we come out of

1	executive session, all in favor signify by saying
2	
	aye.
3	(Ayes are heard.)
4	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed?
5	(No replies are heard.)
6	CHAIRMAN SMITH: The ayes have it. We're out of
7	executive session. And we're going vote on the
8	candidacy of Judge Bultman.
9	VOTE
10	MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, Judge Bultman is unopposed
11	re-election Family Court 3rd circuit, seat one.
12	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Move to be found qualified
13	and nominated.
14	MS. MCIVER: Seconded.
15	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Motion seconded, all in
16	favor signify by raising their hand. And the proxy
17	vote of Senator Sabb is with him. The ghost. Well
18	it's unanimous of those present and voting which is
19	or eight.
20	MS. CRAWFORD: Thank you Mr. Chairman.
21	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. With that being said we'll
22	go Ms. McIver moves that we go back into executive
23	session and all in favor signify by saying aye.
24	(Ayes are heard.)
25	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed?

1	(No replies are heard.)
2	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ayes have it. And we're back in
	-
3	executive session.
4	EXECUTIVE SESSION
5	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right we are back on the
6	record and while in executive session no votes were
7	taken, no business was conducted and now we will
8	proceed to the next candidate. Judge Brigman,
9	welcome.
10	JUDGE BRIGMAN: Thank you.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And thank you for being patient
12	with us.
13	JUDGE BRIGMAN: I'm glad to.
14	THE HONORABLE CELY ANN BRIGMAN, being duly sworn,
15	testifies as follows:
16	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. You've got a security
17	attachment or detachment with you. Why don't you
18	introduce that?
19	JUDGE BRIGMAN: This is my husband Greg, he's my hero and
20	my biggest fan. So he came along for the show
21	today.
22	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And he could be security for
23	anybody.
24	JUDGE BRIGMAN: He could, he could.
25	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome.

1	MR. BRIGMAN: Thank you.
2	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. All right. We are
3	going to jump into this and as you know, Judge, you
4	have filled out for us again a personal data
5	questionnaire and sworn statement, correct?
6	JUDGE BRIGMAN: Yes, sir.
7	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And those are ready to go in the
8	record if they are complete and don't need any
9	amendments.
10	JUDGE BRIGMAN: They are complete.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. And if you'll hand
12	those to Lindi to your left we will mark them and
13	put them in as evidence in your record. You are
14	aware and you have screened through JMSC before.
15	(Exhibit Number 15 was marked for identification purposes
16	- (14 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for The
17	Honorable Cely Ann Brigman.)
18	(Exhibit Number 16 was marked for identification purposes
19	- (6 pages) Sworn Statement for The Honorable Cely Ann
20	Brigman.)
21	JUDGE BRIGMAN: I have.
22	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And so for the record we put this
23	in there just to remind you and those that are
24	reading hereafter but we look at nine evaluative
25	criteria in our investigation of your candidacy and

1	your qualifications to serve on the bench. A
2	ballot box survey, a thorough study of your
3	application materials, verification of you
4	compliance with the state ethics laws, a search of
5	newspaper articles in which your name appears,
6	study of previous screenings, and a check for
7	economic conflicts of interest. No affidavits have
8	been filed in opposition to your election and no
9	witnesses are present to testify unless your
10	husband signals to me that he'd like for me to ask.
11	JUDGE BRIGMAN: I'm not letting that happen.
12	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: He's a little more security minded
13	than you are. I'm going to if he winks, I'm
14	going to let him come up.
15	JUDGE BRIGMAN: Okay.
16	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge you have the opportunity to
17	make an ever so brief opening statement if you'd
18	like.
19	JUDGE BRIGMAN: I would just say as I'm glad to be here
20	and I would like this community to know what a
21	great staff you have. Erin, Lindi and Roland have
22	been very helpful and this is kind of a stressful
23	time and they make it a little easier so I
24	appreciate them.
25	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. All right. Roland,

1 take it away. 2 JUDGE BRIGMAN - EXAMINATION BY MR. FRANKLIN: 3 Thank you Mr. Chairman. Good afternoon judge 0. 4 Brigman. 5 Α. Good afternoon. 6 After serving six years on the Family Court why do 0. 7 you want to continue serving as a Family Court 8 iudge? 9 I will just tell you all that I love this job. Α. And 10 my husband will tell you there's not a day that I 11 get up that I dread going to work. I love the 12 people, I love the court staff, I've had the chance 13 doing this job to meet people from all over the 14 state and it's just something I want to continue 15 doing. What do you think your reputation is among 16 0. 17 attorneys that practice before you? 18 I hope it's good. I hope it is. I try hard to get Α. 19 along with the attorneys and be available when they need things, so I hope so. 20 21 Judge Brigman the Commission received 402 ballot Q. box surveys regarding you with 38 additional 22 23 comments. The ballot box survey, for example, 24 contained the following positive comments. First, 25 "Judge Brigman is always thoughtful about actions

1 before her and fair in her rulings. She explains 2 everything to attorneys and parties in terms that 3 are understandable. An asset to the bench." 4 Second, as a young lawyer in the fourth circuit it 5 has been a pleasure to appear in front of Judge 6 Brigman regularly. She is helpful, respectful, and 7 accommodating to lawyers." Third, "She is an asset 8 to the Family Court bench always willing to 9 accommodate litigants and lawyers, very accessible 10 on and off the bench with emergencies and 11 scheduling issues, possesses wonderful judicial 12 temperament and is very practical." Finally, "I've 13 only had the pleasure of appearing once before 14 Judge Brigman several years ago as she was a visiting judge in our circuit. We were scheduled 15 16 to appear before her in a three-day highly 17 contested trial. With Judge Brigman's help, we 18 were able to successfully reach an agreement with 19 the opposing party. During that hearing, I was 20 impressed with both her temperament and her 21 knowledge of the law. Even though this hearing was over five years ago, I'll never forget appearing 22 23 before her and the impression that she left on me 24 as a relatively new attorney." 25 MR. FRANKLIN: Members of the Commission, there were no

1 negative written comments. I would note that the 2 Pee Dee Citizens Committee found Judge Brigman 3 qualified in the evaluative criteria of 4 constitutional qualifications, physical health and 5 mental stability. The Committee found her well 6 qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical 7 fitness, professional and academic ability, 8 character, reputation, experience, and judicial 9 Now for a few housekeeping issues. temperament. 10 Judge Brigman, are you aware that as a judicial 11 candidate you are bound by the code of judicial 12 conduct as found in rule 501 of the South Carolina 13 appellate court rules.

- 14 | A. I am.
- Q. Since submitting your letter of intent have you contacted any members of the Commission about your candidacy?
- 18 A. I have not.
- 19 Q. Are you familiar with § 2-19-70, including the
 20 limitations on contacting members of the General
 21 Assembly regarding your screening?
- 22 | A. I am.
- 23 Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you
 24 sought or received the pledge of any legislator
 25 either prior to this date or pending the outcome of

1 your screening? 2 Α. I have not. 3 Have you asked any third parties to contact members Q. 4 of the General Assembly on your behalf or are you 5 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this 6 process on your behalf? 7 Α. I have not. Have you reviewed and do you understand the 8 0. 9 commissions guidelines on pledging and SC code § 2-10 19-70(E)? 11 I do. Α. 12 MR. FRANKLIN: I would note for the record that any 13 concerns raised during the investigation regarding 14 the candidate were incorporated into the 15 questioning of the candidate today. Mr. Chairman, 16 I have no further questions. 17 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. Thank you, Roland. 18 I want to just start it off here by complimenting 19 you on your desire to continue serving and 20 particularly comments that have been highlighted 21 here in addition to lots of others that speak to 22 your apparent light robe and people-centric, 23 customer-service-centric attitude that you 24 demonstrate. And it appears that you like your 25 job.

1	JUDGE BRIGMAN: I love my job. I want you to keep
2	letting me have my job.
3	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And your husband is doing an amen
4	back there by the nodding of the head. And so as -
5	- and this is not easy, an easy field of law. I've
6	been through it, unfortunately.
7	JUDGE BRIGMAN: I have as well.
8	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And so to those who do it and do
9	it with grace and love and compassion is remarkable
10	with some of the horrific things that you see,
11	other than just adults separating. And so how to
12	steel yourself to continue to have that passion and
13	that joy and that personal touch of help that you
14	bring?
15	JUDGE BRIGMAN: I think it's just who I am. I mean, I
16	think it's just sort of baked in that I was just
17	brought up to treat other people the way I would
18	want to be treated and I just try to live by that.
19	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And if you didn't do it you
20	probably wouldn't get a letter from your priest
21	written on your behalf as a member of you are a
22	member of the vestry; is that correct?
23	JUDGE BRIGMAN: I am.
24	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And is that a deacon in the
25	Baptist vernacular?

1	JUDGE BRIGMAN: It's not really a deacon it's kind of
2	like the board of board, it's kind of like the
3	governing board of the church.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. You are a gifted
5	leader on our vestry leadership team at St.
6	Matthews Church where reverend E. Ragland Coxe
7	wrote on your behalf. Thank you for your desire to
8	continue doing this.
9	JUDGE BRIGMAN: Thank you for allowing me to do it.
10	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Questions of any of the Commission
11	members?
12	MR. STROM: Mr. Chairman.
13	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Strom.
14	MR. STROM: I don't have a question but I do want to say
15	that you're just doing a wonderful job that the
16	bench just has nothing but very high or the bar
17	has nothing but high regard for you, they enjoy a
18	hearing in front of you, you make their job
19	pleasurable in a difficult time and keep up the
20	good work.
21	JUDGE BRIGMAN: Thank you. Thank you. Mr. Strom had to
22	and Susan had a case in front of me in Aiken as
23	a visiting judge they gave me the gypsies. It was
24	the DSS case for abuse and neglect.
25	MR. STROM: We prefer to call them the travelers.

1	JUDGE BRIGMAN: The travelers, okay, I'm sorry. My bad.
2	But anyway it was an interesting case.
3	MR. STROM: Yeah, it was an interesting case.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Senator Talley.
5	SENATOR TALLEY: Judge, good afternoon.
6	JUDGE BRIGMAN: Good afternoon.
7	SENATOR TALLEY: Thanks for being here.
8	JUDGE BRIGMAN: Thank you.
9	SENATOR TALLEY: Know you've been to the upstate a couple
10	times, I think.
11	JUDGE BRIGMAN: I loved Spartanburg. That area up there
12	is one of my favorites.
13	SENATOR TALLEY: Well we're glad to have you back any
14	time. Just a question and something that we've
15	wrestled with some of us a couple days and talked
16	with other Family Court judges about. Obviously
17	coming through Covid and some of the changes that
18	were made particularly in regards to temporary
19	hearings and dockets and packets and things of that
20	nature. If we handed you the magic wand and said
21	help us fix the Family Court docketing issues, what
22	are some of your thoughts on that?
23	JUDGE BRIGMAN: Well that's one of the things that our
24	circuit, I think, handled well. The way we handled
25	Covid. But I think in terms of moving dockets

1	forward having an uncontested divorce be able to be
2	done by packet will free up a lot of court time.
3	There are some motions I think that could continue
4	to be done by packet. I personally don't want to
5	do any more WebEx than I have to but I think that's
6	a tool that can be used to clear up and to keep
7	things moving. We've now gone to since Covid
8	actually we've gone to doing all of our bench
9	warrants by Web-Ex so that streamlines and frees up
10	time as well as making things easier for the
11	detention center. So I think Covid taught us a lot
12	about being able to keep things moving.
13	SENATOR TALLEY: Thank you.
14	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, Judge Brigman.
15	Anything else, anybody? And again, the lack of
16	questions is great indication of our regard of the
17	work that you're doing.
18	JUDGE BRIGMAN: Thank you. I appreciate that.
19	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Unless your husband has anything
20	he'd like for me to ask
21	JUDGE BRIGMAN: He does not.
22	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: This then will conclude this
23	portion of our screening process and again thank
24	you for participating, thank you for serving.
25	JUDGE BRIGMAN: Thank you, Senator. Nice to see you all.

1	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Hold on, you're not done. The
2	witness is not released from the chair just yet.
3	JUDGE BRIGMAN: Oh, I'm sorry.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: But you have to give me a verbal
5	affirmation of this but again you know that we take
6	this investigation very seriously.
7	JUDGE BRIGMAN: Yes, sir.
8	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And we abide by both the spirit
9	and the letter of the law and expect candidates,
10	that being the South Carolina ethics rules. So any
11	violation of that or appearance of impropriety
12	would be deemed very serious and potentially
13	deserving heavy weight in our screening process.
14	You know that this report is not issued until the
15	final release of qualifications in that formal
16	release. And so in the unlikely event that there
17	would be anything in that area you know, do you
18	not, Judge, that we could call you back for further
19	questioning?
20	JUDGE BRIGMAN: I do understand that, yes, sir.
21	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We do not expect to see you but
22	now you are dismissed, y'all have a lovely day.
23	Thank you.
24	JUDGE BRIGMAN: Thank you so much, thank you for what you
25	do.

1	(Off the Record)
2	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We're going to go back on the
3	record. All right. Welcome. Raise your right
4	hand, if you will. Don't knock your cup over. Is
5	that your cup, by the way?
6	JUDGE HALL: That is.
7	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Is it a spit cup or a
8	drinking cup?
9	JUDGE HALL: No, it's a cup of water but I will do my
10	best not to knock it over.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: If you're animated and slosh it to
12	the right, Pete Strom likes getting showers.
13	JUDGE HALL: My wife told me to keep my hands on the
14	table.
15	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right.
16	THE HONORABLE DANIEL HALL, being duly sworn,
17	testifies as follows:
18	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, sir. You have before
19	you documents, a PDQ and a sworn statement, and
20	I'll ask if those need to be amended, or are they
21	ready to be introduced into the record?
22	JUDGE HALL: They're ready to be introduced.
23	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And you don't object to that,
24	correct?
25	JUDGE HALL: No, I don't.

```
1
    VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. If you'll hand those to the
 2
           court reporter to your left. Jeni, thank you so
 3
           much.
 4
     (Exhibit Number 17 was marked for identification purposes
 5
     - (14 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for The
 6
    Honorable Daniel Hall.)
 7
     (Exhibit Number 18 was marked for identification purposes
8
     - (6 pages) Sworn Statement of The Honorable Daniel
9
    Hall.)
10
    COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir.
11
    VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And at this point, Judge, you were
12
           last screened when?
13
                  Six years ago, 2016. I filled Judge
    JUDGE HALL:
14
           Alford's unexpired term, and I served a year -- or
15
           a year and a half and then I would re-screen for
16
           the six-year term.
17
    VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. And I have not met you in
           this capacity on this Selection Commission, and so
18
19
           nice to meet you. We have --
20
    JUDGE HALL: Can I introduce my quests?
21
    VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Well, please.
22
    JUDGE HALL: This is my son, Charlie. He is a lieutenant
23
           colonel in the Marine Corps. I thought I needed
24
          military help today, so I called in the Marines.
25
    VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. We had a Family Court
```

1 just bring her husband who I thought looked like he 2 could be in the Marines, so -- Judge Brigman, so if 3 you haven't met him, you might enlist him. 4 thank you, sir, for your service in the Marines. 5 We have, as you know, the very serious 6 responsibility investigating your candidacy and 7 your qualifications to serve on the bench. And in our investigation we focus on nine evaluative 8 9 criteria, which includes the ballot box survey, a 10 thorough study of your application materials, 11 verification of your compliance with state ethics 12 laws, a search of newspaper articles in which your 13 name appears, a study of previous screenings and a 14 check for economic conflicts of interest. 15 received three affidavits filed in opposition to 16 your election, and there are three witnesses 17 present to testify. And at this point, you have 18 the opportunity to make a brief opening statement 19 if you would like before we turn it over for 20 questioning by staff. 21 I have no opening statement. JUDGE HALL: 22 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. 23 JUDGE HALL - EXAMINATION BY MS. WILKINSON: 24 Good afternoon, Judge Hall. Judge Hall, after Q. 25 serving many years on the Circuit Court bench, why

1 do you want to continue to be a Circuit Court 2 judge? 3 I have enjoyed my seven years of service. Α. 4 believe that serving as a circuit judge, certainly 5 for Judge Hall, is the highest honor that I can 6 attain as an attorney. I also enjoy -- it's a huge 7 responsibility being accountable to the public and 8 the citizens of our state, and I'd like to continue 9 to do that for another term. Judge Hall, I will note for the record that your 10 Q. 11 SLED report indicated that there was a lawsuit 12 filed against you since your last screening. 13 was filed against you in your capacity as a judge, 14 and you were one of many named defendants. 15 lawsuit was subsequently dismissed. I'll also note 16 that you've updated your PDQ to reflect that 17 lawsuit. Is there any information you would like 18 to provide regarding this lawsuit? 19 Α. No. 20 Judge Hall, what do you think your reputation is Q. 21 among attorneys that practice before you? 22 Up until a few weeks ago, I thought my reputation Α. 23 was that I was patient, fair, courteous, competent.

Judge Hall, the Commission received 403 ballot box

surveys regarding you with 75 additional comments.

24

25

Q.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments, "Having come to the practice of law late in life, Judge Hall brings with him a wealth of non-legal experience which has allowed him to use much needed common sense in fashioning practical solutions to legal problems. As a judge, Judge Hall is always courteous and respectful to parties that appear before him, regardless of their standing in our society. importantly, he is both independent and unbiased and is willing to fully hear from all parties before making a decision. No attorney receives a favorable ruling or decision in every case. There certainly have been times when I experienced unfavorable rulings on decision from Judge Hall." And of course, I am quoting here from the commenter. "That being said, I've always felt that in every case I have handled before Judge Hall, that he was earnest and sincere and trying to do what he believed was fair and just to the parties appearing before him. This is all an attorney or litigant can ask of a judge. In the 40 plus years I have practiced law, I have tried 100s of cases and appeared before most of the circuit judges in our state, and very few are as possessed of the

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

judicial temperament, legal ability and work ethic as Judge Hall. He's an asset to the people of South Carolina, and it would be a shame to lose 4 such an able and compassionate judge. commenter stated, "Judge Hall is an excellent He is wise, compassionate where iudae. appropriate, tough where appropriate, pragmatic and full of common sense. He treats all parties in front of him with respect and professionalism. Also, I believe he is one of the hardest, if not the hardest, working judge in South Carolina. held court almost every day in the pandemic to make sure the local jail did not get overcrowded and 14 that jail cases continued moving through the He is without a doubt one of the best judges in the state." Twenty of the written 17 comments did express concerns. Many of the negative comments regarded the concern that you have a bias in favor of the defense. Some comments state that you have an open dislike of certain attorneys, and that you can be rude and belittling to those you don't care for. There are concerns that you do not have the ability to be impartial in your rulings due to your bias against certain attorneys. How do you respond to these concerns?

25

Α.

Well, you know, when I responded to one of the complaints, I'm not naive enough to know that we're not often the best judges of our own character. And I cannot think of an instance where I was rude in court toward any particular lawyer. I certainly believe my responsibility as a judge, particularly in the area -- in criminal courts, is to examine what's before me before I sign my name. I think in seven years I figured -- I probably very conservatively signed my name or, you know, at least 1,000, 1,500 individuals before me in general sessions court in any given year, sometimes maybe more than that. Over seven years that would be close to 10,000 individuals that have been before I take it very seriously how I treat the people in front of me. However, if I have been rude or perceived as rude -- and I understand the judicial canons about our demeanor can often be interpreted certain ways in court, and if that's something I need to work on -- and it's certainly always something I always need to work on -- and we learn to the day we die, and I hope that that would be a teachable moment. And I'll try to treat certain lawyers more courteously if they believe I have not. But I do not believe I've treated anyone

1 discourteously in court. 2 Judge Hall, there were also concerns in the ballot Q. 3 box regarding insensitivity to and treatment of 4 victims, and in particular the handling of domestic 5 violence victims and their cases with one comment 6 stating that you are not trauma informed. How do 7 you respond to these concerns? 8 I don't know what trauma informed means. Α. 9 That is the quote that was used in the ballot box. Q. My answer is I don't know what trauma informed 10 Α. 11 means. 12 Q. Yes, sir. And how would you respond to the 13 concerns that you were insensitive to victims in 14 the treatment of them? 15 Again, I attempt to -- I certainly listen to Α. 16 everyone that has a right to be in court. Victims 17 on any crime have a right to be in court, have a 18 right to be heard, and again, I believe that I 19 However, at the end of the day I'm called 20 to be fair and impartial and to call balls and 21 strikes on each particular case. And I do not 22 believe I have a bias against domestic violence 23 victims. 24 Judge Hall, there were also concerns that you make Q.

decisions based on your personal feelings and you

do not follow the law when ruling on an issue. How would you respond to those concerns?

- A. I do everything I can to follow the law. It's my responsibility. There have been occasions when lawyers, if I have ruled improperly, that if brought to my attention, I'll correct that. But again, it's my responsibility to rule correctly on the law. Certainly, lawyers may disagree with my view of the law and how I rule. However, again, that's the judge's call to make those rulings on the law.
- Q. Judge Hall, there were additional concerns that you have a lack of understanding of the law in both civil and criminal court. How would you respond to those concerns?
- A. I tell lawyers that appear in front of me in civil court and criminal court their job as lawyers is to educate Judge Hall. I can't -- I do not grasp all of the law, all the procedure, but the role of the lawyer is to educate the judge. I think I can make the decision when lawyers give me lawyerly (ph) answers. And I think I have an adequate grasp of the law to be able to proficiently do my job.
- Q. And finally, Judge Hall, there were concerns that your sentences in criminal cases are too lenient.

1 How would you respond to those concerns? 2 I'd say that, again, --Α. 3 There were concerns that your --0. 4 Oh, no, I understand the question. I was repeating Α. 5 myself. 6 Yes, sir. 0. 7 I just say again, each person that comes in front Α. 8 of me -- every case is unique. I have had some 9 complaints sometimes that, Judge Hall, you're not 10 very predictable. Sometimes as a defense attorney 11 I understand that. We want to be able to tell our 12 clients that we think the judge is going to do so 13 But I try to weigh each case that's in 14 front of me and to sentence what I believe is an 15 appropriate sentence that's proportional to the 16 offense within the bounds of the law. 17 MS. WILKINSON: I would note that the Piedmont Citizens 18 Committee found Judge Hall qualified in the 19 evaluative criteria of Constitutional 20 qualifications, physical health and mental 21 stability, and they found him well qualified in the 22 evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, 23 professional and academic ability, character, 24 reputation, experience and judicial temperament. 25 The Committee stated in summary, "Judge Hall brings

1	to the bench a wide range of professional
2	experience, both legal and non-legal. This
3	experience is reflected in his admirable work
4	ethic, his dogged independence and his
5	determination to treat all who come before him
6	fairly and without preference. The Committee
7	believes that Judge Hall is well qualified to serve
8	as a Circuit Court judge." Mr. Chairman, I would
9	like to turn your attention to Rule 8 regarding the
10	Citizens Committee Report which provides in part,
11	"The Citizens Committee's Report will be due no
12	later than five days prior to the beginning of
13	public hearings unless otherwise provided by the
14	Commission. However, if during the course of its
15	investigation, the Committee discovers information
16	that warrants further investigation by the full
17	Commission, the Committee shall forward its
18	concerns as soon as possible along with the basis
19	of those concerns to the Commission." Piedmont
20	Committee Citizens' Chair, Mr. Stephen Cox, did
21	forward a letter and an email to the Commission on
22	November 11th, 2021 requesting that an addendum to
23	the Committee's Report be sent to the respective
24	candidates, made part of the Committee's Report and
25	be included in the materials available to the

1	Commission members. The addendum is a transcript
2	from a 2020 bond hearing which was held before
3	Judge Hall, and the letter that you have before you
4	from Mr. Cox, both of those should be on your
5	system, Mr. Chairman, and I believe Judge Hall has
6	been sent copies of both of those.
7	JUDGE HALL: Yes, thank you.
8	MS. WILKINSON: Mr. Chairman, the letter provides in
9	part, "Shortly before the Commission submitted its
10	screening report excuse me.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Wait a minute.
12	MS. WILKINSON: Yes, sir.
13	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And that letter and Report is a
14	part of the record?
15	MS. WILKINSON: Yes, sir.
16	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You're moving that in.
17	MS. WILKINSON: Yes, sir.
18	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: There's no objection to that?
19	MS. WILKINSON: Yes, sir.
20	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And that will be published, okay.
21	MS. WILKINSON: Yes, sir. And I'm going to briefly
22	restate the letter. "Shortly before the Committee
23	submitted its" and I'm reading now "shortly
24	before the Committee submitted its screening report
25	to the Commission a few weeks ago, the Citizens

Committee began to hear numerous concerns from
local bar members about the race. To assess these
concerns thoroughly and to gather information
relevant to their mandate, the chair of the
Committee sent an email to all members of the bar
of York County and Union, asking them for any
information that would assist the Committee in
conducting its evaluation. The Committee reviewed
and considered approximately 25 to 30 emails and
phone calls which were received in response to the
email sent out by the Committee chair. The
Committee does not believe that any of this
information warrants a change to the screening
report that was submitted to the Commission. They
do want to bring the information to the
Commission's attention so that the Commission can
consider it in its deliberations. The letter goes
on to state that Judge Hall was lauded by a number
of members of the Bar for his fairness, his common
sense, his excellent judicial temperament, but that
a number of the members of the Sixteenth Circuit
Solicitor's Office criticized Judge Hall strongly
for what they perceived to be his noted bias in
favor of defense counsel, and particularly the
solicitors reported that Judge Hall had repeatedly

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

challenged their prosecutorial discretion in open court concerning a particular plea offer or a charge to pursue, for example. He regularly was short and combative with them in public and had openly shown a preference for the defendants and defense counsel. Several also said that they believed Judge Hall had wrongly applied the law in some cases. Mr. Cox states that upon further review, it appeared that the lawyers were really criticizing Judge Hall's applications of his own judicial discretion. Finally, some members of the solicitor's office said that Judge Hall minimized the significance of domestic violence cases and had undermined the solicitor's office attempt to make domestic violence an enforcement priority. letter further states that in short the Commission received numerous reports evidencing a strong and persistent difference of opinion between Judge Hall and a significant number of local prosecutors. The Committee believes that the Commission should inquire thoroughly into this issue during its interviews. In particular, the Committee felt that it was important to address the criticism of Judge Hall's judgment in the Paul Johnson bond hearing. Mr. Chairman, I will provide the facts of the Paul

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Johnson bond hearing as stated in the transcript that is before you on your system. Mr. Johnson had been charged with domestic violence of a high and aggravated nature, a violent crime pursuant to § 16-1-60 and was initially granted bond by a York County magistrate. That bond was revoked by the magistrate, and Mr. Johnson went back to jail after he violated a condition of the bond by going back to the marital home at a time when the wife was apparently not at the home. Mr. Johnson sought a reconsideration of his bond revocation, and Judge Hall granted bond on the same conditions as those initially imposed by the magistrate. Tragically, Mr. Johnson shot and killed his wife and his five year old son and himself after being released from Mr. Cox goes on to state in his letter that iail. it was widely reported and suggested that Judge Hall had granted bond to Mr. Johnson over the objection of the solicitor's office. The Committee reviewed the actual transcripts, which again is before you, from the bond hearing. Mr. Chairman, as an aside, Judge Hall stated to me that he had provided the Citizens Committee with the transcript after filing the transcript. Mr. Cox has provided again the transcript for the Commission's review.

Mr. Cox goes on to state, "As you can see the
assistant solicitor who handled that January 2020
hearing did not object to bond being granted by
Judge Hall. Indeed, neither the assistant
solicitor nor the victim took any position
whatsoever at that January hearing about whether
bond should be granted or denied. Although it was
the solicitor's office that moved to revoke bond in
the first instance." Mr. Chairman, pursuant to the
transcript, the victim was asked by Judge Hall if
she thought that the defendant should stay
incarcerated until the case was disposed of. The
record reflects that she remained silent. Judge
Hall noted for the record, however, that she
appeared very, very nervous. Mr. Cox goes on to
state in the letter that the Committee was informed
during their investigation that the assistant
solicitor did not speak up more forcefully at the
bond hearing because she had been intimidated by
Judge Hall in other proceedings. The Committee was
not able to confirm this, but stated that it was
worth noting that they believe Mr. Johnson, the
Defendant in the case, had a good job and no other
criminal history at the time he was granted bond.
The Committee wishes to emphasize that they believe

1 it is unfair to criticize Judge Hall or any other 2 Circuit Court judge in hindsight for making a 3 particular bond decision that results in a tragic 4 consequence that may have been difficult to 5 foresee. The Committee believed Judge Hall should 6 consider the entire history of a Circuit Court 7 judge's bond decisions, those granting and denying 8 bond, before singling out a particularly high 9 profile case for criticism. And Mr. Chairman, that 10 information has been entered into the record. 11 Chairman, three complaints have been filed against 12 Judge Hall at this time. I would ask that we move 13 now to those complaints. 14 Judge Hall, we're going to have VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 15 you take a seat, and then we're going to hear 16 these, and then obviously you'll have the 17 opportunity to come back up and comment. 18 JUDGE HALL: All right. Thank you. 19 MS. WILKINSON: Two of the complaints were filed by Eddie 20 and Daisy Neal, who are here with us today. 21 They're the parents of a felony DUI victim whose 22 case was before Judge Hall. Ms. Daisy Neal, will 23 you please come forward? Ms. Neal, if you can just 24 come to the podium, please. Ms. Neal, if you'll 25 please remove your mask so that the court reporter

1	here can hear what you're saying, and if you'll
2	state your name for the record, please.
3	MS. NEAL: My name's Daisy Darlene Neal.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. Neal, welcome.
5	DAISY NEAL, having been duly sworn, testifies as
6	follows:
7	MS. WILKINSON: Ms. Neal, you have provided your
8	Affidavit of Complaint to the Commission as well as
9	transcripts from the proceeding in which your son
10	appeared before Judge Hall; is that correct?
11	MS. NEAL: Yes, ma'am.
12	MS. WILKINSON: Mr. Chairman, at this time I would move
13	that those be made a part of the record.
14	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Without objection.
15	(Exhibit Number 19 was marked for identification
16	purposes - (75 pages) Affidavit of Daisy and Eddie Neal.)
17	MS. WILKINSON: Ms. Neal, your Affidavit of Complaint and
18	the transcripts have been made a part of the
19	record. Is there anything you wish to add
20	regarding your complaint?
21	MS. NEAL: I just know that my son was really badly hurt,
22	almost killed, in an accident. My son could have
23	died. My son's got problems right now that he will
24	never recover from. His hands are numb. His hands
25	are so numb they go to sleep on him. They've never

done that before. He's going to be an invalid
before he gets old. He will not be able to work.
He will not be able to use his arms and his hands
like he wants to. I feel sorry for my son, because
he's got to go through life, and he didn't get
hardly anything out of it, no remorse or nothing.
The judge I just I'm upset with the judge for
what he done. My son didn't get no nothing out
of it at all. He didn't even get to say his piece.
I stood there and cried because I was pushed back
because I couldn't say anything, but I've got a
statement here that I want to read if I could.
MS. WILKINSON: Ms. Neal, if I may, have we been provided
that statement?
MS. NEAL: Yes, ma'am.
VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So it is in the record, and I'm
not trying to tell you not to publish it, but I
wanted to make sure that was the same
MS. NEAL: Yes, sir. It's in there.
VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good.
MS. NEAL: My son, Daniel Neal, is a hard working young
man who works every day and goes to church. Daniel
was on his way to church on February the 18th, 2018
when a drunk driver ran a stop sign and pulled out
on the highway on 321 in front of my son, causing

1 my son to hit a car. He was on his motorbike. 2 hit the window of her car on the driver's side and 3 came back out on the road. He couldn't even get 4 up, and he yelled for help because his boss went to 5 the church where it happened. They called for 6 My son couldn't work because both his arms 7 were broke, and he had to have rods in both arms. 8 He is in constant pain. His pain will never go 9 He has a broke tooth in the wreck, and it is 10 still broke, and it hurts him all the time. 11 permanent nerve damage in both arms that will hurt 12 him for more than he -- when he gets older because 13 of the veins in his arms. He was airlifted to CMC 14 Daniel did not have any health Charlotte. 15 insurance. He is -- this is a lifetime recovery. 16 I want you to know that he is still working. He 17 has not given up. He is a heavy equipment 18 operator, but he will never be the same again. 19 of right now, the tips of his fingers are numb. 20 What is going to be in 20 years from now? He won't 21 be able to work. He won't be able to do anything. 22 Daniel's income taxes was garnished because of his 23 medical bills, entirely not his fault. Had to take 24 off time from work to take care of him as if he was 25 an infant. He wasn't able to take care of his

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

self. When the Defendant pleaded guilty on October the 5th, 2018 in front of Judge Hall -- the Defendant pleaded guilty in front of Judge Hall, but the sentencing was put off. When we went to court the second time for sentencing on January the 24th of 2019, we saw Judge Hall again. We expected justice for at least two and a half years of prison time, something, because it was unnecessary. Hall was more worried about the Defendant instead of my son and my family. Judge Hall gave her house arrest, and he told her that she could go wherever she wanted to go with a driver in the car with her. He gave her no punishment at all. I wanted to get 14 up right there and say how I felt but I was pushed in the arm, and I couldn't say anything or I would be locked up. I was so, so mad. I started to cry. We are angry and disappointed in the judge. We did everything right, and my son didn't get any justice We came back to court on February the 12th, 2019 because Judge Hall gave a sentence that did not follow the statute. And we pleaded our case again, and he didn't give Daniel a chance to talk or anything. Judge Hall went to her side. even let her tell him when it was best for her to take her time in jail to stay four hours a day for

1	30 days, and she will be home with her family.
2	That is wrong. We did everything right. Why? I
3	don't understand. And my son got slapped in the
4	face in court. This is wrong. Judge Hall can't do
5	his job. He needs to step down, because you just
6	don't give a girl that was drinking no time at all
7	and let her set her own time in jail for her crime.
8	Thank you.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, Ms. Neal. Thank you.
10	MS. WILKINSON: Mr. Chairman, the second I have no
11	further questions of Ms. Neal.
12	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Do any members of the Commission
13	have questions of Ms. Neal? Otherwise, thank you
14	so much for being here.
15	MS. NEAL: Thank you.
16	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right.
17	MS. WILKINSON: Mr. Chairman, the second complaint was
18	filed by Ms. Neal's husband, Mr. Eddie Neal.
19	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. Neal, you can sit down. Mr.
20	Eddie Neal, come on up if you would like.
21	MR. NEAL: Sir, I think she said as much as I could say.
22	MS. WILKINSON: They were the same complaint, Mr.
23	Chairman.
24	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And that's your wife who just
25	spoke?

1 MR. NEAL: Yes, sir. 2 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Correct. All right. And we have 3 your information as well. 4 MR. NEAL: Yes, sir. 5 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Would you like to add anything 6 further that your wife hasn't already said? 7 MR. NEAL: No, sir, not really. It sounded pretty much 8 right on the top to me with what she said about the 9 girl not getting any punishment whatsoever. 10 it was like no punishment. 11 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And real quick, just a 12 housekeeping matter, if you don't mind. 13 MR. NEAL: Sir? 14 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: If you don't mind, raise your 15 right hand, please. EDDIE NEAL, being duly sworn, testifies as follows: 16 17 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And everything you've said up to 18 this point has been the truth? 19 MR. NEAL: Yes, sir. VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. So pardon me for 20 21 interrupting you. Anything else that you would 22 like to add? 23 MR. NEAL: No, sir, I think not. 24 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. Any questions by the

Commission members? If not, thank you so much.

1	MR. NEAL: Yes, sir.
2	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Judge Hall, we're
3	going to get you back up to comment on these
4	complaints, and you have as we have a formal
5	response from you in writing, I believe; is that
6	correct?
7	JUDGE HALL: Yes.
8	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. And that is a part of
9	the record?
10	MS. WILKINSON: Judge Hall, would you like that to be
11	made a part of the record?
12	JUDGE HALL: Yes, please.
13	(Exhibit Number 20 was marked for identification purposes
14	- (7 pages) Response to Neal Complaint.)
15	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. And you are welcome to
16	again publish that if you like or a summary
17	thereof.
18	JUDGE HALL: I have nothing further to add to my written
19	response.
20	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And without objection, Judge
21	Hall's written response dated and I'm looking
22	for a date here. It is not dated, but this was in
23	response to Daisy Darlene and Eddie Neal's
24	Affidavits, correct?
25	JUDGE HALL: Yes.

1	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. Members of the Commission,
2	if you have any questions of the judge on that
3	response, I would invite that at this time. If
4	not, we will proceed to the next complaint.
5	MS. WILKINSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
6	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, you're welcome to sit back
7	down.
8	MS. WILKINSON: Mr. Chairman, the third complaint was
9	filed by Solicitor Kevin Brackett of the Sixteenth
10	Judicial Circuit on behalf of members of his
11	office. The Affidavit contains Mr. Brackett, if
12	you'll please come forward.
13	KEVIN BRACKETT, being duly sworn, testifies as
14	follows:
15	MR. BRACKETT: My name is Kevin Brackett. I'm the
16	Solicitor for the Sixteenth Circuit.
17	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. Martin Brackett, is
18	that a name that you know, a lawyer in Charlotte,
19	by chance?
20	MR. BRACKETT: All the Bracketts up in North Carolina and
21	South Carolina are kin somehow, but I don't know
22	how I'm kin to him.
23	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: He's claiming kin so far as you
24	know?
25	MR. BRACKETT: Well, yeah, as far as I know, but I don't

1	know. There's another down here, too, Michael
2	Brackett who does some law in Columbia. But all of
3	us came out of Casar, North Carolina, and then
4	before that up in Pennsylvania. And before that up
5	in Maine, and then before that in Ireland and
6	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: That's enough of ancestry.com.
7	Stop right there.
8	MR. BRACKETT: I've learned a lot on ancestry. They've
9	got some really good pictures, too.
10	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, super. Welcome,
11	Solicitor Brackett.
12	MR. BRACKETT: Thank you.
13	MS. WILKINSON: Mr. Chairman, Solicitor Brackett filed an
14	Affidavit on behalf of the members of his office.
15	The Affidavit contains several allegations
16	regarding bias against members of the solicitor's
17	office and improper judicial temperament in the
18	judge's treatment of certain attorneys. There are
19	also allegations that the judge lets his deeply
20	held beliefs influence his decisions, even when
21	those decisions are at odds with the law or concern
22	a policy decision that is within the discretion of
23	the solicitor. Solicitor Brackett provided
24	numerous examples of incidents he feels supports
25	these allegations. He also submitted a letter he

1 wrote to Judge Hall regarding docketing issues, and 2 he submitted a research memo on the 3 Constitutionality of plea agreements and quilty 4 pleas made without the disclosure of the identity This is an issue that of a confidential informant. 5 the solicitor's office states has come up many 6 7 times in quilty pleas before Judge Hall. Mr. Chairman, I would move to have the Affidavit and 8 9 the attachments made a part of the record. All right. Without objection. 10 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 11 (Exhibit Number 21 was marked for identification purposes - (18 pages) Affidavit of Kevin Brackett .) 12 13 MS. WILKINSON: Solicitor Brackett, the Commission's 14 purpose is to review the qualifications of the 15 candidate based on nine evaluative criteria. are Constitutional qualifications, ethical fitness, 16 17 professional and academic ability, character, 18 reputation, physical health, mental stability, 19 experience and judicial temperament. Pursuant to 20 Rule 13, complaint allegations must relate to the 21 candidate's competency, character or ethics. 22 Solicitor Brackett, your Affidavit and attachments 23 have just been entered into the record and provided 24 to the Commissioners for their review. 25 anything else you wish to add regarding this

complaint?

1

2 MR. BRACKETT: Well, by way of just an introductory statement, let me just say I take no joy whatsoever 3 4 in being here today. I have been prosecuting and 5 practicing law in York County for about 30 years. 6 It will be my anniversary in about six days. York has a good reputation as being a place where 7 8 people get along and a place where I think we're 9 considered to be efficient and courteous, and we 10 treat each other with respect. And I think people 11 can come and practice in York from other parts of the state -- have been nothing but complimentary, 12 13 certainly other judges that come through. 14 almost a point of pride for us that we all work 15 together and try to get along. It's a hard job 16 being in the criminal justice system. It's hard on 17 the people that are involved. It involves 18 difficult issues that are painful for people, and 19 like I've said, we've got a good reputation, and it 20 pains me to be here today to have to criticize 21 somebody that I know and have known for over 25 22 years, he worked in my office. And I would not be 23 here if I really felt like I had no other choice. 24 I've run out of options, so I don't know what else 25 to do. Since he took the bench, it has been very

1 difficult for people on my staff, and it has really 2 taken the fun out of the job. And we've lost some 3 of the camaraderie and the spirit of our community 4 within the criminal justice system, at least the 5 people in my office, because we feel like he 6 doesn't respect us and that he doesn't appreciate 7 what we do or understand what our role is in the 8 And I feel like, as I said in my 9 complaint, that it's not because he's a bad person. 10 This isn't like he's malicious. I think that this 11 stems from the fact that he never stopped being an 12 advocate. He never stopped, you know, taking 13 And that's great when you're an advocate. 14 That's what you're supposed to do. But when you're 15 a judge, you're an umpire. You don't have a side. 16 You don't have a partisan position, but time and 17 time again my staff has come back from the 18 courtroom -- and I'm not in there all the time. 19 I'm the solicitor. I've got management, budget, 20 personnel issues. I come down here to see y'all on 21 legislative stuff all the time. I don't see all 22 this myself, but I hear the tumult, and I hear the 23 groaning and I hear the wailing up and down the 24 halls of people who have been in the courtroom and 25 feel like they have just been disrespected.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

like I said, I did not do this without trying to exhaust other remedies. I have spoken to Judge I made a point of making an appointment to sit down with him over lunch just to say, look, you seem to have a problem with my office, and a lot of times it seems like it comes down to maybe policy issues, policy issues that my office takes because I set the policy. If you have a problem with the policy, bring it to my attention. My people don't set the policy, and beating up on them isn't going to change the policy. They're going to do what I tell them to do because I'm the boss. But if you show me that I'm wrong, I will adjust the policy. It hasn't worked. I've told him that twice to his The letter that I attached -- the only real relevant portion for y'all's sake is the last paragraph where I told him again, I'm the one who sets policy for my office. If you have a problem with it, come see me. It's not changing. Most of the complaints and incidents that I reference in my letter came after I had that conversation. So I don't know what else to do but come here. know, my job is somewhat judicial in nature, too. I have non-partisan -- when I first pick up a case, I don't have a dog in the fight. I'm not for the

police. 1 I'm not for the victim. I'm for the 2 evidence and the facts, and I have to figure out 3 what happened to make the right decision. 4 Sometimes that's throwing the case out, and I'll do 5 it, and I have done it plenty of times. I have a 6 great relationship with most attorneys that I work 7 with, I think. They tell me I do, anyway, at least 8 to my face. 9 In this lull, if I may --Q. 10 Yes. Α. 11 -- I might ask you to direct your comments 0. 12 specifically, and we've got your complaint --13 Α. Yes, sir. I'm sorry. 14 -- and I don't mean to cut you off, but there are Q. 15 particularly nine evaluative criteria that we're 16 looking for. 17 Α. Yes, sir. 18 And so you have perhaps broad brushed, spoken on 0. 19 each one of those, but if I could ask you to direct 20 specific comments to those that are not already 21 laid out or, again, give us your synopsis of those,

A. I wouldn't have much more to elaborate on than what's in the complaints. I won't go back and reread them all to you. You can read the

that would be helpful.

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

complaints, and you can read the incidents. I gave you the names of the lawyers. If you have questions, you can reach out to those people. know, he just seems to have his idea of what the law should be in some instances and resents when you don't adopt his idea of what the law should be. And then, you know, if there are certain people in my office specifically that he really has an intense dislike for, and it is evident to that person and everyone else in the courtroom, and that's just wrong. You know, there's lawyers I don't like, but nobody would ever know that from how I talk to them or how I treat them. There are people I don't get along with but I always treat people with respect and courtesy, regardless of how I feel about them personally, and I'm always going to fair to them. So, you know, that's all we want is a level playing field in the courtroom and a judge who sits up on the bench and looks out over the courtroom and sees all the people sitting there and treats them equally, sees them all as equals and understands their positions, and he just doesn't do that. And I don't know anything else to do but come and tell y'all that. It's really -it's a very, very big problem in my office.

- we're the only ones that receive this treatment, in
 the solicitor's office. Defense attorneys don't
 experience this so it's clearly some sort of bias
 issue as well.

 Q. If I could get you, again -- would you -- again.
- Q. If I could get you, again -- would you -- again.
 You know these nine evaluative criteria, right?
 You participate in Bench/Bar surveys, our anonymous surveys?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. All right. And so you know that we look very

 11 seriously at all of these for candidates and/or

 12 those seeking reelection. So my question to you -
 13 again, not to belabor this or --
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. Do you consider this a character problem,
 16 constitutional qualification, ethical fitness?
 17 Again, you're familiar with them --
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Do you want me to read them out to you? Tell --
- 20 A. No, sir.
- Q. -- me and this Commission for the record, which ones you are --
- A. It's character when it comes to temperament and how he treats people and then the bias issue is an ethical issue. I think he's biased against our

1 office and so that would be an ethical problem. 2 Those are the two primary concerns that I outlined 3 in my complaint. And I think all the examples I 4 gave speak to that. 5 Q. And you heard the recitation of your Citizens 6 Committee's both initial report and addendum, did 7 you not? 8 Yes, sir. Α. 9 You disagree with what you heard from the Citizens Q. 10 Committee? 11 Α. Well, in what regard? Is there a specific thing? 12 Q. Have you read it? 13 I have read it, yes, sir. Α. 14 Do you disagree with anything laid out by the Q. 15 Citizens Committee about Judge Hall? 16 Α. Well, I disagree with it to the extent -- well, I 17 mean, they do comment on the fact that our office 18 has a problem with him and that there is a lot of 19 turmoil in our office on account of how we perceive his treatment of us. I agree with that part. 20 That 21 is certainly a problem. And as I said in my 22 complaint, I think that his behavior towards my 23 staff, particularly the younger attorneys, chills 24 their enthusiasm for advocacy and causes them not 25 to advocate. As far as the Paul Johnson matter is

1 concerned, I will say that I did speak to the 2 I'm quoted in the article that Judge Hall press. 3 was concerned about when we spoke in his courtroom, 4 the incident I reference in my complaint. 5 did not have the transcript in front of me when I However, I will say that our 6 spoke to the press. 7 office did advocate to have that bond revoked. 8 There was an order filed by the magistrate revoking 9 the bond, explaining that she had told the 10 Defendant orally and in writing not to go to the 11 house of the victim, but the victim had a right to be in that house and he did not. And he left the 12 13 detention center and went straight to her house and 14 was caught on the Ring doorbell. And that was the 15 basis of the revocation, which was accomplished on 16 the motion of my office in front of the magistrate. Ten or 12 days later, in a hearing in front of 17 18 Judge Hall, my office was represented by a 19 different attorney, a young girl who had two years 20 or less experience. And she had been in domestic 21 violence prosecution for some period of time, and 22 she had been the recipient of the behavior that I 23 describe in my complaint. And because of that, she 24 is not as enthusiastic and is not as passionate as 25 she should have been. I would love for her to have

These are

1 said we object. But the fact of the matter is, the 2 facts and the circumstances of that case and what 3 she did describe and what the judge said he saw in 4 the victim when he asked her what she thought, and 5 he said she's obviously very scared. She stood 6 there mute when he asked her what she thought. She 7 couldn't say anything. She could talk before. But 8 when he asked her that specific question, with the 9 Defendant standing right there, she was too scared 10 to say a word. And he acknowledged that fact. 11 Based on the description of what he did to that woman, I don't think that anybody should have 12 13 needed to tell him that the bond should not be 14 That man should have stayed in jail. reinstated. 15 That's my opinion. Now I understand being a judge 16 is a difficult job. And I understand they have to 17 make difficult decisions but that's my opinion. 18 All right. And so I appreciate your further Q. 19 comments. You have identified the problems you 20 have as character and ethical fitness, correct? 21 Α. Yes, sir. 22 So again, we've talked about the Bench/Bar survey, 0. 23 and no doubt you participated in Judge Hall's,

though you don't have to say you did.

anonymous, correct?

24

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 | Q. All right. But I want to just ask you again, do we
- give credence to 255 respondents who said that
- Judge Hall's ethical fitness is that he is well
- 5 qualified, and in the character of criteria, 251,
- 6 62 percent character and 63 percent ethical
- 7 fitness, well qualified.
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. How do we square your complaint -- and to your
- 10 knowledge, has there ever been a complaint filed by
- 11 a solicitor against a resident judge?
- 12 | A. In this forum?
- 13 0. In this forum.
- 14 A. No, sir, not to my knowledge, but I --
- 15 Q. Not that it doesn't -- not that it can't happen.
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 | Q. But this is, as you said --
- 18 A. Unusual.
- 19 | Q. -- difficult for you, but so how do we square folks
- 20 that don't share your view?
- 21 A. If you are an attorney practicing in front of him
- and he likes you or you are practicing in an area
- 23 he doesn't have strong feelings in, such as
- 24 | criminal justice, I'm sure he's a wonderful fellow.
- I knew him when he worked in my office and the

public defender's office. I knew him before he was 1 2 Judge Hall, back when he was just Dan, and he's a 3 good person. You know, he's the Dan I remember 4 playing Johnny Cash on Friday afternoons in his 5 office and bringing flowers in for the paralegals. 6 He's a good guy. He just doesn't like us. 7 two-thirds of the work that a circuit judge does is 8 in the Court of General Sessions, and we're there 9 for all of it. You can't dislike and have these 10 biases and problems and issues with our sector of 11 the criminal justice system. I know, you know, not 12 everybody likes us but we are here, and we are an 13 important part of it. We're half the equation in 14 every single case. And 250 other lawyers, criminal 15 defense attorneys, civil lawyers, all these other 16 folks may love him. I say in my complaint, he 17 doesn't give them problems. But it's a huge 18 problem that he doesn't like us because we're there 19 for two-thirds of the cases in Circuit Court. 20 Q. Judicial temperament, 63.3 percent, well qualified. 21 Would you agree with that assessment? Temperament as in how he treats other people, well 22 Α. qualified? I would say from my perspective, no, I

Q. Representative Rutherford.

don't agree with that.

23

24

MR. BRACKETT - EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: 1 2 Thank you. Solicitor Brackett, in the Johnson Q. 3 transcript, it was fascinating to me that the 4 solicitor didn't move to put him on -- to put Mr. 5 Johnson on house arrest. Why not? 6 Α. I don't know. I wasn't there. I haven't 7 specifically asked her about that question. I will 8 say my quess as to why she didn't was because she 9 is afraid. I think she felt -- based on my 10 conversations with her --11 Hold on, let me stop you, because you're under 0. oath. And you're now testifying that your 12 13 employee, who you have not talked to about this, 14 you're going to tell her own feelings? 15 Α. I did talk to her around the time. She wasn't my 16 employee at the time. She had left my office, and I called her. 17 18 So as to why she did not request that the Defendant 0. 19 be placed on house arrest, your answer is you don't 20 know? 21 No, my answer is I think, based on my conversation Α. 22 with her -- but she would be the best person to 23 question about that, because she's the one who 24 actually held the hearing. I think that based on 25 everything she had ever experienced in Judge Hall's

1 courtroom, she perceived him to have made his mind 2 And pushing him on an issue could result in 3 her getting beat up. 4 And if I could go back to the young couple that 0. 5 just testified about their son and the motorcycle 6 There was, again, in that transcript the accident. 7 discussion of house arrest with electronic 8 monitoring and GPS. You all have that program now, 9 you had it in place when Mr. Johnson's bond 10 revocation was done and the solicitor simply didn't 11 take advantage of it? 12 Α. There is no home detention act procedure in place 13 for York County. We do not have a home detention 14 There are occasions when judges do act order. 15 place people on house arrest, and they've done it 16 on their own initiative without, you know, us 17 asking for it if they feel it's appropriate. 18 that's an option that's available for the judge, 19 but we don't have a home detention act. 20 Q. And whose policy is that? 21 Which? Α. 22 To not avail yourself of 2015 technology to have a 0. 23 house arrest program in place for defendants that are either on bond or post conviction? 24

Is that --

policy is that?

1 | A. I don't know.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. -- the solicitor or the sheriff?
- I haven't read the policy or the statute itself to Α. see whether it's something that I have to decide or whether it's something the sheriff has to decide or we both have to agree on or whether it can be approved by the county council, sua sponte, without I don't really know. our agreement. But I will tell you to get to the gist of your question, Representative Rutherford, I don't agree with it. I see that there are too many abuses. There are too many times when people get put on house arrest and home detention and they don't comply, and nothing is done with it. And then to have them get credit towards their jail sentence when they sit at home for two years, you know, because we can't get the case in court, that does bother me.
 - Q. I can see. But it also should bother you that Mr. Johnson, had he been in Richland County, Lexington County or 20 other places where I practice law, could have been put on a house arrest with electronic monitoring with a geofence so that she would have known had he gotten anywhere near her. But because the solicitor decides that he doesn't want to give credit to people post conviction he

1 doesn't bother, or the sheriff doesn't bother, to 2 have a program in place to save people's lives, Mr. 3 Johnson was allowed to go near a victim without 4 that victim being notified because you don't want 5 people to get credit when they're convicted? 6 No, that's the home detention act. Α. 7 Q. No. You're referring to the home detention act. Ι 8 asked you whether you all had anything in place 9 that allowed GPS monitoring of a defendant, pre-10 conviction, like we do in Richland County, which is 11 done by the Richland County Solicitor's Office, 12 defendant management systems. Dan Johnson put it 13 in place, the solicitor --14 Uh-huh. Α. 15 -- and it allows that someone like Mr. Johnson, who Q. violated the conditions of his bond, would be put 16 17 on GPS monitoring with house arrest so that the 18 victim would know where he is. And if he went 19 within three miles of her, she would be notified. 20 Our solicitor put that in place. You don't think 21 it's necessary? 22 We do have home detention or house arrest with GPS Α. 23 monitoring in York County. That isn't --24 A program that is done by your office --Q. 25 No, sir. Α.

1 Q. -- or you're saying that a judge could ask for 2 that?

- A. A judge orders it, makes the defendant pay for it if they think it's appropriate, and then it is monitored by a private company or in some instances probation may be asked to monitor it if the --
- Q. But the solicitor's office in York County does not have a system where they can monitor criminal defendants that are out on bond for things like domestic violence, because as you said, you don't like the fact that they could be given credit for that if their case extends out two years; is that what you said or not?
- A. Well, I will point out, Representative Rutherford, that until very recently 90 plus percent of our cases were moved within a year of arrest, and most of the people in our detention center were in there for less than six months, more than 90 percent of them. So until Covid hit, we moved our cases so quickly this wasn't a problem.
- Q. But we're focusing on what -- and I guess you're talking about the time in which they're moved, which was an issue that you brought up. My concern is that people that are victims in Richland County, in Lexington County, Spartanburg, Greenville, have

programs run by the solicitor, run by the sheriff, where they know where that criminal defendant is 24 hours a day. They have a geofence. They know whether they're close to them or not, and if they violate, it goes off instantly. If their monitors cut off, it goes off instantly. Those solicitors have chosen to do that, and in accordance with that, the victims are safer. Those solicitors aren't standing here saying that it's the judge's fault that my solicitor, I guess, knowing how other solicitors had been treated or how she had been treated or how she had been treated, didn't bother to ask that routine safeguards that are done all over this state aren't done in York County. the problem is that you're then here blaming Judge Hall?

16

18

19

20

Q.

A. I think he should have left him in jail, yes, sir.

21 22 23

24

25

And I think that your solicitor or your office should have a policy of protecting people, and that policy of protecting people -- if you go back to even the DUI case that you brought up, did you know that they now have GPS monitors that also have scram devices that can detect the presence of alcohol in someone's system and that the solicitor's office in Richland County has that

However, I

1 program. And so in that very case, your office, 2 the victim in that case, would have been notified 3 if the offender had ingested any alcohol. 4 also would have known where she was, if she was 5 moving. And the sheriff would have been able to 6 track that movement so that you're not just in the 7 blind and making it the judge's fault. Does your 8 office believe that you bear any responsibility at 9 all for protecting the people of York County by 10 remaining in the dark and not going into 2021 where 11 GPS monitoring, where scram devices, where 12 geofences are put in place on a routine basis, to 13 protect everyone, because this is 2020, 2019? 14 We have GPS monitoring for people out on bond. Α. 15 Again, I'm not asking you whether a judge can order Q. it. 16 Whether it's your program, because it's the 17 program that the solicitor's office put in place 18 that is the one that is protecting people. In 19 Spartanburg County, you sign an agreement. And that agreement states that if you get out on house 20 21 arrest and you have a violation, you will be picked 22 up immediately. And you agree to that as part of 23 the solicitor's program. Do y'all have that, yes 24 or no?

We do not have a solicitor-run program.

25

Α.

1 will say that the policies that we do pursue in 2 York County with regards to criminal justice and 3 public safety have resulted in, again, pre-pandemic 4 ten homicides pending in York County. Whereas, I 5 know at the same time in Richland County they had 6 over 200 pending. So I'm not entirely sold on the 7 idea that this is going to be a panacea for 8 criminal activity, but I appreciate what you're 9 We do not have the program. saying.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And I appreciate what you're saying as well, because given the population of York and Richland, what would you say the difference is?
- A. I would say York is probably slightly more than half of Richland.
- Q. So about half. And so, again, as you talk about numbers and what other jurisdictions have done to provide safety to their people that your office has not. Let's deal with other policies. And you provided a brief about why it is that you think that a violation of the rules of discovery are allowed in York County by not giving a defense attorney the information that they are requesting on the name or just the basic information as it relates to an informant. And you provided a case, Rouise, and it talked about impeachment evidence.

1 You are not trying to suggest to us -- and I assume 2 that went to Judge Hall, it seemed to be sent to 3 him, that impeachment evidence in federal as 4 written in Rouise is the same as what the defense 5 attorneys in York County were requesting that Judge 6 Hall had a problem with. You're not saying that impeachment evidence is the same as what they are 7 8 requesting, are you? 9 I'm not sure I understand your question. Α. It was 10 rather --11 Okay. Let me --0. 12 Α. -- distill that down to the essence of what --13 So you agree that the policy of your office Q. 14 is not to give information on confidential 15 informants to a criminal defendant without them 16 losing their ability to negotiate a plea; is that 17 right? 18 What we do, to be clear, is we tell the defendant Α. 19 here is your plea offer, and we make it a generous 20 plea offer in a case where we do not wish to 21 divulge the identity of the informant. And we do 22 this to protect the informant from being harmed. 23 So in those cases, we tell them you can have this 24 generous plea offer, and we will give you all the 25 evidence we possibly can that will not divulge the

1 identity of the defendant. However, if you want 2 this other information, we will provide it because 3 you are entitled to it. However, we will withdraw 4 the plea offer. So -- and I have actually taken that issue. 5 It's been argued. It was argued by 6 Judge Hall when he was in the public defender's 7 office. It's been argued by a couple of other 8 attorneys, one of whom appealed it to the South 9 Carolina Supreme Court. I went and argued the case 10 in front of the Supreme Court, and they affirmed 11 that that is in fact acceptable. It is within the 12 law. It is ethical. There is no issue with that 13 whatsoever. 14 And again, you are continuing to use this adjective Q. 15 of generous. Now it's generous to you, but 16 generous to the defendant, generous to the defense attorney, may be a difference, obviously, because 17 18 you took it to the Supreme Court. So someone 19 disagrees with that adjective generous; you would 20 agree with that? 21 I'm talking about the plea offer, and everything is Α. 22 relative. Generous here versus California versus 23 New York versus Texas, you're going to have --24 Q. No, generous to you is different than generous to

the defense attorney and the defendant, otherwise

1 the case would not have been appealed; you agree 2 with that? 3 Most of the time the people accept the plea offer Α. 4 so I assume that they also think it's generous, but 5 I don't know what they're thinking. 6 But again, you are speaking as if I've never had a 0. 7 case in York County that involved an informant, and 8 your use of the word generous --9 I know you've had cases --Α. 10 Q. Right. 11 -- that have involved informants. I've worked on Α. 12 some of those cases with you where I protected your 13 client who was the informant and protected that 14 person from being divulged to help them also stay 15 safe. 16 0. But my concern is that you mentioned Rouise --17 Α. Yes. -- in your brief? 18 0. 19 Yes. Α. You realize that has nothing to do with what we're 20 Q. 21 talking about, right? 22 Α. It has everything to do. It's a United States 23 Supreme Court case which said that you can withhold 24 the identity of the informant --25 It did not. It did not. Q.

- 1 Α. -- well, it allowed for fast tracking cases. 2 was maybe in a slightly different context, but it 3 is dead on point. 4 I will give you the benefit of the doubt that, 0. 5 because I read your background, you've been in the York County Solicitor's Office for the bulk of your 6 7 practice, that you don't go into federal court. 8 Federal court divulges the identity of their 9 informants when you first get your discovery. 10 Rouise talked about impeachment evidence as it 11 related to other witnesses, not the identity of the 12 informant. They give it to you. So that is why 13 this is not relevant to what we're talking about. 14 But the concept is the same. You can withhold --Α. 15 in order to give them a generous offer or a more 16 lenient or beneficial offer you're allowed to 17 withhold evidence if they want the offer and you 18 want to fast track the case. That came out of the 19 Ninth Circuit, and the Supreme Court --20 Q. That's simply not accurate. That's not what it 21 says, and I would encourage your office to simply 22 read it again.
- 23 A. I will do that.
- Q. As it relates to other policies that -- because you talked a lot about policies, other policies that

- Judge Hall may have an issue with that you are asking him to come and speak to you. What are those policies that you find that he has an issue with?
- 5 A. I'm sorry. What --
- Q. You talk a lot about office policy, and that if you if he had an issue rather than deal with your assistants, he should come and talk to you about them.
- 10 | A. That's --
- 11 Q. We talked about the discovery issue.
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. What other issues are there?
- A. When we -- I can look through my notes here real quick. The other one was he's frequently critical of plea offers that we make. He doesn't agree with them, and he --
- 18 Q. If I could pause you.
- 19 A. Yeah.
- Q. Other judges as well have expressed discontent with your plea offers, have they not?
- 22 A. Not to me.
- Q. So you're saying that you've never heard other judges express discontent with your plea offers?
- 25 A. They may. There may be. I have no doubt there are

1 people who wish that we would make better plea 2 offers. I don't know, but there's a difference 3 between disagreeing with our plea offers and 4 belittling and berating an attorney in open court 5 in front of their peers because they disagree with 6 that plea offer. 7 Q. So when a judge disagrees with your plea offer --

- Q. So when a judge disagrees with your plea offer -and you cited one of the cases here where the young
 lady had committed an offense 30 years ago, and
 your office wanted her to plead guilty and get on
 probation, and the judge disagreed that; are you
 familiar with that case?
- 13 A. Cecelia Cunningham, I am.

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Yes, sir. And so in disagreeing with that and not accepting that negotiation, is not accepting the negotiation the way that a judge expresses their discontent?
- A. It wasn't just that he didn't accept the negotiation. I can live with that, that's fine. But what I can't live with is saying, "Why are you even prosecuting this case, solicitor? This case is 30 years old. This is ridiculous. Why are you even bringing this into my courtroom?" Well, Your Honor, she stabbed a man in the throat with a knife and put him in the ICU for six days. It's been 30

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

years, we agree, but the man is still here, and he wants some piece of justice. And what he's asking for is not unreasonable. He just wants her to admit she did it and accept probation. I don't think that that's the same thing as disagreeing with whether or not the guy should get a negotiated six years or whether that should be a recommendation so that the judge can sentence less. That's the judge's discretion. But the judge's discretion about whether we choose to move forward with a lawful indictment and to prosecute somebody in court, and I will add, that the defense attorney also agreed. Everybody was in agreement but Judge Hall.

Q. So you also seem to be ignoring the fact that defense attorneys, because of the way that the laws are situated and some of the penalties that we have to deal with and what it means to have charges hanging over their client's head, if they're put in a position where you either accept this or things are going to get worse, sometimes they accept deals that may not be the best for their client. And for example, is it a policy of your office if someone is arrested for a drug offense, that that person, if law enforcement has made multiple buys off of

1 them, that that person waives their right to 2 indictment on a second offense or a third offense 3 and pleads quilty to second and third offenses without even prior convictions of a first, because 4 5 of the fear of what you all would do, because of 6 the multiple buys that were made. Is that a policy 7 of your office? 8 To charge people for all the sales that they made Α.

- A. To charge people for all the sales that they made and prosecute them for them?
- 10 | Q. Yes, sir.

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 11 A. Yes, we do do that.
- 12 Q. Before they were convicted of any of them?
 - A. Well, they are convicted eventually of them. They step up to the plate and accept responsibility for them.
 - Q. Right. And because the law would say that if you don't plead guilty to this third offense, even though you've never had a first, we're going to seek life without parole, and you're going to be in jail, even though you never had a first or a second. But because law enforcement went out and made multiple buys without charging them, without bringing them in, without telling them they were making buys, you all are asking that person to waive their rights to get convicted of a first and

1 a second, plead guilty to this third, and we won't 2 seek life without parole. That's a policy of the 3 office, is it not? 4 Depending on the person that we're dealing with, 5 there can be some very, very bad people out there. 6 And if it's somebody that is a high priority 7 target, yes, but that doesn't happen to everybody. 8 I would have to know more specifics about the 9 individual that's involved, but generally speaking, 10 when there are multiple buys made, we treat them 11 all as one event and prosecute them. We may run 12 them concurrent or something like that, but it can 13 happen, depending on who the person is. 14 correct. 15 And so when a judge in the prior case or in the Q. 16 instant examples that we were just talking about 17 expresses discontent with how you all handle that, 18 he is supposed to come and talk to you about it and 19 not saying anything about it in the courtroom when 20 the case is brought in front of him? 21 That would be preferable, absolutely, because I am Α. 22 the only person that can change that. And people 23 do come talk to me, Representative Rutherford. 24 They actually do darken my door, sit down on my

couch, and we go through -- and I have on many

1 occasions gone behind what one of my assistants did 2 and said, no, we're not going to do that in this 3 particular case. I am not an unreasonable person. 4 But, you know, if nobody comes and talks to me, I 5 can't do anything about it. But I certainly would 6 say that if you disagree with my policy, then I'm 7 the person to talk to, because I'm the one who can 8 change it. And under no circumstances should you 9 berate the specific attorney who's standing in 10 front of you and humiliate them in front of their 11 That's unacceptable. peers. And so the penalty for not coming to talk to you is 12 Q. 13 that you will appear here? 14 The penalty for not coming and talk to me --Α. 15 About your policies, the penalty for not Q.

- Q. About your policies, the penalty for not

 complaining to you about your policies is that you

 will come and testify --
- A. No, sir. The penalty for belittling and berating and demeaning my employees in the courtroom is that I will come here.
- Q. Do you remember telling me that you didn't like for good judges to come to York County?
- 23 A. Good judges, I'm sorry.
- Q. Do you remember telling me that you did not like good judges to come to York County, that you didn't

1 want them? 2 No, sir, I have no recollection of that. Α. 3 It was August 28th, 2014 --Q. 4 I have no recollection --Α. 5 Q. -- we were in Judge Gibbons' office. 6 I do not like good judges? Did I define good Α. 7 judges? 8 Well, it was clear, because Judge Gibbons was Q. 9 telling you that he was not going to listen to what 10 you were saying, and he was going to do what he was 11 going to do anyway, and you told me --12 Α. That's fine. 13 -- that you did not want good judges to come to 0. 14 York County; do you remember that? 15 I don't remember that at all. Α. 16 0. Okay. 17 Α. And I would certainly need more context and 18 information about that, but I have no recollection 19 of that. And I have -- like I said, I've had quite 20 a few judges come through. I can't really think of 21 any that I don't get along with. 22 So when you say you don't get along with him, is it Q. 23 because you have a judge, for example, a Judge 24 Hayes from Spartanburg who comes, and your 25 solicitors will simply stop calling cases in front

1 of him and send him home on a Tuesday or Monday 2 afternoon because you don't want to deal with what 3 others would consider a good judge? 4 Α. I don't have any knowledge of what you're talking 5 about. 6 You don't have any knowledge of that? 0. 7 Α. No, sir. And as a matter of fact, we don't run the 8 docket anymore, so that's not my call. 9 Right. But you've been there for how long? Q. 10 Α. Thirty years now. 11 So policies of your office? 0. 12 Α. I have no idea what you're talking about, so --13 Okay. And you don't remember the conversation that 0. 14 you and I had? 15 Α. No. 16 0. I don't have any further questions at this time. 17 18 Ms. Blackley VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 19 MR. BRACKETT - EXAMINATION BY MS. BLACKLEY: Hello, Solicitor. I want to see if you can clarify 20 0. 21 something that I didn't understand with the 22 complainants that came in on the DUI case. 23 Α. Yes. 24 She stated that they weren't allowed to speak, her Q. 25 son nor was she. Can you tell me why?

1 | A. I don't --

3

4

- 2 Q. A victim wouldn't be allowed to address the Court?
 - A. I don't know what took place in that particular situation. I wasn't even in the courtroom, ma'am, and I just met them for the first time today.
- 6 | Q. Okay. That's my only question.
- 7 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 8 | VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Strom.
- 9 MR. BRACKETT EXAMINATION BY MR. STROM:
- 10 Q. Solicitor, we've known each other a long time.
- 11 I've just got to tell you this whole thing kind of
- 12 sickens me. You've obviously prosecuted a lot.
- 13 You've made prosecutorial decisions to dismiss.
- 14 I've made those decisions. We've had staff reduce
- charges and had bad outcomes on the other side of
- it, and all of us -- I'm sure in this case
- everybody wishes they could pick it up and start
- over and not have a death. But what I'm trying to
- 19 -- but at the end of the day it's our system.
- 20 You've got make a call as a prosecutor.
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. You've got policies. People elect you to make
- 23 those policies, and if they don't like you, they
- 24 can vote you out. That's the way it works.
- 25 | A. Yes, sir.

- 1 Q. And you serve your people. The judge has got a 2 role to sentence people as he deems appropriate, 3 and what I'm reading into this it sounds like it's 4 -- is this more of a personality issue between the 5 two of y'all, or is this happening with other 6 solicitors, or is it just your office and him? 7 I don't know what's going on in other offices Α. 8 around the state, Mr. Strom.
- 9 Q. No other solicitor's complained about him that you can recall?
 - A. I've had people make comments, but I wouldn't trust my memory --
 - Q. Okay.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. -- or feel it appropriate to call those out, but my specific problem -- and I understand. I've had judges make decisions that weren't in -- you know, that ended up being the wrong decision, and I don't criticize people. And in fact, in this specific case, the one you're talking about, the Johnson case, that reporter called me, and he gave me several opportunities to throw Judge Hall under the bus and, you know, floating questions out. What do you think of that? Are you -- you know, and I just -- I never took the opportunity, but when he asked me specifically, "Did your office do everything it

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

could? Is there anything you wished", I think is how he phrased it, "that your office had done?"

And I said, no, my office did everything it could.

And I feel that. You know, it's -- that's really one issue. And if you read my complaint, I'm not complaining about that decision. I'm complaining more about how he treated -- this is a personal example that I lived --

Q. Right.

-- myself and Sheriff Tolson, getting called into Α. his courtroom and run down because of that article. And I -- you know, that was the purpose of me putting that in there is to -- you know, this is my -- you know, the opportunity I had, plus the conversation that I had as I left the courtroom that day after that meeting, because it was one more opportunity in April of 2020 for me to tell Because he asked me some question, and I him. can't for the life of me remember what he said, but it prompted me to point out to him again -- I said, you know, people in my office -- they're -- you know, they dread going into your courtroom. don't -- you know, because of how you're going to treat them, and it chills their -- you can imagine. You were a young lawyer once. When you're standing up in front of a judge -- and these are people who
don't remember him when he was Dan. He's been

Judge Hall their whole professional career. And
he's got gray hair and he's sitting up on the
bench. And when they yell at you, it hurts. And,
you know, so --

- Q. One of the judges came through earlier and said I don't remember every case I lost as a lawyer, but I remember every time I was mistreated in court.
- A. Yeah.

7

8

9

10

- 11 | Q. So that's --
- 12 Α. It is and if it's happening again and again because 13 it's your local judge, then that's going to affect 14 how you react in that courtroom. And it's going to 15 affect how you advocate, which is the biggest 16 problem. I don't have a problem that he disagrees 17 with me on things. I don't. I mean, his 18 philosophy's his philosophy. Those matters that 19 are within his discretion are entirely within his -20 - I don't answer for his decisions, but don't take 21 it out on my people. That's what I'm here for. 22 I'm here to tell you that that's just not right. I've been doing this for 30 years, and these young 23 24 lawyers coming through now --
 - Q. So your view is that this is a disagreement between

- the two of y'all, and he's taking it out on your
 staff --
- 3 | A. No.

- Q. -- and he ought to be having a discussion with you about it; is that kinda --
 - A. Well, that's my solution for it, but I don't think that that's really -- it's not just like between Kevin and Judge Hall. I think he, you know, disagrees with, you know, individuals when they say something he doesn't like, even if it's not a policy issue on my part, if it's something that they advocate for that he disagrees with. And then there are some people that I think he's developed such an intense dislike for that no matter what they say they're going to catch it.
- 16 Q. So how do you move forward?
 - A. I come here and I tell y'all about it, and I don't know what we do. I led at the podium today with I would rather be anywhere else. I did not look forward to coming here. I really, really didn't.

 But I don't know what else to do, y'all. I really don't. You know, people shouldn't get treated like this. They just shouldn't and it's not fair to them. And, you know, we should all just get along. And if he disagrees with us, rule the way he wants

1 to rule and that's fine, but don't beat somebody up 2 about it. It's just -- its scarring, like you 3 said. You remember every single time it happens, 4 and it's always just us, you know. It's always us. 5 He never yelled in -- the Cecelia Cunningham case, 6 he didn't yell at the defense attorney and say, 7 "Why did you agree to accept this probation 8 recommendation? This is outrageous. What kind of 9 lawyer are you?" That never happened. It's always 10 us, our decision is the one that's at fault. We're 11 the ones that screwed up. We're the ones that are 12 behaving poorly. 13 Thank you. 0.

14 | VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Representative Rutherford.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 15 | MR. BRACKETT RE-EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD:
 - Q. Where are those transcripts of him talking to those prosecutors in that manner? And we can refer back to the Johnson case, and you said that she was afraid. Where is the transcript of him talking to her in a way that she should be afraid?
 - A. I don't know. And you can't read from a cold transcript somebody's tone of voice. All you see are words on a page, so I don't know what -- I haven't heard the audio. But there is one I would refer you to if you can get the audio recording of

1 the WebEx for the Joanna Payne hearing in February 2 of this year, I think that would be enlightening. 3 From what I understand -- I was not present for it, 4 but a number of people said he hates your guts 5 about my -- to the attorney representing the State 6 in that case. And that was in February of 20- --7 it's in my thing, and it was a pandemic case, and 8 there was WebEx, and they were recording them all, 9 so I assume that it's out there somewhere. 10 audio recordings are going to be much more helpful 11 than a transcript. You just can't tell how 12 somebody's talking. I mean, you can be sarcastic 13 and demeaning, but it doesn't translate to the 14 You just can't pick it up. 15 But so where are those transcripts of someone being Q. 16 talked to in a demeaning way so that we can read 17 them and say, oh, I see what you're talking about -18 19 I don't have them. Α. 20

Q. -- specifically regarding this solicitor, which you have testified under oath that she was afraid, and that's why she didn't ask for any other conditions. Where is a single transcript that she was talked to in a demeaning way and that is why she reacted the way that she did, which was to say nothing?

21

22

23

24

- A. You have the transcripts and the attachments that I gave. I don't have --
- 3 Q. And so you don't have any?
- 4 A. I did not bring --
- 5 Q. So you base this solicitor being afraid not on anything that you've read, but on what?
- 7 A. I based it on being in my office for the last seven years listening to people come to me --
- 9 Q. This solicitor. Not people, this solicitor.
- 10 A. -- and complain about how he -- every solicitor in my office.
- 12 Q. No, sir. No, sir. You testified under oath that
 13 this solicitor --
- 14 | A. Which case?
- 15 Q. -- the solicitor in the Johnson case --
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 | Q. -- which one was that?
- 18 A. Her name was Carissa.
- Q. Carissa. That Carissa did not do her job because
 she was afraid. Do you have a single transcript
 for where Carissa was talked to in a demeaning way
- 22 and that made her afraid?
- 23 A. I don't have any other transcripts with me. All I
- have is my conversation with her, which I talked to
- 25 her immediately around that time.

1 Q. Now you've known this was coming for months? 2 And other DV attorneys in my office. Α. 3 You've known this was coming for months --0. 4 Α. Yes. 5 Q. -- and you have no proof that Carissa had any 6 reason to be afraid of Judge Hall? 7 Α. Well, what she said and what other people have told 8 me over the years in my office as how they're 9 treated in court and how that makes them feel and 10 how they react to that when they present. 11 And so I presume -- you're a lawyer, seasoned Q. 12 prosecutor --13 Α. Yes. 14 -- that you went and pulled the transcripts. Let Q. 15 me see how this judge talked to my employee. Did 16 you do that, yes or no? 17 Α. I do not have any other transcripts, Mr. 18 Rutherford. 19 Okay. And so, again, your statement that she did Q. 20 not do all that she could to protect this victim 21 when the reporter called you and said, is there 22 anything else you could have done, you said, yes, 23 my assistant should have done, could have done 24 more; is that what you told the reporter?

25

Α.

No, I did not.

1 Q. And so you said that my assistant -- she's afraid 2 because I've looked at transcripts, and she had 3 every reason to be afraid of Judge Hall and not to 4 ask for other protections, and so that's why she 5 didn't; is that what you told the reporter? 6 As I indicated to you earlier, sir, I did not have Α. 7 the transcript from the hearing at the time I 8 talked to the reporter. All I had was an 9 accounting from my staff as to what the -- the 10 basic outline of what took place in the history of 11 that case leading up to that bond hearing. 12 Q. And so this employee told you that she was afraid 13 of Judge Hall before or after the Johnson hearing? 14 That specific occasion was after. That's why I Α. 15 called her at her new place of employment. 16 had heard from other people that his treatment of 17 those attorneys, specifically in the domestic 18 violence area, was of a character that led them to 19 believe he didn't like what they were saying, 20 didn't want to hear what they were saying, was 21 demeaning to them when they said it, so they 22 stopped saying it. 23 And so just to be clear as it relates to the Q. 24 Johnson hearing, the solicitor in that case didn't

tell you that they were afraid of Judge Hall until

- 1 after Mr. Johnson killed his wife; is that right?
- 2 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

- Q. And so, upon finding out that Mr. Johnson killed his wife, the solicitor that worked for you at the time said, oh, I didn't ask for anything else because I was afraid of Judge Hall?
- A. That's not exactly how the conversation went down.
- 8 So what you are asking us to do is to go off 0. 9 of you recounting what other people have told you 10 he said when you have access to court records, to 11 transcripts? You didn't bother to get any of those 12 and bring those here today. You've had months to prepare for this. You knew that we were 13 14 investigating Judge Hall, and even in a case where 15 someone lost their life and there were multiple 16 things that could have been done to protect them, 17 you didn't bother to go and get a single transcript 18 where Judge Hall talked down to that solicitor 19 prior to the Johnson hearing to bring it in and 20 say, this is Exhibit A?
 - A. I have no other transcripts, Mr. Rutherford.
- 22 Q. Okay. No further questions.
- 23 | VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Representative Johnson.
- 24 MR. BRACKETT EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE JOHNSON:
- 25 Q. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good to see you again,

Solicitor. We've gotten to get to know each other
the last few years.

A. Yes, sir.

- 4 Q. Oversight one of those --
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. -- and appreciate you showing up and testifying to those. I read through the materials, and I've sat here and I've looked at a couple of things and kind of heard what's going on. But one of the things that kind of concerned me about this Johnson matter is the assistant solicitor that was there that day -- and I'm looking here, and it pretty well provides that the assistant solicitor did not object to the bond being granted that day; isn't that right?
 - A. The solicitor did not say the words I object to bond being set in this matter. But the solicitor described the history of this in such a way that -- and by -- I would also hasten to point out that we do consent bond orders, too. If we agree to it, we can just write that on a piece of paper and drop it off in chambers and that can -- you know, there's no need for a hearing. So by virtue of the fact that we were even having a hearing, we obviously had an issue with it. But we described what this

25

man did to this woman. We described how he had been arrested, how he had been taken to jail, how he had been admonished not to go back to the house, and how he went directly back to the house, how the magistrate judge had immediately revoked the bond and ordered him re-incarcerated. And I would point out to you at the beginning of the transcript one of the first things that Judge Hall says is, I don't even think she had authority to do that. it's clear to me -- I can -- you know, I think I understand from -- he came out of the gate. He's going to reinstate the bond, and I'm sure that's But they did what they could what they thought. and presented all the facts, explained that he had violated the bond previously and -- immediately had violated the bond, and it was reinstated.

- Q. But if there's such a concern, but -- you know, about this and the bond, and hearing what, you know, that solicitor thought where the judge was going to go, isn't it incumbent upon that assistant solicitor to object? I mean, it's an advocate for the State, the solicitor's office is. Isn't that what the assistant solicitor should have done was objected and say, hey, he should not be out?
- A. Ideally, but I understand why she did not.

- 1 Q. And I think that's what we're dealing with --
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. -- what's ideally. I mean, it's --
- 4 A. Yes, sir. And --
- 5 | Q. -- unfortunately, what happened, however we're
- 6 here, you know, on a complaint against a judge,
- 7 and, you know, it's almost --
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. -- as though what I'm hearing is on this -- I think
- 10 what you're saying is the judge is the only one to
- 11 blame.
- 12 A. No, sir, I didn't. And I would point out that that
- is one issue out of nine pages of issues. This
- Johnson thing is only one --
- 15 Q. I'm just talking about this one issue.
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 | Q. I understand there might be others, and we'll talk
- 18 about those a little bit later.
- 19 | A. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. But on this one --
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 | Q. -- it's not just the judge. The assistant
- 23 solicitor should have objected, been more of an
- 24 advocate.
- 25 | A. I feel like the base -- the fact that we were in

1 court, that we were not consenting to a bond, that 2 we recounted everything that we did, I believe he 3 should have known in and of itself. Now I agree we 4 did not say that. Those -- the magic words, we 5 oppose bond being reinstated in this case were not 6 uttered by my office. And that would have been 7 ideal, but I think based on all the facts and 8 circumstances of the history of this case, I would 9 not have reinstated the bond.

- Q. What's kind of confusing about the position is I'm hearing that this solicitor didn't advocate because this solicitor felt intimidated.
- 13 | A. Yes, sir.

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. That's just not a very good excuse when it comes to a solicitor who is supposed to uphold representing victims of the state.
- A. It's unfortunate that they felt that way, but this was a young assistant who had, like I said, I believe two years or less experience in the office, and she just was not as seasoned. I certainly would not have been intimidated, let's put it that way, but she was, I believe. And I think that the idea of saying anything further at the end of the hearing when he says, anything further from the state -- I think the idea of her saying anything

- further and possibly incurring some criticism was
 what stayed her tongue.
 - Q. Okay. All right. The next thing that kind of concerned me that I saw was it appeared here -- I think one of these cases was 30 years old?
- 6 | A. Yes, sir.

3

4

- 7 Q. And I think you've been the solicitor for 30 years, 8 you said, correct?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. Approximately 30 years.
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Whatever it is. Time does get away from us.
- 13 A. It does, indeed.
- 14 Q. I guess what I'm concerned about is why is this criminal matter pending for 30 years?
- 16 Α. Well, in this particular case she was arrested, 17 placed on bond, and then she fled the jurisdiction 18 of the court. She did not return, so a bench 19 warrant was issued. And our office routinely goes 20 through bench warrants to make sure that, you know, 21 a 30-year-old shoplifting bench warrant isn't going 22 to be pending. We'll pull those after a while. 23 we haven't found them, we'll pull them and dismiss 24 them, because we're not going to prosecute a shoplifting 30 years later. We're -- you know, 25

1 that's obvious, but when you see an assault and 2 battery with intent to kill and you read the facts 3 that, you know, a man was stabbed in the throat, 4 those will stick around a little bit longer. 5 those will remain pending for -- you know, I mean, 6 we've had a man prosecuted for killing somebody in 7 Richland County 30 something years after the fact, 8 40 years after the fact, a cab killing, but, you 9 know, there's case that you're going to have. 10 She was that's why the case was pending. 11 ultimately apprehended. It turns out that the 12 bench warrant had been incorrectly entered into the 13 NCIC system, the name had been wrong. 14 though she had been arrested in the interim, in the 15 intervening years for other things, that bench 16 warrant had never flagged because the name was 17 wrong, but then it sorted itself out somehow. 18 Earlier this year she was apprehended, and the 19 bench warrant was served, and that's how the case 20 got reinstated. 21 When was she apprehended? Q. 22 I want to say it might have been a month or so Α. 23

before the plea, a month, maybe two at the most.

It was shortly before the case resolved, because it resolved very quickly. It didn't linger. Once we

24

1 found it, our first thing was let's see if we can find the victim, if we have a case at all. Because 2 3 if the victims are all gone or they're dead, then, 4 you know, we don't have a case. So we did, and we 5 found what turned out to be her exhusband. 6 the gentleman stabbed in the throat. We found him, 7 and he was interested in going forward. But as I 8 said in my complaint, quite reasonable. He wasn't 9 asking for jail time. He wanted accountability of 10 some sort.

- Q. I saw about the bench warrant. I just didn't see the rest of it, so that's why I wanted to ask.
- 13 | A. Yes, sir.

11

- 14 Q. I figured if there was a bench warrant, then they
 15 may have absconded. It just didn't say when --
- 16 | A. Yes, sir.
- 17 | Q. -- on the bench warrant.
- 18 A. Just shortly after she was arrested. She just didn't come back to court.
- 20 Q. Thank you.
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 | VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Safran.
- 23 MR. BRACKETT EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN:
- Q. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I kinda share a lot of Mr. Strom's angst over, I guess, some of the

1 circumstances that have led us here. And I don't 2 want to rehash everything. And candidly, I don't 3 I find myself very blessed that do criminal law. 4 that doesn't happen. Have done it years ago. 5 Didn't enjoy it and admire people who do it, 6 because it's not something I've got the stomach 7 for. 8 It's an acquired taste. Α. 9 I understand. And I decided that it tasted too Q. 10 much like castor oil, so I didn't want anymore. 11 Let me try to wrap my head around a few things, 12 okay. I'm understanding from you, listening to, I 13 quess, the sum total of what you said, that 14 complaints from your staff are a common occurrence 15 when it come to Judge Hall; is that fair? 16 Α. Yes, sir. 17 Okay. And that they come to you because he Q. 18 harasses, berates, belittles, whatever, but again, 19 that is an ongoing process for all or most of your 20 people; is that also fair? 21 An ongoing process in that it happens regularly? Α. 22 Q. Meaning they're coming to -- yeah, that they're

It's -- a lot of times it's just venting, you

They come to you and say, how

saying this happens.

can I stop it. I mean --

23

24

25

Α.

Yes.

1 know. You're at lunch with somebody. You walk 2 through the break room and somebody -- did you hear 3 what happened to so and so. Oh my gosh, it was 4 And, you know, it gets everybody upset. 5 Q. And I guess what I'm understanding, though, is is 6 that it's pretty prevalent, so I'm assuming there's 7 not a length of time that's going by without this 8 happening and you hearing something from somebody? 9 It's fairly common. I think a lot of my -- a Α. 10 number of my examples occurred in the last few 11 months --12 Q. Okay. 13 -- and we just -- they're like the most recent Α. 14 examples --15 Sure --Q. 16 Α. -- so we put them down. 17 -- but I think you've also stressed that the last Q. 18 few months is just a microcosm of what's been 19 happening for years? 20 Α. Yes, sir. 21 Okay. So I mean, I just want to make sure you and Q. 22 I are on the same page. 23 Yes, sir. Α.

Okay. Well, you know, and again, let's talk

specifics. You know, I'm hearing from you, for

24

25

Q.

1 instance, that the solicitor in Johnson was too 2 green basically to be able to stomach it. And so 3 that she more or less took the coward's way out so 4 to speak, and saying, "I'm not going to say 5 anything. I don't want to get yelled at." We 6 could call it that, or we could be nicer. 7 decided that in her discretion she didn't want to 8 subject herself to it. 9 Or she felt like it wasn't going to make any Α. 10 difference --11 Well, but, you know, she --0. 12 Α. -- one of the two. I don't know. I don't know 13 exactly what was going on, but I know she is 14 generally trepidatious. There is trepidation, you 15 know, when --16 0. Was that her or just generally somebody of that 17 experience? 18 Well, I think anybody who is of that level of Α. 19 experience who either experiences it himself or the 20 vicarious experience. Sitting in court and 21 watching somebody else get blistered can be just as 22 upsetting and unnerving as getting treated that way 23 yourself. 24 And so if I'm getting that, you say she had been Q. 25 You had not heard from her about there two years?

- 1 this going on in the two years leading up to the 2 Johnson hearing? 3 I had, and I had heard it not from her Α. 4 specifically, but just in general. I was taking 5 notes of who was coming to me with which 6 complaints, but there was this general atmosphere 7 that this was a problem, yes. 8 And I guess when they come to you, what do you tell Q. 9 them to do? 10 I tell them to be respectful no matter what happens Α. 11 in there, because, you know, no matter what happens 12 you will not improve the situation by doing 13 anything other than being respectful and that, you 14 know, this is something that, you know -- that, you 15 know, everybody has to deal with. At the beginning 16 you say that. Then after a while at some point --17 and I can't remember exactly when -- I went and 18 spoke with him. 19 Well, and I guess what I'm asking, though too is, Q. 20 is you tell them to be respectful. Well, that's 21 certainly good advice. And then I think you also 22 tell them, you've got a job to do here, right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. You've got a role to play as a solicitor, correct?
- 25 A. Yeah, that's correct.

- Q. And if you were in there, you have a position that we as an office are pursuing as part of my policy, you need to stand the ground and take the position, right?
- 5 A. Absolutely.

2

3

- 6 Q. All right. Well, obviously she didn't?
- 7 \mid A. She did not.
- 8 Okay. What is troubling to me, at least from what Q. 9 I'm hearing is is that, you know, you're telling us 10 today that, you know, despite likely coming to you 11 before, and despite your giving her that 12 consolation at the same time, that instruction, 13 hey, do your job, she didn't do it. And then 14 what's worse is is we're hearing now that only 15 after this thing became catastrophic is it now 16 coming out that, "Oh, I was so afraid of him I 17 didn't want to say anything."
- 18 | A. Well --
- 19 Q. I mean, do you see the inconsistency of all that?
- A. -- well, she did not do it as well as she should
 have, but I believe she did. I believe that there
 was enough on the record in between the magistrate
 judge's written order that was filed revoking the
 bond coupled with the statements in court and the
 fact that the victim stood up and when asked, what

1 do you want to happen was too terrified to say 2 anything, I believe that there was enough in there. 3 So I would not lay this exclusively at the 4 assistant's feet either. 5 Q. And I agree. And you wouldn't lay it exclusively 6 at the judge's either, though, would you? 7 Α. I did not say I did. 8 Okay. But I think that the focus of this is 0. 9 effectively you are. And that's where I think 10 things are kind of troubling to me, because again, 11 it's like you said. This is all kind of a 12 collaborative process. We've got defense 13 attorneys. We've got prosecution. We've got the 14 Everybody has a role to play. Everybody 15 bears some responsibility. Everybody has certain 16 things that they have to do. That's part of the 17 game, correct?

18 A. Absolutely.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. And again, what I'm hearing is, though, at least when we talk about that, my disconnect is the solicitor's office isn't taking any responsibility for this. The solicitor's office is saying blame him (indicating). Now I'm with you in the sense that -- as Mr. Strom said, I've been doing this for 35 years. I've been out there in the courtroom

some years ago, and I've had my butt chewed out.

And I think it was Judge Burch told us the other

day, and I agree with him, you don't remember every

one you lost, but you remember every time you get

yourself embarrassed. You know, you just can't

help it.

7 | A. Sure.

17

18

- 8 And in that respect, I think we consistently say Q. 9 temperament -- respect goes both ways. 10 certainly hearing you when it come to that. I just 11 have some concerns, again, when we start talking about some of these incidents that you have 12 13 highlighted as being reflective of what's going on, 14 Johnson's not really a good case to point to, 15 because I think there's pretty much a shared 16 responsibility there, okay?
 - A. Well, to be fair, sir, I did not point to that as an example. I pointed to the meeting we had in his office afterwards.
- Q. Well, but it's part of the package is what I'm saying.
- 22 A. But I didn't raise that as, here's my Exhibit A as
 23 Johnson, and he did this.
- 24 Q. But no, you --
- 25 A. But I will say that I did -- and I have and I will

maintain that the chilling effect that his behavior towards my staff and my staff exclusively and no other actors in the criminal justice system -- the behavior has a chilling effect, and that is part and parcel of the problem. So you can't just divorce the consequence from the behavior. And that's really the essence of my complaint is how we're treated.

- Q. Well, and that brings me to the next thing that
 I'm, again, having some difficulty with. I'm
 assuming you try to be as capable and as competent
 a solicitor as anybody in the state. That's the
 goal for everybody, correct?
- 14 | A. Sure.

- Q. Okay. And I'm sure that in your circuit you deal with the same issues that the other 15 solicitors deal with across the state, fair?
- 18 A. More or less, yeah.
 - Q. Okay. And philosophically I would assume that if this judge or any other judge has a particular bent, as you're suggesting here, that basically you're going to see it, not just when he's in front of your prosecutors, but if he's got that bent, you're going to see that bent other places, wouldn't you expect?

- 1 Α. Well, and that's what I said in my complaint. Ι 2 have been the recipient of what I consider to be 3 unfair criticism. I've been the recipient of fair 4 criticism by other judges. But the one thing I've 5 noticed that is the case when a judge is 6 criticizing you, you'll see them turn around and 7 criticize the defense as well. When you only see 8 your side getting criticized, then you start to go, 9 is this just about he doesn't like me, he has a 10 personal problem with me, or is he biased against 11 the state. Because like I said, we're 50 percent 12 of the equation in two-thirds of all the cases in 13 So it has to be a level playing Circuit Court. 14 And the judge is the umpire, and if he's 15 always cheering when one team does well, then the 16 fans are going to start to go, wait a minute, is he 17 calling balls and strikes fairly. 18 Well, and I guess I'm maybe being less than clear Q. 19
 - about what I'm suggesting. I get what you're saying. But what I'm also wondering is, where are the other 15 today? Where are they to tell us, my people are getting beaten constantly. My people are getting abused. This guy basically is making the law as he goes along. This guy is as poor an example of a judge when it come to the state as

21

22

23

24

- 1 I'll find. I'm looking for the other 15
 2 solicitors. They're not here, okay?
 - A. That's true.

- Q. Okay. Now granted, that's your home circuit, but then again, he hasn't stayed there, rooted there, for the last five, six, seven years, and this is not the first time you've had a problem with him, is it?
- 9 A. No, sir.
- 10 Q. Okay. So I guess what I'm asking you is, are you
 11 now wanting us to maybe cut it down to the point
 12 where you're saying, this is a Kevin Brackett
 13 problem as opposed to a state problem?
 - A. I don't know what he does in other jurisdictions, because I'm not there. But I would suspect as reticent and as reluctant, rather, as I was to step up and come here and say these things, because it's hard, that to do it for a judge that blows through maybe once or twice a year, I would guess that they probably thought the candle wasn't worth the game.
 - Q. Well, and, you know, I guess the thing is is that
 I'm not necessarily going to sit here and tell you
 I'm familiar with every solicitor in the state
 right now. But I've known my share over the years,
 and I don't think we had a lot of shrinking

1 violets. I mean, I think most of them, if they 2 felt as aggrieved as what I'm hearing from you, 3 would have done something about as you are trying 4 I mean, you know some of them. 5 Α. And I don't know whether the Committee has the 6 scope of jurisdiction to go and make those 7 inquiries, but it might be reasonable --8 Well, but I think the point is --Q. 9 -- if you do. Α. -- is that I don't think it's up to us to make that 10 Q. 11 inquiry. I think what the inquiry would be is if 12 this is a rampant problem, that is something that 13 you've effectively got somebody run amuck, which is 14 what you're basically telling us in every respect, 15 then it would be something that -- it would be 16 prevalent enough that we would be hearing from it 17 from every corner of the state, but yet we're not. 18 Maybe we're just the recipients, the only Α. 19 recipients. That's possible, too. Maybe it's just 20 you behave differently when you're out of town. Ι 21 don't know. I really -- I've never been a judge, 22 but I can only tell you what I'm experiencing. 23 don't know what's happening elsewhere. 24 Well, and I agree. You know, I'm not asking you to Q.

kind of go and surmise, but maybe -- then it takes

us back to what you said, "I set the policy."
You've also, I think, acknowledged to Mr.
Rutherford that there have been other judges who
may have expressed some lack of satisfaction with
the policy over time, correct?

- A. There have been judges who've expressed lack of satisfaction both ways. They think it's too soft. They think it's too hard. We've had judges criticize and refuse to accept pleas because they thought it should be a greater plea offer. They didn't agree with that, because the victim was upset or whatever. So I have received complaints from judges across the spectrum.
- 14 Q. Well, as we all.
 - A. Yes.

Q. As we all have. But I think again what it gets down to is this. If we're not hearing from the rest of them, if we know that maybe it could be the policy in your particular circuit, if we know that there's not been necessarily a unanimity of satisfaction among the judges with that policy, as it may be with other solicitors, too, then, you know, this again maybe boils down to what Mr. Strom might have said. I mean, this seems a lot more personal than it would be, you know, a matter of

1 philosophical. I mean -- and if I'm wrong in 2 coming to that conclusion, please let me know, 3 because that kind seems where it's headed, and --4 Well, under what circumstances is it ever Α. 5 appropriate to mistreat people? 6 Well, my point is this, I already told you I agree 0. 7 with you in terms of someone needs to be temperate. 8 But I think, again, it goes back to what Mr. 9 Rutherford said is that, you know, we're sitting 10 here and talking about this being per what you've 11 expressed to us a chronic, ongoing, repeated 12 problem, but yet we don't have anything in front of 13 us other than anecdotally from you. 14 Well, I've given --Α. 15 I mean --Q. 16 Α. I've given specific examples and the names of the 17 attorneys that were involved, and in many cases, 18 exact dates. 19 I understand that, but where are those attorneys? Q. 20 Α. Well, I assumed, and I said in my complaint that 21 all of these people -- and I told you all of these 22 folks will be made available to the Committee to 23 answer any questions that the Committee's 24 investigators may have. 25 Well, and I guess the thing about it is we make an Q.

1 obvious solicitation to anybody who has a complaint 2 come on here. We'll listen to you. 3 Α. Yes. 4 It's open forum, but I don't see any of them here. 0. 5 Α. I said my second sentence -- third sentence, I am 6 filing this complaint on behalf of my office and my 7 staff attorneys who work regularly with Judge Hall, 8 so --9 Q. Okay. 10 -- I filed that, and I assumed that was the proper Α. 11 protocol. If I was wrong, that's on me. 12 Q. Well, and I think maybe you did it because you 13 expressed to us earlier and said, hey, I set the 14 It stops with me. policy. It begins with me. 15 Well, I did it because I thought I'll share all Α. 16 these specific incidents with you, and then the 17 Committee is free to investigate them to the degree 18 and extent that they deem necessary. I don't know 19 how the Committee works, to be honest. I've never been before the JMSC before, and hopefully I will 20 21 Not that I don't like y'all, but -not be back. 22 I got you. Well, and, you know, again the thing Q.

that struck me a little bit -- and I'm going to try

to close. But the thing that struck me also was

that you suggest that there's no push back from

23

24

1 your office, but when I'm looking at this Neal 2 transcript, whoever was in there -- I think it was 3 -- was it Colton, yeah, Colton. She didn't have 4 any reluctance to talk about how strongly the 5 solicitor's office felt about, you know, what had apparently transpired before. So, I mean, is she 6 7 unique in that respect? 8 In which case is this? Α. 9 This was the Neal transcript that the couple was Q. 10 here earlier, Mr. and Ms. Neal. 11 Α. Oh, yes. 12 Q. They were kind enough to provide us with the 13 transcript that I'm reading where your person there 14 -- and I'm assuming that she's be subject to the 15 It didn't cool her down. same abuse. 16 Α. No, it did not. Nobody's cool. Nobody wants --17 nobody wants the fight. They just want to be able 18 to do their jobs without being criticized. 19 you know, you raise an interesting point. Because 20 if somebody has a problem with somebody else to the 21 extent that they can't get along with them and they 22 can't treat them fairly, should they be hearing 23 their cases? 24 I don't know. I mean, from what --Q.

I recuse myself usually. If it's something where

25

Α.

I'm associated with the victim or the defendant and 1 2 so closely that somebody could reasonably question 3 my partiality and how I would exercise my 4 discretion --5 Q. Well, let me jus- --6 Α. -- I wouldn't just abuse the other person, because 7 I would just say, you know what, if this is all 8 just a personality conflict, then that would be the 9 appropriate course of conduct, not to continue to 10 abuse them. 11 Well, let me ask you this, you know, because you Q. 12 brought it up. Is that why you're here? What? 13 Α. 14 To have him recused? Q. 15 No, it just occurred to me, honestly. Α. 16 0. Okay. Well, you know, it seems like -- it seemed 17 pretty obvious to me. 18 Well, that is not the case, sir. Α. 19 Okay. Thank you. Q. 20 MR. BRACKETT - RE-EXAMINATION BY VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 21 I want to go back to very early, about, what, eight Q. 22 or ten hours ago before you started speaking -- and 23 I'm being cute. You have been standing a while, 24 and I appreciate your patience with our questions. 25 But something concerning to me, as you defined the

1 workload that is a solicitor -- or rather the 2 judge, whether it's a resident or visiting judge, 3 effectively you're reaffirmed it, I think, that at 4 least half of the workload of a judge is with the 5 solicitor's office; is that right? 6 I would say -- I'm not exactly sure with civil, but Α. 7 my recollection for the filings -- and I don't know 8 how much that equates to workload, but between 9 filings and what I see in the schedule of how many terms of Common Pleas there are versus how many 10 11 terms of General Sessions, it seems to be about two 12 to one. So I'm quessing two-thirds General 13 Sessions, one-third civil, but I've not done a 14 study of it, but --15 So more than half, two-thirds of day in, day out Q. 16 involves a solicitor's office and the judge --17 Α. Yes, sir. -- resident or --18 0. 19 Yes, sir. Α. 20 And you said that your role is somewhat judicial in Q. 21 nature? 22 Well, it has that element to it because there --Α. 23 the first decision that I have to make is whether 24 or not the evidence justifies the charge. 25 words, I'm not an advocate who's been hired by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

somebody to represent them in a claim where I'm going to go and pursue that claim and file it if I think -- you know, if it's a civil matter, I can make money on it, or if I've been hired for a criminal matter. I am that person's advocate, and I must advocate for their interests. My job is different. My first duty is to see whether or not the claim, the criminal complaint, is meritorious, whether it's supported by the evidence, whether the crime that was charged is the appropriate crime. Sometimes they charge burglary first, and it's really a burglary second. My job is to make sure that the charge is reduced to the appropriate Or sometimes I look at the evidence, as I have done very recently, and said, this charge is not supported by the evidence at all, and it's going away, and I dismiss the charge. So that is -- I guess I'm just trying to highlight the fact that I don't have a client. So in that regard I'm supposed to be neutral in evaluating the case in the first instance. Once I decide that the case is meritorious and is supported by the evidence and it's the appropriate charge, then I can move forward zealously and advocate passionately for the result that I feel is appropriate.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And I appreciate that explanation, because I would suggest to you that without it reading a cold record without inflection or passion or whatever, some could read and take away from that comment, and perhaps this entire proceeding, that you really do want more of an equal role in that courtroom and that you do see the solicitor as having an equal judicial as well as prosecutorial responsibility on both bringing the case and the outcome.
- Well, no, I think my sphere of responsibility and Α. my duties are distinct, clearly distinct. And I am not -- I've never considered it in terms of parody, whether we're equal or whether we're not equal. We're the executive branch of government. judicial is a different branch completely. sphere of responsibility is completely different, and my role in the courtroom is completely different from the judge's. So it's not a question of that. It's really a question of that I be on equal footing with the defense, that I be treated the same and that my staff be treated the same so that they can zealously advocate for the result that they think is just and not worry about whether they're going to incur some lightning because the judge thinks that they shouldn't even have brought

1 this case in my courtroom to begin with because 2 it's 30 years old, and why are you even here. 3 That's what I'm saying. I don't believe in any 4 way, shape or form -- when it comes to sentencing 5 for example, a matter that is entirely within the 6 discretion of the Court. If the sentence if up to 7 ten years, I'm free to argue with what I think is 8 appropriate, and the defense argues what they feel 9 is appropriate. And then the judge is the one who 10 ultimately makes that decision. But in that regard 11 I'm on equal footing with the defense. I am just -12 - all I want to do is be heard the same way they 13 are and have my position considered in the same 14 fashion that the defense's is. And then the judge 15 makes their decision, and that's their 16 responsibility. 17 So I asked you also whether you had participated in Q. 18 or had seen the addendum to the Citizens Committee 19 on Judge Hall's qualifications; do you recall that? 20 I did. I read it once. I have not -- I'm not Α. 21 super familiar with it, but if you refresh my 22 memory on the section you're concerned --23 All right. My question is which response do you Q. 24 recall seeing?

I'm sorry, I don't understand the question.

25

Α.

1 Q. You saw a report from the Piedmont Citizens Committee; is that correct? 2 3 I saw the report saying that she was qualified in Α. 4 expertise. There's several reports. 5 Q. The report on Judge Hall? 6 Oh, yeah, yeah, yeah, yeah. Α. 7 I asked you whether you agreed with that report or Q. 8 the addendum. Did you see one report or two 9 reports, is my question to you. 10 I have seen one report. Α. 11 Okay. All right. And then finally, your other 0. 12 point that I heard, you have nothing else to do. 13 This is kind of your only opportunity to take it to 14 the next level. I'm paraphrasing. 15 Yes, sir. Α. 16 Your characterization. 0. 17 Α. Yes, sir. 18 That's all, thank you. Very good. 0. 19 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any other questions? All right. 20 If you'll take a seat, sir. I think we will have heard from you, and now we're going to give Judge 21 22 Hall an opportunity to come back up and remind you 23 you're still under oath, Judge Hall.

Without digging into the details of each of

the particular complaints, I will state that on the

24

25

JUDGE HALL:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Paul Johnson matter if you'll look at the record, that there was a senior assistant solicitor standing beside the young assistant solicitor, Jenny Desch, who was in charge of domestic violence She also did not offer any cases at that time. evidence or a position on bond when Mr. Johnson was in front of me. So it seemed to me as I sat there and listened it was -- the record, seemed to be -the State was limited to a young assistant solicitor being in there and being afraid. record also reflects that Ms. Desch was there and also addressed the court. When I did ask the question about whether the magistrate had authority to revoke the bond that was simply in the context of a magistrate. Once an indictment -- I mean, once a warrant is transmitted from the magistrate's court to the clerk of court's office then they lose jurisdiction over the warrant. And so that was my question was not any preconceived notion on how I was going to rule in that particular case. It was simply -- because it's not often that a magistrate I agree with that, but the Court revokes a bond. wanted to be that the record was clear that she still maintains jurisdiction over that, and which she did and she properly did. So that was the

1 Johnson matter. The concern that I had in 2 addressing the solicitor and the sheriff of the 3 article -- this is four months after the bond 4 hearing the article came out, and when I read the 5 article I then -- I couldn't remember. I do so 6 many bond hearings I could not remember Mr. 7 Johnson's bond hearing. I immediately ordered a 8 I sent that transcript to Mr. transcript. 9 Brackett. I sent that transcript to the sheriff 10 before I met with them. When he says -- I want to 11 be sure that the record's clear. When I met with 12 them in the courtroom. That was simply the place 13 that we met. There was no one else present other 14 than Mr. Brackett, the solicitor, my secretary and 15 my law clerk. I met with them and I was upset. 16 And I felt like I had been -- and I expressed to 17 them that there appeared to be no mutual respect 18 for the system and for the Court. And I didn't 19 cuss but I was upset. And the meeting ended very 20 quickly. However, I did go back and apologize to 21 the sheriff and I apologized to Mr. Brackett for 22 the way I conducted myself, that that was improper 23 even in that private setting for me to do that. 24 That's all I have to say about the Johnson case. 25 have never -- I disagree. I have never yelled at a

1 lawyer in my courtroom. I have never belittled a 2 lawyer in my courtroom. I have certainly been --3 in court in my 30 some odd years as a lawyer. 4 I was first elected as a judge, one of my fellow 5 judges told me the worst thing about being elected 6 judge is that you can't complain about judges any 7 longer. When I was a lawyer, whether as a solicitor or a public defender, often I would go 8 9 back into the office and within the walls of the 10 office complain about a judge and what they had 11 done and their behavior. That's what we did. But 12 it never left the walls of the office out of 13 respect for the Court and for the system. 14 reelected, and that again is left up to this body 15 and also 51 percent of the legislature, I will 16 continue to ask questions in Court. I believe that 17 is my role of judicial review. Lawyers that come 18 in front of me, whether they be assistant 19 solicitors, whether they be young associates in a civil law firm are lawyers. It is a court of law. 20 21 They've taken an oath when they stand before the 22 court to uphold the Constitution and to be a 23 I expect them to be able to answer the 24 questions that I have. Again, I sign my name at 25 the bottom of everything that comes in front of me.

1 I want to be satisfied that I'm doing my job in 2 judicial review. I expect them to be lawyers, 3 which means they are on their own when they in 4 front of the Court, because they are again a 5 lawyer. Mr. Brackett has a very, very difficult 6 Managing people is a very, very difficult job. 7 iob. The number of lawyers that he has is a very, 8 very difficult job. If you're the solicitor --9 when I was an assistant solicitor, somebody's 10 always mad at you. As John Justice used to say, 11 it's not paranoia when they really are all against 12 you. And I understand that from a prosecutor's 13 The judge is mad at you. Victims are standpoint. 14 mad at you. Law enforcement's mad at you. It is a 15 hard, hard job. I have deep respect for anybody 16 who tackles that job. Again, I'm not perfect. And 17 certainly I want to be teachable until the day I 18 I tell my law clerks, when I hire a law 19 clerk, the most important thing they can do is to 20 be teachable. And I think that applies to me. 21 if there are areas that I need to be more aware in 22 how I treat particular lawyers, then I'll make 23 every effort I can to change in that area. 24 will not cease being what I believe is my role as a 25 judge in dealing with each individual case that

comes in front of me. I feel for victims. 1 2 victims on a felony DUI is a difficult, difficult 3 case. Y'all can read my response and what I 4 believe were the reasons for the sentence that I 5 gave in that case. Certainly bond issues are the most difficult because you never know what a --6 7 when someone you release on bond, what they're 8 going to do. It is the -- it weighs heavy on what 9 In this particular -- Johnson -- that 10 particular case, again, it occurred four months 11 later. However, I don't apologize for using my 12 discretion in court. And I will -- and again, I 13 have never yelled at anybody in my courtroom. 14 have never bullied anybody in my courtroom. I have 15 never used my office as a ruse to try to move 16 And so I don't apologize for the way that cases. 17 I've conducted my work or what I brought to the 18 That's not to say that there may be times bench. 19 when I do need to apologize and may need to make 20 changes in the way I treat people. Thank you. 21 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Representative Rutherford --22 Judge, you mentioned your response to the Brackett 23 complaint. Do you object to that being made a part 24 of the record? 25 JUDGE HALL: No, I don't.

1 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. All right. (Exhibit Number 22 was marked for identification purposes 2 3 - (23 pages) Response to Affidavit of Kevin Brackett .) 4 JUDGE HALL: Well, let me ask the Chairman this question. 5 Is my response and the complaint now a part of the 6 public record? 7 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Yes, sir. 8 JUDGE HALL: And so the world is entitled to read or 9 publish any of those that they see fit? 10 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Correct, as well as the Piedmont 11 Citizens report as well as their addendum. 12 Representative Rutherford, do you have a question? 13 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: I do, but can I ask a 14 question of staff first? Is there somebody else? 15 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Representative Talley. 16 JUDGE HALL - EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE TALLEY: 17 Judge Hall, I don't think we've ever met before Q. 18 The Solicitor, I think -- somebody correct 19 me if I'm wrong -- stated that y'all met, I think 20 he said on two occasions, that after reports from 21 his staff about treatment in the courtroom or how 22 an assistant solicitor may have been spoken to, am 23 I accurate in saying that. Did y'all met on two 24 occasions? 25 I remember one occasion we did go to lunch Α.

1 together. It was -- as well as I can remember, the 2 context of that was we had a particular case 3 involving a right-wing nationalist guy from -- and 4 it somehow ended up in Rock Hill. He was charged 5 with domestic violence. He -- I had a bond hearing 6 He and the victim had gone to Florida, and on him. 7 then reported it in Florida after she had followed 8 him there. They ended up arresting him in Florida 9 for domestic violence in York County. I asked her 10 a lot of the -- and this was a senior assistant 11 solicitor on that particular case. I asked her a 12 lot of pointed questions about it. Because in my 13 mind I wanted to know where, when, what 14 for me to determine what the appropriate bond. 15 believe that that's probably what led to part of 16 that discussion with Mr. Brackett, that that was 17 perceived as me being -- mistreating that 18 particular assistant solicitor, senior assistant 19 solicitor, in court, which again I assured him that 20 -- the same thing I've said today. I expect 21 lawyers to be able to answer my questions. 22 I did not yell. She may have felt embarrassed, but that was -- that was the context of that, but we 23 24 did meet, and we did discuss that. That was 25 shortly before, then the article came out sometime

1 later, a month or so after that, as well as I 2 remember that the Paul Johnson took place. 3 Q. And just so I'm clear as we think through this, 4 your recollection of that lunch meeting was 5 specific to the one instance you think? 6 No, no, no. It was a general, "Judge, how can we Α. 7 get along, and how can we work together." And my 8 response is, you know, I'll do everything I can to 9 work together. You know, I'm going to be fair and 10 impartial. However, I do expect your lawyers to be 11 able -- they're lawyers. I do expect them to be 12 able to answer my questions in court. 13 Was that the only such meeting? I thought he said 0. 14 I may be remembering that incorrectly. two again. 15 I mean, we have met on other occasions. That's the Α. 16 only time I met with him privately. I have met 17 with him with the chief magistrate over a 18 particular issue. I've met with him with the past 19 chief public defender on trying to work on administrative matters, how to move cases, but in 20 21 my mind that's the only time I've ever met with him 22 privately. 23 Was that the only meeting where, for lack of a Q. 24 better way of saying it, allegations about your

treatment of solicitors came up? I mean, it sounds

like y'all were working on some administrative 1 2 stuff in some of these other meetings. Have there 3 been other occasions where he or anybody on his 4 staff has come to you and said, hey, we feel like 5 you're biased against our office or why did you --6 No one has ever said that to me. I've certainly Α. 7 felt it. 8 Thank you, Judge. Q. VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Safran. 9 10 JUDGE HALL - EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN: 11 0. 12 13 14 15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Judge Hall, I think I -- like Senator Talley, I've never laid eyes on you before today. And I don't think we've ever met, ever spoken. But to be fair here, you know, Solicitor Brackett has basically talked about people being treated poorly, being, you know, embarrassed and subject to abuse. think as a group we have a consistent theme that our Chairman expresses in each one of these hearings that that is something that we frown on. And, you know, I want to make sure that it's understood that we take a very dim view of that type of behavior. Obviously, he says this goes on. I don't believe you feel that that isn't happening -- that does happen based on what I've heard from you; is that fair?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Now, you know, again, we have a pool of
- 3 information, whether it be live testimony, the
- 4 written complaints, the ballot boxes. I'm reading
- 5 the ballot boxes and I'm seeing the opposite of
- 6 that. But again, this is a cross section of people
- 7 that go in front of you. But I mean, at least
- 8 based upon these folks, they've extolling your
- 9 virtue of patience, kindness, demeanor. I mean, am
- I to understand that when you get to York County
- all of a sudden Mr. Hyde comes out every time? I
- mean, is that basically what goes on?
- 13 A. Don't ask my wife. You know, I -- no. No, I do
- 14 | not believe that.
- 15 | Q. Well, and the other thing is this, you know, you've
- 16 rode the circuit, haven't you, or ridden the
- 17 | circuit?
- 18 A. Yes, sir. I've been in -- yes, I've been from
- 19 Charleston to Greenville to Horry to York and
- 20 everything in between.
- 21 | Q. And you deal with solicitor's offices in all the
- 22 other 15 circuits, I assume at some point, during
- your length of career, haven't you?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. All right. I mean, do we have these issues in

1 other circuits as what I'm hearing from Mr. 2 Brackett? 3 I would say no. Α. 4 I mean, does your philosophy change when you 0. 5 cross the county line --6 Α. No. 7 -- in terms of what you think in the criminal area Q. 8 or how you believe the law should be applied? 9 mean, or do you pretty well take that same 10 philosophy to every courthouse that you go to? 11 Α. Yes, and I strive to do that. 12 Q. Well, and again, I want to be fair about this. 13 Because, you know, it troubles me any time that I'm 14 hearing from someone that a judge is berating 15 someone, is belittling them, is making them feel 16 terrorized to walk into a courtroom. That should 17 never be the case. But again, I feel what I'm 18 hearing from you December 10, 2021 that that 19 doesn't happen. Well, I -- as a lawyer, I just always wanted a 20 Α. 21 judge to be nice to me and not embarrass me in front of his client -- my clients. And I still try 22 23 to do that, keep that, and I -- but that does not 24 mean that I have to be in a position of non-comment 25 when I need to ask pointed questions about what's

1 before me. And I do believe that is part or a lot 2 of -- and I take issue with a number of lawyers 3 that Mr. Brackett says in his office complain about 4 me, the young lawyers. I just did 120 pleas last 5 week in three and a half days. Young lawyers were 6 in there. I enjoyed the time they were in there. 7 My perception is they enjoy being in there. 8 believe that there are some lawyers in his office 9 that would disagree with my discretion and my 10 examining their cases.

- Q. Well, and I guess that was something that I wanted to just touch on -- is that I agree a judge has a right to ask questions. A judge has a right to ask pointed questions. But I mean, I'm assuming, because you've been a public defender, you have been a solicitor, you've been a judge for a period of time that you recognize there's a line between pointed questions and abuse.
- 19 | A. I do.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 20 Q. And do you think you go over that line?
- 21 | A. No.
- 22 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 23 | VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Representative Rutherford.
- 24 JUDGE HALL EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD:
 - Q. Judge Hall, are you familiar with Judge Michael

Baxley?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- A. I've tried a case in front of Judge Baxley as a prosecutor, and I argued a pretty stupid motion that he told me later it was a pretty stupid motion. Yes, I knew Judge Baxley.
- Q. Did you know that I had a case in York County, a negotiated plea for a three-year sentence for a young lady for trafficking crack cocaine, and when the solicitor told Judge Baxley that it was a negotiated for three years, he refused to take it and told her that she needed to do better, and he gave her 30 days; did you know that?
- 13 A. I did not know that.
- 14 Q. And I guess the solicitor had never heard that either. Do you know Judge Gibbons?
- 16 A. I do know Judge Gibbons.
- 17 Q. Did you know that I had a case in York County, a 18 trafficking marijuana case, and the young man was 19 pleading guilty. The solicitor asked for a year in 20 prison, and it was right over the ten pound 21 threshold, and Judge Gibbons said, I'm not going to put him in prison for a year. Did you know that he 22 23 complained to the solicitor's office about their 24 sentence as well; did you know that?
 - A. No, I did not.

Q. So if other judges complain about the sentences that are doled out in York County, and they're visiting judges, they don't get the same treatment from the solicitor because I guess they're not there all the time; is that what you would surmise?

I -- I can't answer that question.

- Q. So Judge Hall -- and I meant to apologize to Solicitor Brackett while he was up there. My Chairman doesn't call on me a lot. He shields his face so he can't see me raise my hand. I don't know if you saw that, but it happens all the time. But it's okay, because I thought that the solicitor was bringing up the Johnson case to complain about what you had done as far as the bond was concerned. He, I believe, stated that that was not why he put that in there. He was concerned about your treatment of him in the private meeting that you
- A. I did.

Α.

Q. Judge Hall, if you had ever been in front of this

Committee or have ever heard us talk to other

judges, we have said probably a million if not ten

million times, it is not how you treat people back

in the back. It's talking to them in front of

their clients in the courtroom. But what Solicitor

all had had afterwards; did you hear that?

1 Brackett is complaining about is how he and the 2 sheriff were treated in a private meeting between 3 you, your law clerk, your secretary and the 4 solicitor and the sheriff? 5 Α. That's what I heard, yes. 6 And apparently they got their feelings hurt? Q. 7 Α. And I apologize for how -- what I'd said. 8 Well, let me suggest to you that I've been Q. 9 practicing law since 1996. And I had Judge Barber 10 tell me one time he was going to put me in jail if 11 I spoke another word. He told my client how would 12 he feel if his lawyer was sitting next to him in 13 the jail cell. He told me that on the record. 14 Does that mean I should come in here and complain 15 because a judge talked to me -- and this was on the 16 record -- that I should complain about how he talked to me? 17 18 That would be an ethical question that you would Α. 19 have to decide for yourself. 20 And in fact, if the treatment was to me as a Q. 21 lawyer, and I see conduct that I believe to be unethical, do I not have an ethical obligation to 22 23 bring that conduct up? 24 Α. We are as -- all of us as lawyers operate under 25 that ethical standard.

- Q. And so these people, these lawyers that work in Solicitor Brackett's office that have been mistreated by you, none of whom have filed a single ethics complaint and none of whom sit here today, does that strike you as odd?
- A. I have no comment about that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, let me just suggest to you that all my years Q. -- and I've been on this Committee since 2015. have never seen a lawyer come in and complain on behalf of other lawyers. And even worse, I've never seen anybody come in and complain about a sitting judge and lack any evidence about how those persons have been treated on the record, because that's why we have records. How they are treated in private meetings, I don't think that's any of this Commission's concern. In fact, they don't have to go to the meeting. He didn't have to go to the meeting with you, and in fact, his request to us was that your complaint about his policy should be taken up with him. But then when you take it up with him, he complains about you taking it up with him in the manner in which you did. So I'm not sure exactly why it is that lawyers who, if they are ethical, have an obligation to report unethical conduct. And if they -- either they've never seen,

and that's why they didn't report it, or there's somebody that is reporting it on their behalf, and they don't believe it to be unethical. sure which, but either way, private conduct in meetings with judges and solicitors and sheriff I don't believe are our purview. And I don't believe that that's what we're here for. And I believe we've repeatedly said that. I'm not offended by Mr. Brackett bringing that to Α.

the Commission's attention. I mean, I believe as a judge my behavior in private should also reflect the way I should conduct myself in public. And so I did not find that offensive. I believe I have an explanation, but I did not find that offensive.

Q. Well, I just -- and I want you to know this. I don't find it offensive either. He and I disagree all the time. Like when I see him he knows we're going to disagree about something. And so this is not a surprise to him. It shouldn't be a surprise to anybody that knows how it is that we operate. The problem is this, that if what we do here is dig into private meetings and have that be the purview of this body, then we're going way too far, that what we should be concerned about is the treatment of individual lawyers and litigants on the record.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And as far as the record that has been presented to us, and this one has more than just about anything I have not seen anything in here which I've seen. leads me to believe that you have acted in any way not in keeping with the way you should act as a And I say that, having read through all this, having looked all the ballot box surveys, having seen you operate in court. So at some point being a judge means that you are going to get criticized. It's like being a legislator. people just are going to throw rocks at you, being a sheriff, being a solicitor. But I don't want you to believe that the fact that the solicitor complains about his treatment -- well, not even his treatment, just his treatment in a private meeting, but other people's treatment in your courtroom, means that you should change what you do. say that because what I'm missing and what I continue to miss, those persons who are innocent under the Constitution whose bond is too high. don't ever hear solicitors come in and tell us, well, this judge sets real high bonds, and he probably shouldn't. I missed those. I missed those persons that sit in the Department of Corrections who believe that they got way too much

1 time. We don't ever see those people come in here. 2 It's only the sentences that people don't like when 3 it's not enough. It's never when it's too much. 4 And so the balance seems to be what you've 5 achieved. I don't believe that you're going to 6 make everybody happy with what you do. But I do 7 believe in looking at this evidence and looking at 8 it from my five years on here that I just don't 9 think you should change what it is that you do or 10 believe that making one side happy over another 11 that you're going to achieve any degree of balance 12 other than what you've already done. 13 And I appreciate that. And my goal is not to make Α. 14 one side happy. But if there are areas in my 15 conduct that I need to address, I'll address it. 16 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. Blackley. 17 JUDGE HALL - EXAMINATION BY MS. BLACKLEY: 18 Are you familiar with the Victims' Bill of Rights? 0. 19 Yes, ma'am. Α. 20 0. And you understand what the rights are of prime 21 victim? 22 Α. Yes. 23 How do you respond to the ballot box Q. 24 statements that you're insensitive to the victims? 25 Well, one, I don't --Α.

VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Let's take a five minute break. 1 2 We've been going at it for a little while, and I 3 think we all need about a five minute restroom 4 break, and I apologize -- the ladies up -- trust 5 me, I would not have interrupted this absent --6 We've got five minutes to spend on whether we're 7 going to take a break. Let's do it real quick. 8 (Off the record.) 9 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Number one, my apologies to my 10 Commission member, Ms. Blackley for the 11 interruption and to you, Judge. Can you read the 12 question that was posed? 13 I think I can --14 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Well, let start over. Again, my 15 apologies. Ms. Blackley. 16 0. I think you were beginning to answer my question in 17 regards to what your response to why someone or 18 people would think that you're insensitive to 19 victims. 20 Α. Well, sometimes I think there's a misunderstanding 21 of the Victims' Bill of Rights. I'm old enough to 22 remember. When I first got out of law school, I 23 worked for Solicitor Red Ferguson in York for a 24 couple of years, and we didn't have the Victims' 25 Bill of Rights. And many times I'd hear the

1 solicitor say, ah, victims, this is the State's 2 case a victim doesn't have any say. And we 3 wouldn't call victims. And so it took a while for 4 prosecutors to learn, hey, look, and then we passed 5 the Victims' Bill of Rights, to pass that, and then 6 began to see their understanding of how it applies. I think we've come a long way, and rightly so, in 7 8 learning how to apply the Victims' Bill of Rights. 9 It is a number of rights that makes sure that the 10 victim has an opportunity to be heard. They have, 11 you know, notice -- be notified of every hearing 12 applicable in a criminal case and a lot of other 13 notice and right to be heard rights. Often there's 14 a misconception that the victim has a right to 15 disagree with a sentence or for a victim to be --16 has to be satisfied before a particular case is 17 heard or sentenced. That is not in the Victims' 18 Bill of Rights.

Q. That's correct. You're right, it's not.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. We do not give the victims the right to -- in fact,

I had a lawyer tell me that they wanted me to hear
a particular matter because the victim had a right
to testify. Well, they don't have a right to
testify. They have -- you know, they can be
subpoenaed and be required to testify, but often I

- think it's a misconception of what the Victims'

 Bill or Rights actually says.
- 3 Q. It says they have a right to be heard --
- 4 A. Right, right.
- 5 Q. -- that's what it states.
- A. Right, and not to make the final decision on a particular case.
- 8 Q. Right.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 9 A. And they may -- oftentimes they're very right, and
 10 sometimes they may not be. But I certainly intend,
 11 every time a victim is in front of me wish that
 12 they be heard. I give them the opportunity to be
 13 heard.
 - Q. Let me ask you this. You heard Ms. Neal when she presented earlier and stated that she didn't have a chance to speak nor did her son in this plea. Do you know why they didn't get that opportunity?
 - A. Well, when I read the record, I could not find where that -- where she didn't have the opportunity to speak. There was -- it may have been the last one. There were actually -- what happened is that the Defendant and her lawyer were in court. I believe it was in October, and she was eight and a half months pregnant. She had blown a .13 on the Breathalyzer and been in a -- she and her husband

1 had an argument. She left the house, ran a stop 2 When she did, the victim on the motorcycle sign. 3 ran into her, and it was her fault. She caused it. 4 When they were in front of me, certainly the 5 victims spoke. As well as I can remember when I 6 looked at the record had an opportunity to speak in 7 detail at that time. The Defendant was eight and a 8 half months pregnant. I decided at that time that 9 the appropriate thing to do was to defer 10 sentencing. One, she had no record. She had a 11 seven year old child. And I made the decision that 12 it would be in her interest, the State's interest 13 and everybody else's interest that she not go to 14 the Department of Corrections and have the baby in 15 the Department of Corrections. So we deferred 16 sentencing until January, after she had the child. 17 At that point she was now nursing a baby. 18 attempted to give her a sentence under the Home 19 Detention Act, and then we don't -- that's another 20 issue. That's not -- we haven't adopted that in 21 York County. And as well as I can remember, she 22 had an opportunity to again -- they had an 23 opportunity to speak then. Then had to come back 24 for a third time is when I sentenced -- finally 25 sentenced, taking everything into consideration. Ι

1 don't --2 You didn't make the decision not to allow them to Q. 3 speak? 4 I don't -- I don't -- again, I can't remember what 5 the record says. Any time if a victim says they 6 want to speak, I give them an opportunity to speak. 7 Q. That's customary, yeah, okay. 8 Α. Right. 9 Is there any particular reason why restitution for Q. 10 medical expenses weren't ordered, or were they even 11 asked by the solicitor's office? 12 Α. I do not -- as well as I can remember looking at 13 the record, they were not asked. The restitution 14 was not asked for. 15 Restitution for the victim was not asked when it Q. 16 was great bodily harm, by the solicitor's office? 17 Α. As well as I remember the record, no, they didn't. 18 Have you ever had anybody, any solicitor or 0. 19 assistant solicitor in the Sixteenth Circuit, 20 object to any type of ruling or motion or --21 They can have a motion to reconsider. Yeah, I've Α. 22 had those, yes. I've had -- in a formal sense, you 23 know, I've heard motions, and I would hear both 24 sides.

So that is customary that you would do that?

25

Q.

A. Oh, yes, right. We're lawyers.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And I don't -- I mean, I'm the only non-attorney up here, but my --
 - A. Well, you were the Clerk of Court in Spartanburg, which means you know more law than all the rest of us together.
 - Well, you obviously know, but I can tell you one of Q. the -- like Mr. Safran stated earlier, one of the common goals is to make sure that people are being treated correctly and right and not feel intimidated going in a courtroom. But I also on the other side before I became clerk, worked in administration here in Columbia for the governor for crime victims and assisted with the Victims' Bill of Rights. So that's where this question is coming from, because I can tell you having read this -- and I know that you're not to blame. offered a bond. But what I am concerned about on this is when you -- it was presented to you that she had a bloody lip and was crying, she stated that Defendant had kneed her in the stomach, punched her in the ribs, slammed her on the ground, wrapped a belt around her neck, pulling it tight, strangling her until she felt faint. And he stated he could have ended her life right there. He also

1 threw a folded table at her, which hit her back. 2 The deputy was able to see the folding table and 3 the belt around, I'm assuming on the floor. 4 Defendant did admit that he dragged her to get her 5 to leave, hit her in the lip with his knee. 6 state he put the belt around her, but not to 7 strangle her, just to get her to leave the home. 8 And then there were a couple of things, and you 9 asked Ms. Johnson what is her position. 10 normally ask victims what their position is when 11 you give them bond? 12 Α. If the solicitor has not stated the position, I 13 They have -- I think they have a always try to. 14 right to be heard. And generally, if the solicitor 15 -- I've had many times where the solicitor, where 16 the victim, for whatever reason does not wish the 17 court to say anything, then the assistant solicitor 18 or even a victim advocate who is standing there 19 with them gives me the victim's position. 20 appreciate that, but that was not --21 Do they normally do that in the Sixteenth Circuit? Q. Do the solicitors or the assistant solicitors give 22 23 you the victim's -- do they speak for them? 24 When I say normal, many times they do. Α. 25 Sometimes they don't. Sometimes they speak for

1 themselves. 2 I think that's all I have. I hate we're Q. 3 here under these circumstances where it's kind of 4 gotten negative, because, you know, we have a 5 sheriff, a solicitor and a judge here. We've got 6 some work to do. But I appreciate all this work 7 that you do for the circuit. And I know I -- I 8 don't think we've ever met but thank you for 9 coming, and thank you for answering my questions. 10 All right. Thank you. Α. 11 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Anybody else? Anything further in response to this? 12 13 JUDGE HALL: No, nothing further. 14 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. 15 MS. WILKINSON: Mr. Chairman, I have nothing further 16 regarding the complaints, but I do have some 17 housekeeping issues. 18 JUDGE HALL - RE-EXAMINATION BY MS. WILKINSON: 19 Q. Judge Hall, since submitting your letter of intent, have you contacted any member of the Commission 20 21 about your candidacy? 22 I think I told you that -- I assume when you Α. 23 ask that question that Representative Smith 24 appeared in front of me at a hearing in Lexington 25 County, but it had no relation to this matter at

all. 1 2 Yes, sir. Q. 3 That's the only contact I've had. Α. 4 Yes, sir. Are you familiar with § 2-19-70, 0. 5 including the limitations on contacting members of 6 the General Assembly regarding your screening? 7 Α. I am. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you 8 0. 9 sought or received the pledge of any legislator, 10 either prior to this date or pending the outcome of 11 your screening? 12 Α. No. 13 Have you asked any third parties to contact members 0. 14 of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you 15 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this 16 process on your behalf? 17 Α. No. 18 Have you reviewed and do you understand the 0. 19 Commission's guidelines on pledging in South 20 Carolina Code § 2-19-70(E)? 21 Yes, I am. Α. 22 Mr. Chairman, I would just note for the MS. WILKINSON: 23 record that any concerns raised during the 24 investigation regarding Judge Hall were

incorporated into the questioning of him today, and

25

1 I have no further questions. 2 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. Thank you. Judge, I want 3 to now tack from the complaint into some general 4 observations and questions as well. And Madam 5 Court Reporter, when did we start this portion of 6 this hearing today. 7 COURT REPORTER: Just Judge Hall? 8 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 9 COURT REPORTER: We started at 2:09. 10 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 2:09, so it's almost -- well, it's 11 4:48. We've had a quick, awkward interruption on 12 my request, Hope Blackley. Anyway, but we've been 13 at this for a while, and I appreciate your 14 standing, sitting, responding and participating as 15 well as those who have come before you with the 16 complaints that they have presented to us. And I 17 don't want you to think that that is all that this 18 record contains without giving you some of the good 19 comments that you've heard before. And so you 20 perhaps heard my questioning the Solicitor about 21 your standing and your reputation in the Bar. 22 attorneys get to fill out these ballot box surveys, 23 and again, you've heard a number of the 24 compliments. "You're a great man, efficient, 25 prepared, excellent judge, decides cases on the

1 facts, not what the powers that be want, one of the 2 most fair judges I've been in front of in my entire 3 career, extremely conscientious, thoughtful, 4 independent, professional, knowledgeable, one of 5 the most thoughtful and considerate judges in South 6 Carolina." And so those, again, are some of the 7 comments. And so we, in this Commission -- and 8 again, I've never met you before I don't think. 9 I've never appeared before you to my knowledge. 10 JUDGE HALL: No. I've seen you from a distance standing 11 in the garage at the courthouse, but -- I mean, at 12 the State House. 13 Well, that's close enough probably VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 14 many would say. And depending on what's -- well, 15 no, anyway -- but anyway, so I don't know you. 16 the complaints, again, I don't know, except what 17 we've heard and what we've read. But what I do 18 know is that as Mr. Brackett -- I asked about in 19 judicial temperament, which we believe -- and I 20 think this Commission places great weight on, you 21 enjoy an overwhelming support as well qualified. 22 Sixty-three percent of those hundreds who 23 commented, well qualified. Reputation, 24 overwhelmingly well qualified. Experience, 25 overwhelmingly well qualified. Character,

1 professional and academic ability, ethical fitness, 2 you score again by your peers and those who have 3 taken the time to participate in these ballot box 4 surveys, an incredible reputation. Are you without 5 fault? Have you made errors? Would you have taken 6 that Johnson bond over if you had the chance? Who 7 wouldn't have? Who wouldn't have made a better 8 record perhaps. But that Monday morning quarter 9 backing unfortunately that cost -- not your 10 decision, but the criminality of somebody -- cost 11 everybody a tragic loss. But I just want you to 12 take away our sense that this matters and how 13 you've acquitted yourself today in the responses 14 with what is clearly a personality conflict. 15 Because what we've heard is not borne out by what 16 folks have said overwhelmingly in your support. So 17 it is a learning process, right? 18 JUDGE HALL: Until the day --19 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We want to be better --20 JUDGE HALL: -- until the day we die. 21 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And so I have to believe you're 22 going to learn something from this, whether it's a 23 milkshake, a tea, a beer or something and a walk in 24 I've got to believe some personality differences can be resolved in a way that everybody 25

1 can respect each other and respect the independence 2 of a judge. And so I hope that that's the learning 3 part, for you at least. 4 JUDGE HALL: I take that to heart. 5 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So unless there is anything else 6 that we need -- and Judge you have an opportunity 7 to make a closing statement if you like. You're 8 not required to. 9 I move that Judge Hall's session adjourn. JUDGE HALL: 10 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Well, and I'm going to soon accept 11 that motion. And so thank you, and this will conclude this portion of our process. And let me 12 13 remind you that pursuant to our criteria, as you 14 know, we expect the candidates for new positions or 15 reelection to the existing, to follow both the 16 spirit and the letter of the state ethic laws. Any 17 violation or the appearance of impropriety will be 18 deserving of a very heavy weight and consideration 19 by our Commission. And so, as you know, this 20 record is not closed until the formal release of 21 the Report of Qualifications. You could be called 22 back in the unlikely event that that were to occur. 23 You understand that? 24 JUDGE HALL: I understand that. 25 VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Judge Hall, thank you,

1	your son, thank you, and God speed to y'all.
2	JUDGE HALL: Thank you.
3	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Sheriff, Solicitor hang or not.
4	No, I say y'all are free to go. Hang or not.
5	(Off the record.)
6	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Under Representative Johnson's
7	motion for executive session, we will go into
8	executive session.
9	EXECUTIVE SESSION
10	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. We are back in open
11	session and for the record during the executive
12	session no votes were taken and no business
13	conducted. We will now go to the ballot and Erin.
14	VOTE
15	MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, I believe we have not voted
16	on the Honorable Cely Ann Brigman reelection to
17	Family Court fourth circuit seat one.
18	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Move that she be qualified
19	and nominated by unanimous consent.
20	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Second. All those in favor please
21	show by raised hand. And by unanimous consent so
22	ordered. All right.
23	MS. CRAWFORD: Okay. Mr. Chairman, the next race is the
24	Circuit Court, Sixteenth Circuit, Seat Two. The
25	first candidate was the honorable Daniel Hall.

1	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Move that he be found						
2	qualified.						
3	MR. SAFRAN: Second.						
4	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All those in favor say aye or						
5	raised hands. And unanimously.						
6	MS. CRAWFORD: Are there any proxies too?						
7	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Yeah. Senator Sabb, Lucy Grey						
8	McIver, Pete Strom, Hope Blackley and, yeah, all						
9	right. Motion for nomination of Judge Hall? So						
10	moved there's a second, there's a second, all in						
11	favor of Judge Hall being nominated raise the hand.						
12	Unanimously those Pete Strom, Senator Sabb, Lucy						
13	Grey McIver.						
14	MS. CRAWFORD: By a vote of nine to zero, qualified and						
15	nominated. Thank you.						
16	VICE CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Motion to adjourn.						
17	(There being nothing further, the proceeding concluded at						
18	7:35 p.m.)						
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER					
2	I, JENNIFER NOTTLE, COURT REPORTER AND NOTARY PUBLIC IN					
3	AND FOR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AT LARGE, HEREBY					
4	CERTIFY THAT I REPORTED THIS PROCEEDING, ON TUESDAY, THE					
5	17TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2021, AND THAT THE FOREGOING 231					
6	PAGES CONSTITUTE A TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPTION OF MY					
7	STENOMASK REPORT OF SAID PROCEEDING.					
8	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NEITHER ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL					
9	FOR, NOR RELATED TO OR EMPLOYED BY ANY OF THE PARTIES					
10	CONNECTED WITH THIS ACTION, NOR AM I FINANCIALLY INTERESTED					
11	IN SAID CAUSE.					
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE SET MY HAND AND SEAL THIS 6TH					
13	DAY OF JANUARY, 2022.					
14	Junnifee Nottle					
15	JENNIFER NOTTLE, COURT REPORTER					
16	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES JULY 11, 2023					
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

	211117NO.	211117NO.	12	
Exhibits	JMSC_	JMSC_Hall_	37:22 50:23	2
	Kimpson_	Exh 19	65:6 135:17	
211117NO.	Exh 10	6:7 118:15	120	2
$\mathbf{JMSC_Addy_}$	5:6 64:24	211117NO.	209:4	9:19 51:1 65:3,7
Exh 1	211117NO.	JMSC_Hall_	12th	2-
4:4 9:16	JMSC_	Exh 20	121:20	95:9
211117NO.	Kimpson_	6:9 124:13	13	2-19-
$\mathbf{JMSC_Addy_}$	Exh 11	211117NO.	79:13,14 127:20	44:4
Exh 2	5:9 65:2	JMSC_Hall_	219:24	2-19-70
4:7 9:19	211117NO.	Exh 21	14	15:8 31:10
211117NO.	JMSC_	6:11 127:11	38:4 79:16	44:21 55:12
JMSC_Gravely_	Kimpson_	211117NO.	90:16 102:5	69:2 83:9 94:19
Exh 3	Exh 12	JMSC_Hall_	15	225:4
4:10 26:25	5:12 65:6	Exh 22	21:9 27:1 59:24	2-19-70(E)
211117NO.	211117NO.	6:13 203:2	61:3 64:25	15:22 32:1 56:3
JMSC_Gravely_	JMSC_		90:15 184:16	69:18 84:1
Exh 4	Bultman_Exh 13	1	185:21 186:1	225:20
4:13 27:3	5:15 79:13		207:22	20
211117NO.	211117NO.	1	16	21:10 120:20
JMSC_Kinlaw_	JMSC_	9:16	23:14 90:18	124:13 141:20
Exh 5	Bultman_Exh 14	1,000	16-1-60	20-
4:16 37:21	5:18 79:16	107:11	115:5	165:6
211117NO.	211117NO.	1,500	17	200
JMSC_Kinlaw_	JMSC_	107:11	23:14 102:4	146:6
Exh 6	Brigman_	10	18	2009
4:19 37:24	Exh 15	64:24 208:18	102:7 127:12	24:10
211117NO.	5:21 90:15	10,000	18th	2010
JMSC_	211117NO.	107:14	119:23	10:10 23:18
Snelgrove_Exh 7	JMSC_	100	19	2014
4:22 50:22	Brigman_	22:20	9:17 118:15	157:3
211117NO.	Exh 16	100s	19-70(E)	2015
JMSC_	5:24 90:18	105:23	95:10	140:22 213:8
Snelgrove_Exh 8	211117NO.	10:00		2016
4:25 50:25	JMSC_Hall_	7:3	1978	102:13
211117NO.	Exh 17	11	42:16	2017
JMSC_	6:2 102:4	65:2	1982	65:11
Snelgrove_Exh 9	211117NO.	11:30	61:14	2018
5:3 51:4	JMSC_Hall_	49:13	1996	52:10 119:23
	Exh 18	11th	212:9	121:2
	6:5 102:7	111:22		2019
		111.22		121:6,20 145:13
				121.0,20 143.13

2020	30-year-old		70	94:7 106:1,23
112:2 116:2	174:21	5	44:5	110:23 127:17
145:13 161:17	321	5	736	147:16 228:1
2021	119:25	37:21,25 51:5	29:2	absconded
111:22 145:10	337	· ·	75	176:15
208:18	80:23	50	104:25 118:16	absent
21	35	185:11	7:35	217:5
60:9 127:11	182:25	50/50	231:18	absolute
22	38	10:21		38:3
80:24 203:2	92:22	501	8	absolutely
23	39	94:12		22:4 155:21
203:3	39:11 66:22	51	8	181:5 182:18
24	79:23	200:15	9:20 27:4 50:25	abuse
144:2	3rd	58	111:9	97:24 191:15
24th	88:11	11:24 29:3	80s	192:6,10 206:16
121:6		5:00	40:21 47:7	209:18
25	4	60:13		abused
20:25 113:9		5:15	9	185:23
128:21	4	60:13		abuses
250	27:3 79:17	5th	9	141:11
138:14	40	121:2	51:4	academic
251	13:19 105:22		90	14:14 30:25
137:5	175:8	6	143:15,18	33:1 43:23 55:3
255	402		90s	68:17 82:23
137:3	92:21	6	47:8	94:7 110:23
28th	403	37:24 90:19	93	127:17 228:1
157:3	104:24	102:8	21:18	accept
2:09	43	608	99	149:3 152:18
226:9,10	40:4	53:20,25	61:3	153:4,20,21
220.5,10	449	62	9:00	154:14 164:7
3	52:23	137:6	60:7	188:9 229:10
3	46	63		acceptable
3	39:11 52:24	137:6	A	148:11
26:25	465	63.3		accepting
30	40:2	138:20	abide	152:15
13:19 60:15	490	642	100:8	access
113:9 122:1	66:21	11:24	ability	169:10
128:5 152:9,22,	4:48		14:14 19:24	accessible
25 162:23	226:11	7	20:17 21:21	93:9
174:5,7,10,15,	220.11		30:25 33:1	accident
25 175:7 196:2		7	43:23 55:3	118:22 140:6
200:3 210:12		50:22 124:14	68:17 82:23	
	•	· 	· 	

accommodate	actors	adequate	194:5,6,24	aggrieved
28:15,16 93:9	184:3	109:22	195:22 223:18	187:2
accommodating	actual	adjective	affect	agree
28:8 93:7	115:20	148:14,19	42:11 162:13,15	134:20 138:21,
accomplished	add	adjourn	affection	24 141:6,10
135:15	28:1 118:19	229:9 231:16	86:7	145:22 147:13
accordance	123:5,22 124:18	adjust	Affidavit	148:20 149:1
56:21 144:7	127:25 153:12	130:13	118:8,16,17	151:16 153:1
account	addendum	admin	125:11 126:14,	164:7 170:20
134:19	111:22 112:1	28:9	15 127:8,12,22	173:3 182:5
accountability	134:6 196:18	administration	203:3	183:3 187:24
16:13 57:3	197:8 203:11	222:13	affidavits	188:11 189:6
84:21 176:9	addition	administrative	9:12 26:20	198:22 209:12
accountable	26:13 37:10	65:11,19 67:8	37:17 50:18	agreed
104:7	95:21	205:20 206:1	59:25 60:16,17,	153:13 197:7
accounting	additional	admirable	23 61:1,12,15	agreement
168:9	11:25 29:3 40:4,	111:3	64:20 79:9 91:7	93:18 141:8
accurate	12 41:15 42:10	admire	103:15 124:24	145:19,20
150:20 203:23	52:24 66:22	177:5	affirmation	153:13
achieve	80:24 92:22	admit	100:5	agreements
216:11	104:25 109:12	153:4 223:4	affirmed	127:3
achieved	address	admonished	148:10	ahead
216:5	54:19 61:21	171:3	afraid	13:18 14:2
acknowledged	114:23 159:2	adopt	68:2 139:9	49:12,14 59:23
136:10 188:2	216:15	132:6	164:19,20	78:1
	addressed		165:21 166:5,	aid
acquired 70:4 177:8	54:20 198:12	adopted 220:20	20,22 167:6	25:11
	addressing		168:1,3,12,25	Aiken
acquitted 228:13	199:2	adults 96:11	169:6 181:16	97:22
	Addy		198:10	ain't
act	7:23,25 8:4,11,	advantage	afternoon	47:6
12:16 21:8 65:16 66:16	17,20,24 9:18,	140:11	77:13 92:3,5	airlifted
140:12,14,19	21,22 10:5 12:1,	advice	98:5,6 103:24	120:13
140:12,14,19	5,9 14:9,16 15:4	180:21	158:2	ALC
220:19	16:3 17:3 18:3,	advocacy	afternoons	65:15
	15,20 19:6 20:6,	134:24	138:4	alcohol
acted 215:4	12,23 23:3,9,18	advocate	age	144:24 145:3
	24:5 25:4,6	19:9 129:12,13	21:17	Alex
actions	75:11,13	134:25 135:7	agency	36:13 37:23,25
92:25	Addy's	162:15 163:12	48:1	75:22
activity	15:1 18:5,8	171:21 172:24	aggravated	Alexander
146:8		173:11 193:25	115:4	52:11

Alford's	angry	11:12 17:19	218:6	87:7
102:14	54:16 121:17	115:10 191:6	apply	arm
allegations	angst	212:6	218:8	121:15
126:15,19,25	176:25	appealed	appointment	arms
127:20 205:24	animated	148:8 149:1	130:3	119:3 120:6,7,
alleged	101:11	appearance	appreciated	11,13
82:8,10,11,16	Ann	24:20 35:10	66:17	arrest
allowed	89:14 90:17,19	48:22 62:24	appreciation	18:9 121:11
105:5 142:3,9	230:16	72:14 87:2	10:23	139:5,19 140:7,
146:21 150:1,16	anniversary	100:11 229:17	apprehended	15,23 141:12,21
158:24 159:2	128:6	appeared	175:11,18,21	142:17,22
allowing	announce	40:18 53:17,25	approach	143:16 145:21
80:4 97:9	18:10	54:1 60:18	10:20 14:18	arrested
alma	announced	105:24 114:9	approved	153:24 171:2
34:3	19:20	116:15 118:10	141:7	174:16 175:14
alphabetical	anonymity	174:4 199:17	approximately	176:18
75:1	21:19	224:24 227:9	113:9 174:10	arresting
amazing	anonymous	appearing	April	204:8
17:13,20 51:21	16:8 20:14 22:7,	93:13,22 105:21	62:13,15 161:17	arrived
amen	22 24:1 32:18	appears	area	47:11
96:3	45:12 56:24	9:9 20:15 26:17	23:24 98:11	article
amended	57:1 84:19	37:14 46:20	100:17 107:7	135:2 161:11
65:25 101:20	133:7 136:25	50:15 53:21	137:22 168:18	199:3,4,5
Amendment	answering	79:6 91:5 95:24	201:23 208:7	204:25
51:1 65:3	224:9	103:13	areas	articles
amendments	answers	appellate	201:21 216:14	9:9 26:17 37:14
90:9	109:22	65:16 94:13	aren't	50:15 79:6 91:5
amount	anymore	applaud	32:19 36:6	103:12
41:22	19:5 158:8	39:22 48:1	144:9,14	aspect
amuck	177:10	applicable	argue	21:2
187:13	apologies	218:12	13:20 196:7	assault
Amy	217:9,15	application	argued	175:1
74:8 75:4	apologize	9:7 26:15 37:12	148:5,7,9 210:3	Assembly
ancestry	199:20 202:11,	50:13 64:16	argues	15:11,16 31:12,
126:8	16,19 211:7	79:4 91:3	196:8	20 44:6,15
ancestry.com.	212:7 217:4	103:10	argument	55:14,22 69:4,
126:6	apologized	applications	60:22 220:1	12 83:11,19
and/or	199:21	41:22 114:10	arguments	94:21 95:4
133:11	apparent	applied	66:12	225:6,14
anecdotally	95:22	114:7 208:8	arises	assess
189:13	apparently	applies	24:25 49:2 63:4	113:2
		53:9 201:20		

assessment	attached	28:4,21 33:12	231:4	68:1 71:2,4 98:1
138:21	130:15	34:18 46:4 52:1,	ayes	129:9 155:5
asset	attachment	5 53:12 58:12	7:6,9,16,19	159:15
12:7 93:3,7	89:17	61:12 66:9	73:7,10,18,21	badly
106:2	attachments	80:17 81:5	76:21,24 77:5,9	118:21
assist	127:9,22 166:1	92:17,19 93:2	87:18,21 88:3,6,	bailiff
113:7	attain	104:21 106:21,	24 89:2	30:14
assistance	104:6	25 126:18 131:6	2109.2	bailiffs
41:23		133:2 134:23		30:16
assistant	attempt 108:15 114:14	138:15 147:5	B	baked
		148:8 153:16	baby	96:16
116:2,4,17 167:23 168:1	attempted	167:2 168:17	220:14,17	
170:11,13	220:18	182:13 189:17,	back	BAKER 51.11.54.22
170.11,13	attempting	19 190:7 226:22	23:7 24:25 34:3,	51:11 54:22
171.20,23	15:18 31:21	attribute	14,20 35:15,18	56:5
198:2,3,9	44:16 55:23	32:16	40:21 46:23	balance
200:18 201:9	69:13 83:20	audio	47:7 49:2 63:4	216:4,11
203:22 204:10,	95:5 225:15	164:24,25	67:10,12 72:19,	balanced
18 221:19	attended	165:10	25 73:13 87:7,	40:9
223:17,22	38:9	August	12 88:22 89:2,5	ballot
assistants	attention	157:3	96:4 98:13	9:6 11:24 16:6
151:8 156:1	12:24 57:8,10	authority	100:18 101:2	18:22 26:14
assistant's	109:6 111:9	171:9 198:13	115:7,8 117:17	29:2,4 32:18,19,
182:4	113:16 130:9 214:10	avail	119:10 120:3	24 37:11 40:3,5 45:12 50:12
assisted		140:22	121:19 124:3	52:23,25 56:21,
222:14	attitude	await	125:6 129:17	25 64:15 66:21,
associates	95:23	7:23	131:24 138:2	22 70:18 79:3
200:19	attorney	aware	140:4 144:20	80:23,24 84:18
	11:11 12:23	15:18 24:23	164:17 171:3,4	91:2 92:21,23
assume 147:1 149:4	17:20 18:14	31:21 35:12	176:19 188:1	103:9 104:24
165:9 184:19	27:17 38:24 51:9 53:17,20,	44:16 55:23	189:8 190:21,25	105:1 108:2,9
207:22 224:22	24,25 65:21	69:13,15 72:17	192:21 197:22	207:4,5 215:7
assumed	67:6,19,20 80:6	83:20,23 87:5	199:20 200:9	216:23 226:22
189:20 190:10	93:24 104:6	90:14 94:10	211:23,24	228:3 230:13
	105:12,21	95:5 201:21	220:23 223:1	balls
assuming 178:6 184:11	110:10 135:19	225:15	229:22 230:10	22:14 108:20
191:14 209:14	137:21 146:22	awkward	background	185:17
223:3	148:17,25 152:4	226:11	150:5	Baptist
assured	153:12 156:9	aye	backing	96:25
204:19	164:6 165:5	7:5,15 73:5,17	228:9	bar
	attorneys	76:20 77:4	bad	11:10 12:8,25
atmosphere 180:6	11:2,7 24:2	87:17 88:2,23	15:3 16:8 47:6	19:13 20:19
100.0	11.2,7 21.2	·	56:13 66:2,3	17.13 20.17

39:5,10 41:1	bear	belabor	18 150:4	158:18,19
42:15 45:12	145:8	20:14 133:13	bent	216:16,17
57:20 81:24	bears	beliefs	184:21,23,24	217:10,15
86:17 97:16	182:15	126:20	berate	226:12 231:8
113:2,5,19	beat	believed	156:9	blame
226:21	140:3 164:1	18:6 105:20	berates	172:11 182:22
barbeque	beaten	114:7 117:5	177:18	222:17
77:17,18,23	185:22	believes	berating	blaming
Barber	beating	111:7 114:20	152:4 156:18	144:15
212:9	130:10	belittled	208:14	blend
baritone	beer	200:1	bias	36:8
18:5	228:23	belittles	12:17 54:4	blessed
bars	began	177:18	106:19,24	177:3
57:21	23:15 113:1	belittling	108:22 113:23	blind
base	218:6	106:21 152:4	126:16 133:3,24	145:7
166:5 172:25	begin	156:18 208:15	biased	blistered
based	56:10 196:1	belt	133:25 185:10	179:21
27:8 41:16	beginning	222:23 223:3,6	206:5	bloody
82:12 108:25	80:2 111:12	bench	biases	222:20
127:15 136:11	171:7 180:15	9:4 10:9 12:7	138:10	blossomed
139:9,21,24	217:16	17:21 20:20	big	72:2
166:7 173:7	begins	26:12 29:10	21:19 61:5	blown
206:24 207:8	190:14	37:9 40:11	132:25	219:24
basic	behalf	48:11 50:10	biggest	blows
146:23 168:10		53:3 54:16	89:20 162:15	186:18
basically	31:20,22 44:15, 17 55:22,24	57:16 60:20	Bill	
57:14 179:2	69:12,14 83:19,	61:4,6 64:13	216:18 217:21,	board
184:21 185:23	21 95:4,6 96:21	79:1 80:4 85:8	25 218:5,8,18	97:2,3
187:14 206:14	97:7 125:10	91:1 93:3,8,10	219:2 222:15	bodily
207:12	126:14 190:6	97:16 99:8	bills	221:16
basis	213:10 214:2	103:7,25 111:1	120:23	body
82:18 111:18	225:14,16	128:25 132:19		200:14 214:23
135:15 145:12	behave	162:5 174:18,	bit	boils
bat	21:8 187:20	20,21 175:12,	8:7 24:9 32:12 42:1 51:19,22	32:14 188:23
59:5	behaving	15,19 176:11,	61:25 63:17	bond
Baton	164:12	14,17 202:18	67:2 80:9 81:12,	112:2 114:24
71:18	behavior	Bench/bar	16 82:3 172:18	115:1,5,6,8,11,
battery	134:22 135:22	133:7 136:22	175:4 190:23	12,18,21 116:3,
175:2	134:22 135:22	beneficial	black	7,8,19,24 117:3,
Baxley	200:11 206:22	150:16	18:7	7,8 135:7,9
210:1,2,5,9	214:11	benefit		136:13 140:9,24
210.1,2,3,3	217.11	24:7 29:1 77:16,	Blackley 75:23 76:10	142:16 143:9
			/3.23 /0.10	145:14 168:11
	l	1	l	l

170:14,17,20	105:1 108:3,9	17,20,21 91:11,	BS	
171:5,12,15,16,	215:7 216:23	15,19 92:2,4,21,	40:8	C
18 173:1,5,9	226:22 228:3	25 93:6,14 94:2,	budget	
174:17 181:24	boxes	10 96:1,7,15,23	129:19	cab
198:6,14,22	32:18,19 207:4,	97:1,9,21 98:1,	building	175:8
199:3,6,7 202:5,	5	6,8,11,23 99:14,	63:15	California
7 204:5,14	Boyd	18,21,25 100:3,	bulk	148:22
211:14 215:20	74:9 75:5	7,20,24 103:2	150:6	call
222:18 223:11	Brackett	230:16	bullied	8:6 13:22,25
228:6	125:9,11,13,15,	Brigman's	202:14	37:4 97:25
bonds	17,20,25 126:2,	93:17	Bultman	100:18 108:20
215:22	8,11,12,13,23	bring	76:16 77:13,15,	109:10 158:8
book	127:12,13,22	12:23 57:8,9	20,24,25 78:6,7,	159:20 160:14
29:23	128:2 139:1,2	96:14 103:1	11,13,16,20,24	179:6 211:9
borne	158:19 159:9	113:15 130:9	79:15,18,19,21	218:3
228:15	164:15 169:24	166:4 169:12,19	80:8,9,16,22	called
boss	176:23 186:12	212:23	81:1,4,6 82:21,	24:25 33:21
120:4 130:12	192:20 199:9,	bringing	25 84:9 85:14,	35:15 49:2,13
bother	14,21 201:5	138:5 152:23	20,25 86:5,9,11,	63:4 72:19 87:7
52:21 141:17,18	202:22 203:3	154:23 195:9	13,15,20,21	102:24 108:19
142:1 144:12	204:16 206:14	211:13 214:9	87:10 88:8,10	120:5 139:17
169:11,17	208:2 209:3	brings	bunch	160:20 161:10
bothered	211:8 212:1	105:3 110:25	42:18	167:21 168:15
68:6	214:9 227:18	184:9	Bunt	229:21
bottom	Bracketts	broad	85:18	calling
200:25	125:20	131:18	Burch	22:14 157:25
bound	Brackett's	broke	183:2	185:17
94:11	213:2	86:5 120:7,9,10	burglary	calls
bounds	branch	brother's	194:11,12	113:10
110:16	71:12 85:11	70:3	bus	camaraderie
box	195:14,15	brought	160:22	129:3
9:6 11:24 16:6	break	18:3,4 96:17	business	candidacy
18:22 26:14	178:2 217:1,4,7	109:6 143:23	89:7 230:12	9:13 15:7 31:8
29:3,4 32:24	Breathalyzer	144:21 155:20		37:17 44:2
37:11 40:3,5	219:25	192:12 195:25	busy 9:24	50:19 55:10
45:12 50:12	briefly	202:17		64:20 68:25
52:23,25 56:21,	112:21	Brown	butt	70:18 79:9 83:7
25 64:15 66:21,	bright	63:15	183:1	88:8 90:25
22 70:18 79:3	66:24	Brown's	buys	94:17 103:6
80:23,24 84:18	Brigman	77:17,21,23	153:25 154:6,	224:21
91:2 92:22,23	89:8,10,13,14,	brushed	22,24 155:10	candidate
103:9 104:24	19,24 90:1,6,10,	131:18	buzz	7:23 16:1 32:5,6
			20:19	
		I	1	1

44:25 56:7	25	146:24 147:20	castor	32:7,8,10 33:24
69:22,23 84:5,6,	career	148:9 149:1,7,	177:10	34:8,13,24 35:4,
25 89:8 94:11	29:18 162:3	23 150:18	catastrophic	21,23 36:2,5,10,
95:14,15 127:15	207:23 227:3	152:12,21	181:15	12,15,20,22,25
230:25	Carissa	155:15,20 156:3	catch	37:3 38:23 45:2,
candidates	166:18,19,21	158:22 159:16	163:15	3,5 48:13,17
24:18 35:8 45:7	167:5	160:19,20 162:8	categories	49:7,9,11,16,19,
48:20 62:22	Carolina	164:5,18 165:6,	38:19	24 50:2,6 51:8
72:12 74:1,6,18,	12:8 15:22 16:6	7 166:14,15	caught	56:8,10,18
20 86:25 100:9	25:2 32:1 35:17	168:11,24	135:14	62:17 63:9,12,
111:24 133:11	44:4,21 46:19	169:14 173:5,8	caused	19,22 64:2,5,9
229:14	49:4 56:3 57:20,	174:16 175:9,	220:3	65:20,24 69:23,
candidate's	23 63:6 69:18	10,19,24 176:2,		25 70:1,7,11
127:21	71:9 72:22	4 183:14 185:5	causing 119:25	71:7,14,15 72:7,
candidly	81:24 84:1 87:9	191:8 192:18		24 73:3,8,10,13,
11:3 177:2	94:12 100:10	194:20,21 195:9	cease	19,21 74:4,12,
candle	106:3,11	196:1 198:20	201:24	14,17,22,23
186:20	125:20,21 126:3	199:24 201:25	Cecelia	75:3,13,18,25
canons	148:9 225:20	202:3,5,10	152:13 164:5	76:5,12,22,24
107:18	227:6	204:2,11 208:17	cell	77:2,6,9,14,16,
can't	carried	210:2,6,17,18	212:13	22,25 78:7,12,
43:2 49:16	24:11 45:25	211:13 218:2,	Cely	14,17,21 79:20
67:10 109:18	carry	12,16 219:7	89:14 90:17,19	80:1 84:7,8
122:4 137:15	34:17	case-by-case	230:16	85:15,23 86:2,6,
138:9 141:16	Casar	82:18	center	10,14,16,21
152:20 156:5	126:3	cases	99:11 135:13	87:12,16,19,21,
157:20 161:19		11:21 12:12	143:17	24 88:4,6,10,15,
164:21 165:11,	case 19:13 21:12	40:18 47:10	certificates	20,21,25 89:2,5,
14 180:17 183:5	42:2 47:4,11	53:3 54:3 58:17,	67:15	11,16,22,25
184:5 191:21,22	52:8,18 58:25	21 81:21 105:23	chair	90:2,7,11,22
200:6 211:6,10	59:4,11,14,15	106:14 108:5	100:2 111:20	91:12,16,25
221:4	60:14 66:4	109:25 114:8,13	113:4,11	92:3 95:15,17
capable	67:12 68:7 86:3	138:19 143:16,	chairman	96:3,8,19,24
184:11	97:22,24 98:2,3	19 147:23	7:1,7,9,12,17,19	97:4,10,12,13
	105:13,18	149:9,12 150:1	8:1,9,13,18,21,	98:4 99:14,19,
capacity	103:13,18	152:8 157:25	25 10:2 14:8	22 100:1,4,8,21
102:18 104:13	116:12,23	174:5 185:12	15:24 16:3 17:4	101:2,7,11,15,
car	110:12,23	189:17 191:23	18:1,5,12,13,18	18,23 102:1,11,
120:1,2 121:12	130:24 131:4	198:5 202:16	19:3 20:9,13	17,21,25 103:22
care	136:2 138:14	205:20 209:10	22:25 23:5,11,	111:8 112:5,8,
25:6 35:21 49:7	141:17 143:12	226:25	24 24:13 25:4,5,	11,13,16,18,20
63:9 72:25	144:21 145:1,2	Cash	7,11,15,19,23,	114:25 115:21
106:22 120:24,	177.21 173.1,2	138:4	25 26:3,7 27:16	116:9 117:9,11,
	•	•	· '	

14 118:4,12,14	215:17 216:9	Charlotte	88:11 93:4,15	claim
119:16,20	changing	120:14 125:18	98:24 103:25	194:1,2,8
122:9,10,12,16,	130:19	check	104:1,4 105:24	claiming
17,19,23,24	character	20:1 26:18 66:2,	111:8 113:21	125:23
123:2,5,11,14,	14:14 30:25	3 91:6 103:14	117:2,6 125:10,	clarify
17,20,24 124:2,	33:2,10,13	checks	16 138:7,19	158:20
8,15,20 125:1,5,	43:23 45:10	9:10 37:15	150:19 184:15	clear
6,8,17,23 126:6,	55:4 56:23	50:16 64:18	185:13 186:4	99:6 147:18
10,13 127:8,10	68:17 70:20	79:7	188:19 207:16,	157:8 168:23
158:18 159:8	82:23 84:14	cheering	17 221:19	171:10 185:18
164:14 169:23,	85:6 94:8 107:3	185:15	223:21 224:7	198:23 199:11
25 176:22,24	110:23 127:17,	chewed	230:17,24	205:3
192:20 197:19	21 133:15,23	17:1 183:1	circuits	Clemson
202:21 203:1,4,	136:20 137:5,6	chief	39:14 207:22	43:5
7,10,15 206:9,	168:18 227:25	28:9 205:17,19	208:1	clerk
18 209:23 211:9	characteristic	·	circumstances	39:18 67:6,20
216:16 217:1,9,	16:20 17:18	child	54:10 136:2	198:17 199:15
14 224:11,14,15	32:16 58:9	220:11,16	156:8 173:8	201:19 212:3
225:22 226:2,8,	characteristics	children	177:1 189:4	222:4,12
10 227:13	57:13 70:15	58:21 59:3	224:3	· ·
228:19,21	characterization	61:15,24	cited	clerks
229:5,10,25	197:16	chilling	152:8	28:11 39:15
230:3,6,10,15,		184:1,4	citing	201:18
20,23 231:4,7,	characterized	chills	40:16	client
16	14:20	134:23 161:24	citizens	17:2 41:16,18
challenged	charge	choice	14:9 30:20	42:2 60:18 61:1
114:1	30:14 114:3	128:23	43:18 54:22,23	66:2,3 149:13
challenges	154:8 193:24	choose	68:11 82:20	153:22 194:19
14:19	194:11,13,14,	153:10	94:2 104:8	208:22 212:11
challenging	15,17,23 198:4	choosing	110:17 111:10,	clients
65:12	charged	82:1	11,20 112:25	41:14 53:7
chambers	115:3 194:10	chosen	115:23 134:5,9,	58:23 60:24
19:18 170:22	204:4	144:7	15 196:18 197:1	110:12 208:22
chance	charges	chronic	203:11	211:25
92:12 121:22	47:5 153:18	189:11	civil	client's
125:19 219:16	159:15	church		153:19
228:6	charging	97:3,6 119:22,	28:9 29:14,18,	clock
change	154:22	23 120:5	19,22,25 30:1 38:21 109:14,16	61:9,10
19:10 59:2	Charleston	circuit	138:15 193:6,13	close
113:13 130:11	207:19	14:17 15:2	194:3 200:20	107:14 144:4
155:22 156:8	Charlie	27:24 45:25		190:24 227:13
201:23 208:4	102:22	46:2 62:7 74:5	CI'S 19:22	closed
201.23 200.4		70.2 02.7 74.3	17.44	229:20
	1	1	1	1

alagaly	126.2 222.12	aommission	aammitmant	aamnagaianata
closely 84:17 192:2	126:2 222:13	commission 8:16 9:2,15	commitment 14:23	compassionate 29:9 106:4,6
	coma	11:23 15:5		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
closest	77:18	16:18 17:17	committed	competency
30:15	combative	24:17 25:21	152:9	127:21
closing	114:4	26:10,24 27:14	committee	competent
229:7	comfort	29:2 31:8 32:13	14:9,16,22 21:6	104:23 184:11
CMC	56:16	35:7 36:18 37:7,	30:20 31:2	competing
120:13	commandments	20 40:2 44:2	43:18,21 54:22,	34:5
Coble	17:23	48:20 49:22	23 55:1,5 56:19,	competition
74:7 75:2,6,9	commend	50:9,21 52:23	21 68:12,15,19	71:18
cocaine	33:6,14 45:20	55:10 62:21	82:21 94:2,5	complain
210:8	56:15 57:10	63:25 64:12,23	110:18,25	166:10 200:6,10
code	71:5	66:20 68:24	111:6,10,15,17,	209:3 211:1,13
15:22 32:1 44:4,	comment	72:12 78:10,25	20 112:22,24	212:14,16
21 56:3 69:18	14:4 17:15	79:12 80:22	113:1,5,7,8,11,	213:9,11
84:1 94:11 95:9	19:12 29:13	83:6 84:12,14	12 114:20,22	complainants
225:20	33:8 71:16	86:24 92:21	115:19,23	158:22
cognizant	81:25 84:20	93:25 94:16	116:16,20,25	complained
22:8	108:5 117:17	97:10 102:18	117:5 134:10,15	160:9 210:23
cold	124:3 134:17	104:24 111:14,	187:5 189:22	complaining
10:22 164:21	195:4 213:6	17,19,21 112:1,	190:17,19	156:16 161:6
195:2	commented	9,25 113:14,16	196:18 197:2	212:1
collaborative	227:23	114:16,20 118:8	211:21 213:8	complains
182:12	commenter	122:12 123:25	Committee's	213:21 215:14
colleagues	105:17 106:5	125:1 133:21	111:11,23,24	complaint
32:23	comments	217:10 224:20	134:6 189:23	118:8,17,20
collect	11:25 12:14	227:7,20 229:19	common	122:17,22
66:1,3	22:25 29:4,5,12	Commissioners	13:6 105:5	124:14 125:4,8
collection	30:4 31:2 33:15	127:24	106:8 113:19	127:20 128:1
66:4	40:5,6,13,16	commissions	177:14 178:9	129:9 131:12
	46:6,14 52:24	69:17 95:9	193:10 222:9	134:3,22 135:4,
collections 13:11	53:1,9 56:13	commission's	community	23 137:9,10
	58:6 59:19	15:21 24:17	14:21 34:14	138:16 161:5
college	66:22,23 68:9		38:16 91:20	172:6 176:8
38:10	80:24,25 81:9	31:25 35:6	129:3	184:7 185:1
Collins	85:2 92:23,24	44:20 48:19 56:2 62:21	company	189:20 190:1,6
52:11,17	94:1 95:20	72:11 83:25	143:5	194:8 202:23
colonel	104:25 105:2	86:24 113:16	compared	203:5 213:4,19
102:23	106:17,18,19	115:25 127:13	23:16	226:3
Colton	131:11,20	213:16 214:10	compassion	complaints
191:3	136:19 160:11	225:19	14:24 53:8 54:7	45:19 107:2
Columbia	226:19 227:7	223.17	81:6 96:9	110:9 117:11,
		I		

				1
13,19 124:4	199:1 213:16	213:25 214:4,12	29:6 227:5	contained
130:20 131:24	concerned	216:15	consideration	29:5 40:6 66:23
132:1 177:14	59:18 135:1,3	conducted	220:25 229:18	92:24 105:1
180:6 188:12	170:10 174:4,14	44:25 89:7	considered	contested
197:25 207:4	196:22 211:14,	199:22 202:17	113:9 128:9	60:5 81:16,20
224:16 226:16	16 214:24	230:13	195:12 196:13	93:17
227:16	222:18	conducting	consistent	context
complete	concerns	113:8	40:8 206:17	68:2,4 150:2
90:8,10	12:15 15:25	confidential	consistently	157:17 198:14
completely	29:12 32:4	19:7 127:5	183:8	204:2,23
70:2 195:15,16,	40:13 44:24	147:14	consolation	continual
17	45:14 53:4,10	confirm	181:12	65:18
complex	56:6 69:21	116:21	constant	continue
73:1	81:10 84:4	conflict	120:8	10:11 11:4
compliance	95:13 106:17,	192:8 228:14		27:25 51:15
9:8 26:16 37:13	22,25 108:2,7,	conflicts	constantly 185:22	80:12 85:12
50:14 64:17	13,24 109:2,12,	9:11 26:19		92:7,14 95:19
79:5 91:4	15,24 110:1,3	37:16 50:17	Constitution	96:12 97:8 99:3
103:11	111:18,19	64:19 79:8 91:7	200:22 215:20	104:1,8 192:9
compliment	113:1,3 134:2	103:14	constitutional	200:16 215:19
10:23 33:16	183:11 225:23	confusing	14:11 30:22	continued
complimentary	conclude	173:10	43:19 54:25	56:15 60:12
128:12	72:8 99:22		68:13 83:1 94:4	65:18 106:14
complimented	229:12	congratulate 16:12 17:18	110:19 127:16	continues
10:22	concluded	46:15	133:16	14:18
complimenting	231:17		Constitutionalit	continuing
95:18	concludes	conscientious	у	148:14
	24:14 62:18	227:3	127:3	control
compliments	86:21	conscious	contact	43:2 81:2
226:24	conclusion	14:6	15:16 31:19	
comply	189:2	consensus	44:14 55:21	conversation
141:13	concurrent	84:14	69:11 82:2	11:11 130:21
compromising	155:12	consent	83:18 95:3	139:21 158:13 161:15 166:24
59:17	condition	170:20 230:19,	225:3,13	161:13 166:24
concept	115:8	21	contacted	
150:14	conditions	consenting	15:5 31:7 44:1	conversations
concern	52:12 57:13	173:1	55:9 68:24 83:6	139:10
29:15 40:19	115:12 142:16	consequence	94:16 224:20	convicted
42:10,14 53:14,	165:22	117:4 184:6	contacting	47:5 142:5
18 54:5,8 58:23		conservatively	15:8 31:11 44:5	154:12,13,25
66:25 106:18	conduct 20:24 94:12	107:10	55:13 69:3	conviction
126:21 143:23		considerate	83:10 94:20	140:24 141:25
149:16 171:17	192:9 212:21,23		225:5	142:10

	1	1	<u> </u>	1
convictions	council	51:14,20 52:11	courtesy	74:4,12,16,25
154:4	141:7	53:3 58:17 59:2,	132:15	75:4,16,22 76:3,
cool	counsel	10,16 60:18	courthouse	9 88:10,20
191:15,16	10:3 41:23	62:8 65:11,17,	47:12 58:9	230:15,23
copies	113:24 114:6	19 66:9 67:8	208:10 227:11	231:6,14
112:6	counties	71:17 79:21	courtroom	create
corner	39:11,22,24	80:11,12,18	12:3,13 16:22	34:4
187:17	country	88:11 92:6,7,12	30:8 39:7 42:12,	created
Corps	48:3 57:24	93:8 94:13	13 46:11,20	40:22
102:23	county	98:16,21 99:2	53:6 71:2,4,10	creative
correct	13:4,24 42:18	102:2,10,25	81:2,13 129:18,	18:24 51:19,22
8:18 25:23	62:3 113:6	103:25 104:1	24 132:10,18,20	credence
36:20 37:5	115:6 128:5	106:12 107:5,	135:3 140:1	20:22 137:3
46:23 49:22	140:13 141:7,	12,19 108:1,16,	152:23 155:19	credible
63:25 66:5	19,20 142:10,	17 109:14,17	156:19 159:4	20:21
78:12 90:5	11,23 143:7,24,	111:8 114:2	161:11,15,22	credit
96:22 101:24	25 144:14,25	117:2,6,25	162:14 182:25	39:19 141:15,25
109:6 118:10	145:9,19 146:2,	121:5,19 122:4	195:6,17 196:1	142:5 143:11
123:2 124:6,24	4,5,21 147:5	138:8,19 141:17	199:12 200:1,2	cried
136:20,25	149:7 150:6	148:9,10,18	202:13,14	119:10
155:14 174:8	156:22,25	149:23 150:7,8,	203:21 208:16	
180:24,25	157:14 175:7	19 152:4 153:12	211:25 215:16	crime
182:17 184:13	204:9 207:10	159:2 162:9	222:11	30:14 108:17
188:5 197:2	208:5 210:6,17	167:9 169:10	courts	115:4 122:7
203:10,18	211:2 220:21	173:1 174:18	107:7	194:10 222:14
218:19	224:25	176:19 179:20	court's	criminal
Corrections	couple	181:24 185:13	198:17	19:4 29:14,21
215:25 220:14,	16:4 30:4 35:4	196:6 198:12,	Covid	30:1,11 38:13,
15	58:19 98:9,15	17,22 199:18	59:20 98:17,25	15,20 107:7
correctly	140:4 148:7	200:3,13,16,20,	99:7,11 143:19	109:14,17,25
109:7 222:10	170:8 191:9	22 201:4 202:12	coward's	116:24 128:16
	217:24 223:8	204:19 205:12	179:3	129:4 137:24
cost 228:9,10	coupled	215:8 219:22	Cox	138:11,14 143:8
, ,	181:24	222:4 223:17	111:20 112:4	144:2 146:2,8
Cothran	court	226:5,7,9	111.20 112.4	147:15 174:15
19:14,16	11:8,16 14:18	230:17,24	114.8 113.10,24	177:3 184:3
couch	15:2 16:23	courteous	ĺ ,	194:5,8 208:7
155:25	19:20 21:7,9,16	66:13 104:23	Coxe	218:12
couldn't	27:24 33:11	105:7 128:9	97:6	criminality
79:24 119:11	38:5,6 39:6,18	courteously	crack	228:10
120:3,6 121:15	40:11 45:25	107:24	210:8	criteria
136:7 199:5	46:1,2,6,9,13	Courteousness	CRAWFORD	9:5 14:10,13
	70.1,2,0,7,13	66:19	10:5 14:8 15:24	24:17 26:13
	•	•	•	•

30:21,24 35:7	Cunningham	101:16 102:6,8	144:3 159:19	decide
37:10 43:19,22	152:13 164:5	119:21,22	161:16 170:11,	141:4,5 194:21
48:19 50:11	cup	120:14 121:22	14 183:3 193:15	212:19
54:24 55:2	101:4,5,7,8,9	230:25	201:17 228:18,	decided
62:21 64:15	curb	Daniel's	20	7:22 73:23
68:13,16 72:11	49:15	120:22	days	77:11 177:9
79:2 82:22 83:1	cuss	dark	47:15 56:13	179:7 220:8
86:24 90:25	199:19	145:10	62:13 98:15	decides
94:3,6 103:9	custody	darken	111:12 122:1	141:24 226:25
110:19,22	60:14	155:24	128:6 135:17	decision
127:15 131:15	customary	Darlene	152:25 209:5	28:25 59:8 82:1,
133:6 137:5	221:7,25	118:3 124:23	210:12	4 105:12,13,15
229:13	customer-	data	de	109:21 117:3
critical	service-centric	8:14 9:17 25:19	65:14	126:22 131:3
22:8 151:15	95:23	27:1 36:16	deacon	160:17 161:6
criticism	cut	37:22 49:20	96:24 97:1	164:10 193:23
32:21 114:23	10:22 131:14	50:23 51:1	dead	196:10,15 219:6
117:9 174:1	144:6 186:11	63:22 64:25	150:3 176:3	220:11 221:2
185:3,4	cute	65:3 78:8 79:14	deal	228:10
criticize	192:23	90:4,16 102:5	21:19 54:3	decisions
32:20 45:14	cutting	date	81:12 146:18	59:6 108:25
117:1 128:20	67:13	15:14 31:16	151:7 153:18	117:7 126:20,21
160:18 185:7	07.13	44:11 55:18	158:2 180:15	136:17 159:13,
188:9		69:8 83:15	184:15,17	14 160:16
criticized	D	94:25 124:22	207:21	162:20
113:22 185:8	dad	225:10	dealing	deck
191:18 215:10	71:21	dated	155:4 172:1	11:19
criticizing	Daisy	124:21,22	201:25	Dee
114:10 185:6	117:20,22	dates	deals	82:20 94:2
critics	118:3,5,16	189:18	153:21	deem
57:2	124:23	daughter	dealt	190:18
cross	damage	54:13 56:11	12:15	deemed
207:6 208:5	120:11	85:21	DEAN	19:6 100:12
cross-examine	Damn	day	65:23,24 68:11	deems
82:11	19:18	27:13 47:8	69:20	160:2
crush	Dan	60:12 61:20	death	deep
18:12	138:2,3 142:12	65:13 71:2,4,22	58:22 159:18	14:24 201:15
cry	162:2	79:23 80:15	debt	deeply
121:16	danger	87:11 92:10	13:11 66:4	126:19
crying	38:16	100:22 106:12	December	defend
222:20	Daniel	107:22 108:19	208:18	16:10
	74:7 75:2	119:22 121:25		
	11.775.2			
	1	1	ı	1

defendant	deficient	Desch	71:24 163:13	differently
28:24 30:11	29:20	198:4,11	devices	81:16 187:20
41:19 52:21	define	describe	144:23 145:11	difficult
116:11,23	157:6	135:23 136:3	DHEC	43:14 67:18,23
121:1,3,9	defined	description	67:16	97:19 117:4
135:10 136:9	192:25	136:11	didn't	128:18 129:1
139:18 142:9,12	degree	deserves	12:23 22:15	136:16,17
143:3 144:2	190:17 216:11	30:12,17	29:11,17 34:10	137:19 201:5,6,
147:15,18	deliberations	deserving	42:7 47:23	8 202:2,6
148:1,16,25	24:22 35:12	24:21 35:11	51:23 52:14,21	difficulty
192:1 219:22	48:24 63:1	48:23 62:25	58:24 71:3 74:5	184:10
220:7 222:21	72:16 87:4	72:15 87:3	96:19 119:5,8,9	dig
223:4	113:17	100:13 229:18	121:18,22	214:21
defendants	delicious	desire	139:4,8 140:10	digging
104:14 114:5	77:24	95:19 97:7	144:12 152:18	197:24
140:23 143:9	demeaning	detachment	156:21,25	dignity
defender	156:19 165:13,	89:17	158:21 163:20	12:3
40:17,21,25	16,24 166:21	detail	164:6 165:22	dim
41:4,13 42:5	168:21	220:7	168:5,19,20,24	206:21
47:2,6 200:8	demeanor	details	169:5,11,17	direct
205:19 209:15	30:4,6,7 33:9	197:24	172:12 173:11	131:11,19
defenders	40:10 58:19	detect	175:25 176:11,	directly
40:23 46:24	81:11 107:18	144:23	15,19 177:5,10	171:4
47:19	207:9		179:7 181:6,13,	disagree
defender's	demonstrate	detector	17 183:22	109:8 134:9,14,
138:1 148:6	95:24	40:8	188:11 191:3,15	16 152:5 156:6
defense	demonstrated	detention	199:18 213:17	199:25 209:9
28:23 106:19	32:24	99:11 135:13	214:1 217:24	214:16,18
110:10 113:24	demonstrates	140:12,13,19	219:15,17,19	218:15
114:6 133:2	48:14	141:13 142:6,7,	221:2,17	disagreed
138:15 146:21	denied	22 143:17 220:19	die	152:11
147:4 148:16,25	86:1 116:7	determinant	107:22 201:18	disagreeing
153:12,16 164:6			228:20	152:3,14 153:5
182:12 185:7	denying 117:7	22:21	died	· ·
195:20 196:8,11		determination	118:23	disagreement 162:25
defense's	Department	111:5	difference	
196:14	215:24 220:14,	determinative	27:12 70:3	disagrees
defer	15	32:19 45:16	114:18 146:12	148:19 152:7
220:9	depending	57:5	148:17 152:2	162:16 163:9,
deferred	53:11 82:14	determine	179:10	12,25
220:15	155:4,13 227:14	204:14	differences	disappointed
	deputy	developed	228:25	121:17
	223:2			

			1	
disclosure	disposed	36:17 49:21	85:4 86:3 89:17	218:23 220:19
127:4	116:12	63:24 78:9	90:8 97:14 99:4	221:1,4 222:2
disconnect	disposition	101:19	101:4,23,25	223:25 224:8
182:20	52:13	doesn't	106:22 108:8,10	226:17 227:8,
discontent	dispute	21:22 53:18	122:3,6 123:12,	15,16
151:20,24	61:5	67:19 129:6	14 125:21,25	door
152:17 155:17	disputes	132:23 137:15,	128:24 129:15,	47:17 48:5
discourteously	14:23	23 138:6,17,18	16,21 130:9,22,	155:24
108:1	disrespected	141:24 142:1	25 131:14	doorbell
discovers	129:25	151:16 155:7	132:6,12,14,23	135:14
111:15	distance	163:10 165:13	133:2 136:12,24	doors
discovery	227:10	177:4 185:9	137:20 138:24	73:24
47:9 146:20	distill	208:19 211:9	139:6,19 140:19 141:1,8,10,13	doubt
150:9 151:11	147:12	218:2	141:1,8,10,13	106:15 136:23
discretion	distinct	dog	142:4,20 143:10	150:4 151:25
114:1,11 126:22	195:11	130:25	152:2 153:4	draft
153:9,10 162:19	distinction	dogged	152:2 155:4	67:7,21
179:7 192:4	41:5	111:4	21,22 158:2,4,6,	dragged
196:6 202:12	distinguished	doled	7,13,16 159:1,3,	223:4
209:9	52:20	211:2	23 160:7,17	dread
discuss	district	domestic	161:23 162:2,8,	92:11 161:22
204:24	24:1 52:10	108:4,22	16,17,20 163:6,	drinking
discussion	divorce	114:13,15 115:3	17,21,22 164:1,	101:8 122:6
140:7 163:4	99:1 184:6	135:20 143:10	21,23 165:19	driver
204:16	divorces	168:17 198:4	166:2,3,23	119:24 121:12
discussions	81:15	204:5,9	171:9 176:4	driver's
43:4	divulge	don't	177:1,2 179:5,	120:2
disgruntled	147:21,25	11:15 13:17	12 183:3	drop
22:4	· ·	14:2 16:9,13	186:14,25	170:21
dislike	divulged 149:14	18:25 19:1,4	187:5,10,21,23	drug
106:20 132:9		20:10,13 23:1,7,	189:12 190:4,	153:24
138:9 163:14	divulges 150:8	12 25:9 26:6	18,21 191:24	drunk
dismiss		28:22 29:20	193:7 194:19	119:24
159:13 174:23	docket	32:20 34:9	196:3,25	DSS
194:17	13:8 14:1 60:8 62:13 158:8	39:17,19 41:3,4	202:11,16,25	52:17 53:13,15
dismissed		42:5 43:4,5,6,7,	203:17 206:12,	54:3 97:24
52:16 100:22	docketing	9,13 47:1 48:2	23 207:13	due
104:15	98:21 127:1	49:11 59:13	211:3,10	59:17 106:24
Dispatch	dockets	60:4,10 61:6,9, 12,13,16,19,23	213:15,16	111:11
21:14	62:3 98:19,25	62:1 67:13 80:2	214:3,6,16	dues
displays	documents	81:24 82:17	215:12,21	34:9
12:10	8:15 25:21 27:7	01.24 02.17	216:1,2,5,8,25	J 1 .)
12.10				
	1	1		1

DUI	economic	17:14 51:14	14:20	132:21
117:21 144:21	9:11 26:19	Elouise	enforcement	equals
158:22 202:2	37:16 50:17	66:1	114:15 153:25	132:21
dulcet	64:19 79:8 91:7	else's	154:21	equates
18:10	103:14	220:13	enforcement's	193:8
duly	Eddie	email	201:14	equation
8:11 25:17	117:19 118:16	111:21 113:5,11	engagement	138:13 185:12
36:13 49:17	122:18,20	emails	34:5	equipment
63:20 89:14	123:16 124:23	113:9	enjoy	120:17
101:16 118:5	educate	embarrass	10:12,13,17,25	ER
123:16 125:13	109:18,20	208:21	47:15 97:17	8:6
duties	effect	embarrassed	104:6 177:5	Erin
195:11	10:16 184:1,4	17:1 183:5	209:7 227:21	73:24 91:21
duty	effectively	204:22 206:16	enjoyed	230:13
194:7	182:9 187:13	emergencies	24:3 47:25	errors
DV	193:3	93:10	104:3 209:6	228:5
167:2	efficient	emergency	enlightening	essence
	41:1,7 128:9	85:24 86:4	165:2	147:12 184:7
${f E}$	226:24	emphasize	enlist	essentially
	efficiently	116:25	103:3	67:11
Earl	40:12	employee	entered	ethic
18:7,25 23:1,3	effort	139:13,16	117:10 127:23	106:1 111:4
24:14	201:23	167:15 168:12	175:12	229:16
earlier	efforts	employees	enthusiasm	ethical
19:15 67:13	22:9 34:14	156:19	80:5 134:24	14:13 30:24
86:18 162:7	elaborate	employment	enthusiastic	43:22 55:2
168:6 175:18	131:23	168:15	135:24	68:16 82:22
190:13 191:10	elect	encourage	entire	94:6 110:22
219:15 222:8	159:22	34:15 71:7	46:14 48:10	127:16 133:16,
early	elected	85:12 150:21	60:20 117:6	25 134:1 136:20
62:5 63:13	21:24 22:1	end	195:5 227:2	137:4,6 148:12
72:24 76:17	200:4,5	21:25 59:17	entities	212:18,22,25
78:1 192:21	election	60:12 61:20	46:22	213:24 228:1
earnest	91:8 103:16	108:19 159:19	entitled	ethics
105:19	elections	173:23	148:3 203:8	9:8 24:19 26:16
easier	84:11	ended	eponymous	35:9 37:13
91:23 99:10	electronic	160:17 199:19	20:7	48:21 50:14
easy	140:7 141:22	204:4,8 222:25	equal	62:23 64:17
10:18 28:13	element	energetic	195:6,7,13,20	72:13 79:5 87:1
32:20 96:5	193:22	14:23	196:11	91:4 100:10
echelon	eleven	energy	equally	103:11 127:21
16:16 33:5		chergy	- quuiij	213:4

	1	1	1	1
evaluate	evidencing	4,6 195:14	55:4 65:12	extends
66:12	114:17	230:7,8,9,11	68:18 82:24	143:12
evaluated	evident	exercise	94:8 105:4	extent
9:5	132:9	23:16 192:3	110:24 111:2,3	134:16 190:18
evaluating	exact	exhaust	127:19 133:3	191:21
194:20	45:14 189:18	130:2	135:20 173:19	extolling
evaluation	EXAMINATIO	exhibit	179:17,19,20	207:8
113:8	N	8:22 9:1,16,19	227:24	extraordinarily
evaluative	10:5 27:20 39:1	26:9,25 27:3	experienced	54:12
14:10,13 24:17	51:11 65:23	37:21,24 50:22,	105:14 139:25	extremely
26:13 30:21,24	80:8 92:2	25 51:4 64:24	experiences	12:11,13 39:20
35:7 37:10	103:23 139:1	65:2,6 78:23	41:12 179:19	227:3
48:19 50:11	158:19 159:9	79:13,16 90:15,	experiencing	eyes
54:24 55:2	169:24 176:23	18 102:4,7	187:22	21:15 206:12
62:21 64:14	203:16 206:10	118:15 124:13	expertise	
68:13,16 72:11	209:24 216:17	127:11 169:20	197:4	F
79:2 82:22 83:1	examine	183:22 203:2	explain	
86:24 90:24	107:7	exhibits	52:7,12 67:2	face
94:3,6 103:8	examining	26:4 37:1,6	70:3	13:23 122:4
110:19,22	209:10	50:3,7 64:6,11	explained	130:15 131:8
127:15 131:15	examples	78:18	171:14	211:10
133:6	126:24 134:3	exhusband	explaining	Facebook
event	155:16 178:10,	176:5	135:9	21:20
100:16 155:11	14 189:16	existing	explains	fact
229:22	excellent	229:15	93:1	22:13 29:18
events	12:9 33:8,9	expect	explanation	30:14 129:11
34:20	39:25 55:6	41:13 70:24	195:1 214:14	134:17 136:1,10
eventually	80:19 81:1	100:9,21 184:25	express	143:11 148:11
154:13	106:5 113:20	200:23 201:2	45:7 84:11	153:15 158:7
everybody's	226:25	204:20 205:10,	106:17 151:24	160:18 170:23
59:12	exclusively	11 229:14	expressed	172:25 175:7,8
everything's	182:3,5 184:2	expected	29:12 40:13,19	181:25 194:18
81:18	excuse	121:6	42:10,14 53:10	212:20 213:16,
evidence	74:7 112:10	expects	54:5 66:25 81:9	18 215:13
67:25 68:1	173:14	24:18 35:7	151:20 188:4,6	218:20
82:13 90:13	executive	48:20 62:22	189:11 190:13	facts
131:2 146:25	7:4,9,11,13,14,	72:12 86:25	199:16	114:25 131:2
147:3,7,25	20 73:4,10,12,	expenses	expresses	136:2 171:14
150:10,17	14,16,22 76:19,	221:10	152:16 155:17	173:7 175:2
193:24 194:9,	24 77:1,3,10,11	experience	206:18	227:1
14,16,22 198:6	87:17,22,23,24	14:15 15:2 27:8	expression	faint
213:12 216:7	88:1,7,22 89:3,	31:1 42:5 43:24	10:23	222:24
	1	1	1	1

fair	fan	federal	field	find
12:2,7 40:7 42:3	89:20	147:3 150:7,8	96:5 132:18	17:15 68:3
52:6 58:4 66:11,	fans	feed	185:14	74:10 75:12,13,
24 93:1 104:23	185:16	77:22	fifteen	18,23 76:5,12
105:20 108:20			60:5,15 61:21	82:13 151:3
132:17 163:23	fantastic 12:5 79:25	feel	· ·	176:2 177:3
177:15,20		25:13 27:9,11	fight 130:25 191:17	186:1 214:13,
183:17 184:17	fascinating	28:16,21 29:19 30:8 52:4 54:20		14,16 219:18
185:3 205:9	139:3	58:24 119:4	figure	finding
206:13,25	fashion	129:5,8,25	131:2	68:6 70:25
208:12 227:2	11:21 196:14	132:16 140:17	figured	74:17 169:3
fair-minded	fashioning	160:14 161:4	107:9 176:14	finds
29:6	105:6	167:9 172:25	file	57:14
fairly	fast	194:25 196:8	52:3,4 81:17	
13:11 28:15	150:1,18	202:1 206:4,23	194:2	fine
33:10 53:9	fastest	202.1 200.4,23	filed	26:6 73:2 77:24
54:20 66:11	77:19	212:12 222:10	9:12 37:17	85:12 152:19
111:6 178:9	father		50:18 52:10	157:12 164:1
185:17 191:22	86:6	feeling	79:9 91:8	finest
	fathers	21:21 22:16	103:15 104:12,	19:24 53:2
fairness	43:11	feelings	13 117:11,19	fingers
66:19 81:6	fault	108:25 137:23	122:18 125:9	120:19
113:19	120:23 144:10	139:14 212:6	126:13 135:8	finished
faith	145:7 164:10	feels	137:10 181:23	60:13
48:14	220:3 228:5	126:24	190:10 213:3	firm
familiar	favor	feet	filing	40:8 200:20
13:4 15:7 31:10	7:4,14 29:17	47:14 182:4	115:24 190:6	fit
44:4,8 55:12	73:4,16 74:17	fellow	filings	203:9
69:2 83:9 94:19	75:14,19 76:1,6,	137:24 200:4	193:7,9	fitness
133:17 152:12	19 77:3 87:17	felony	fill	14:13 30:24
186:23 196:21	88:1,16,23	117:21 202:2	226:22	43:22 55:3
209:25 216:18	106:19 113:24	felt	filled	68:16 82:22
225:4	230:20 231:4,11	17:22 85:17	40:3 90:4	94:7 110:22
family	favorable	105:17 114:22	102:13	127:16 133:16
38:5 46:1 51:14,	105:13	121:14 128:23	filling	136:20 137:4,7
20,24 53:2	favorites	139:9 173:12,17	36:9 62:12	228:1
58:17 59:2,10	98:12	179:9 187:2	final	fix
62:8 70:6 79:21	fear	191:5 199:16	100:15 219:6	98:21
80:11,12 85:19	154:5	204:22 206:7	finally	flagged
88:11 92:6,7		222:24	23:11 93:12	175:16
93:8 98:16,21	February 54:11 119:23	Ferguson	109:24 114:11	fled
102:25 121:10	121:19 165:1,6	217:23	197:11 220:24	174:17
122:1 230:17	121.17 103.1,0			
1	I	I	T.	I

			<u> </u>	ſ
floating	forget	230:17	219:11 220:4	107:11 138:8
160:22	19:17 47:3	frame	224:24 227:2	180:4,6 193:11,
floor	93:22	18:21	frown	12 205:6 225:6,
223:3	forgive	Frank	206:19	14 226:3
Florida	23:13	8:11 9:18,21	full	generally
204:6,7,8	form	75:11	106:8 111:16	84:15,23 155:9
flowers	196:4	FRANKLIN	fully	179:14,16
138:5	formal	92:2 93:25	105:11	223:14
focus	24:23 35:13	95:12	fun	generation
103:8 182:8	48:25 63:2	free	129:2	34:15
focused	72:17 87:5	34:10 57:2 99:2	future	generous
9:4 26:12 37:9	100:15 124:4	190:17 196:7	18:11	147:19,24
50:11 64:14	221:22 229:20	230:4		148:15,16,19,
79:2	fortunate	frees	G	22,24 149:4,8
focuses	15:1	99:9		150:15
32:13	forum	frequently	game	gentle
focusing	137:12,13 190:4	53:21 54:1	43:6 182:17	71:25
143:21	forward	151:15	186:20	gentleman
folded	19:21 23:7,8	Friday	gap	176:6
223:1	27:14 42:3	138:4	36:9	genuinely
folding	56:16 65:17	friends	garage	10:25
223:2	79:22 80:14	11:1 30:10	227:11	geofence
folks	99:1 111:17,21	front	garnished	141:22 144:3
40:17 137:19	117:23 125:12	11:18 12:5 17:1	120:22	geofences
138:16 189:22	153:10 163:16,	18:2 33:20 46:4	gas	145:12
207:8 228:16	20 176:7 194:24	52:5,17 53:7,18,	10:19	get along
follow	found	21,25 54:1 58:4	gate	128:8,15 132:14
24:18 35:8	14:22 30:20	60:17 61:1,8	171:11	157:21,22
38:21 40:9	43:18,21 47:10	81:4 93:5 97:18,	gather	163:24 191:21
48:20 52:6	54:12,23 55:1	22 106:9 107:16	113:3	205:7
62:22 67:1,23	57:14 68:12,15	109:16 110:7,14	gave	ghost
72:12 86:25	82:21,25 88:12	119:25 121:2,3	97:23 121:10,	88:17
109:1,3 121:21	94:2,5,12	135:5,16,17	13,20 132:1	Gibbons
229:15	110:18,21	137:21 148:10	134:4 160:20	157:5,8 210:15,
football	174:23 176:1,5,	152:5 155:20	166:2 202:5	16,21
43:6	6 231:1	156:10 157:25	210:12	gifted
footing	founders	162:1 184:22	general	97:4
195:20 196:11	71:21	189:12 198:7	15:11,16 31:11,	girl
forcefully	fourteen	200:18,25 201:4	20 44:6,15	122:6 123:9
116:18	38:8	202:1 207:7	55:13,22 69:3,	135:19
foresee	fourth	208:22 210:2	12 83:10,19	gist
117:5	23:18,23 93:4	211:20,24	94:20 95:4	141:9
117.5			, ,	111.7
	1	I	1	I

give	116:23 119:20	29:2,7 30:19,20	38:8 139:8	138:13 146:14,
20:22 34:3,20	126:9 128:7,19	31:6 32:8 33:18,	143:21 144:10	15 193:4,15
60:19 100:4	138:3,6 156:22,	22 34:7,12,23,	174:14 176:25	209:5 219:24
109:21 121:22	23,25 157:6,13	24,25 35:2,5,19	177:13 178:5	220:8
122:6 131:21	158:3 169:25	36:3 75:16,18	180:8,19 185:18	Hall
137:3 138:17	173:14 180:21	gray	186:10,19,21	101:6,9,13,16,
141:25 147:14,	183:14 197:18	162:4	189:25 194:18	22,25 102:6,9,
24 150:4,12,15	226:18 229:25	great	209:11 210:14	13,20,22
197:21 218:20	goodness	20:4 25:3 34:25	211:4	103:21,23,24
219:12 220:18	70:11	40:6,8 46:22	guessing	104:5,10,20,24
221:6 223:11,22	gosh	53:3 54:19	193:12	105:3,7,15,18
giving	178:3	68:20 71:7	guest	106:2,5 108:2,
146:21 181:11	governing	87:11 91:21	8:1,2	24 109:12,18,24
226:18	97:3	99:16 129:13	guests	110:9,18,25
glad	government	131:6 221:16	102:20	111:7 112:3,5,7
48:12 89:13	195:14	226:24 227:20	guidelines	113:18,22,25
91:19 98:13	governor	greater	15:21 31:25	114:7,12,18
glance	222:13	188:10	44:20 56:2	115:12,18,22
68:5	GPS	greatest	69:17 83:25	116:4,10,14,20
goal	140:8 142:9,17,	57:21 80:13	95:9 225:19	117:1,5,12,14,
40:24 184:13	22 144:22	green	guilty	18,22 118:10
216:13	145:11,14	179:2	30:13 121:1,3	121:2,3,6,9,10,
goals	grace	Greenville	127:3,7 152:10	20,23 122:4
222:9	96:9	143:25 207:19	154:3,17 155:1	124:2,7,10,12,
god	graduate	Greg	210:19	18,25 127:1,7
59:6 78:5 230:1	34:2	89:19	guts	130:3 134:15
good	graduated	Grey	165:4	135:2,18 138:2
8:9,25 10:6,12	21:18 81:23	231:7,13	guy	144:16 147:2,6
11:3 12:6 16:8,	grain	Grey's	24:12 138:6	148:6 151:1
14 18:2 19:3,18,	22:7	86:6	153:6 185:23,24	153:14 160:21
25 20:5 25:5,7,	granted	Griffith	204:3	162:3 163:8
11 27:21,22	115:5,12,18	23:20,21	guys	167:6 168:3,13,
32:24 35:21,25	115:3,7,24	groaning	49:6 61:18	25 169:6,14,18 171:8 177:15
36:3,10 39:2,3,	170:14 186:4	129:23	gypsies	
8,9,16,23 41:1			97:23	190:7 197:5,22, 23,24 202:25
42:24,25 51:12,	granting	ground	3.1.2	203:4,8,16,17
13 58:16,22	117:7	181:3 222:22		205:4,8,16,17
60:1,2 63:11	grasp	grounded	Н	200.10,11
68:1 89:16 90:2	109:18,22	20:3	hair	211:7,20 216:17
91:25 92:3,5,18	Gravely	group	162:4	224:13,18,19
97:4,20 98:5,6	25:9,13,15,16,	206:17	half	225:24 226:7
102:25 103:24	17,22,24 26:2,6,	guess	102:15 121:7	227:10 228:18,
	9 27:2,5,6,19,20	10:8 17:16 27:6		227.10 220.10,

20 229:4,9,24,	137:15 155:7,13	141:3 164:24	211:21 212:5	held
25 230:2,25	177:4 182:1	174:23 207:16,	218:10,13,17	106:12 112:2
231:9,11	206:24 208:19	23 220:20	219:3,12,13,14	126:20 139:24
halls	happened	Hayes	221:23 223:14	helpful
129:24	85:25 120:5	157:24	226:19,20,23	91:22 93:6
Hall's	131:3 164:9	head	227:17 228:15	131:22 165:10
114:10,24	172:5 178:3	96:4 153:19	hearing	helps
124:21 136:23	204:13 219:21	177:11	58:4 59:24,25	11:19
137:4 139:25	happening	headed	60:5,21 93:19,	herd
196:19 229:9	160:5 162:12	189:3	21 97:18 112:2	73:25
hand	178:8,19 187:23	health	114:24 115:1,21	here's
26:7 50:7 57:11	206:23	14:11 30:22	116:3,6,19	183:22
64:10 74:19	happy	43:20 54:25	135:17 139:24	hero
75:15 76:1,7,13	216:6,10,14	55:1 68:14 83:2	165:1 168:7,11,	89:19
78:3,22 88:16	harasses	94:4 110:20	13,24 169:19	hey
90:11 101:4	177:18	120:14 127:18	170:23,24	13:23 22:13
102:1 123:15	hard	hear	171:18 172:9	28:11 42:24
211:10 230:21	28:7 39:20 53:6	17:21 18:10	173:11,24	77:14 171:24
231:11	81:7 92:18	38:21 41:2	178:8,25 180:2	181:13 190:13
handed	119:21 128:15,	51:17 56:11,18	181:9,14 182:19	206:4 218:4
98:20	16 186:18 188:8	62:1 105:11	183:10 187:2,16	he'd
handing	201:15	113:1 117:15	188:17 191:22	91:10 99:20
29:8 37:3	hardest	118:1 129:22,23	199:4,7 204:5	he'll
handle	106:10,11	168:20 178:2	208:1,14,18	19:17
82:4,7 155:17	Hardworking	211:18 215:21	218:11 224:24	he's
handled	66:24	217:25 218:21	226:6	29:9 70:5 76:17
98:24 105:18	harm	221:23	hearings	81:7 86:3 89:19
116:2	221:16	heard	33:23 59:22	91:12 106:2
handles	harmed	7:6,8,16,18	60:9,14,25 61:4	119:1,5 125:23
53:3	147:22	11:10 46:14	82:5 85:24	129:9,10 133:25
handling	hasn't	51:16 61:8	98:19 111:13	137:24 138:2,3,
71:10 108:4	123:6 130:14	66:12 73:7,9,18,	199:6 206:19	6 151:15 153:2
hands	186:5	20 75:11 76:21,	hearing's	162:2,4 163:1,
13:13 75:20	hasten	23 77:5,8 85:17	61:2	13 171:11
101:13 118:24	170:19	87:18,20 88:3,5,	heart	184:22,23
119:3 231:5	hate	24 89:1 108:18	53:6 229:4	185:14
hang	224:2	134:5,9 151:23	heavy	high
230:3,4	hates	164:24 168:16	24:21 35:11	97:16,17 115:3
hanging	165:4	170:9 179:25	48:24 62:25	117:8 155:6
153:19	haven't	180:3 196:12	72:15 87:3	215:20,22
happen	42:24 83:22	197:12,21	100:13 120:17	higher
19:19 91:11	103:3 139:6	206:24 210:14	202:8 229:18	18:18,19
17.17 71.11	103.3 137.0			ĺ
	l	l	l	1

				1.50.40
highest	80:14 192:15	142:17,22	ideal	150:10
70:22 104:5	honesty	145:20 171:3,4	173:7	importance
highlight	54:10	220:1 227:12	ideally	34:16
194:18	honor	housekeeping	171:25 172:3	important
highlighted	104:5 152:24	31:3 55:8 68:22	ideals	11:14 16:20,24
95:20 183:13	honorable	83:4 94:9	46:17 57:18	32:16 33:3 45:7,
highly	8:11 9:18,20	123:12 224:17	58:1 85:10	11 46:2 47:24
41:10 93:16	25:17 27:2,4	huge	ideas	53:16 58:9
highway	36:13 37:23,25	104:6 138:17	59:1 67:20	60:24 66:14
119:25	49:17 50:24	humiliate	identification	70:14,16 84:16
Hill	51:2,5 63:20	156:10	9:16,19 26:25	114:23 138:13
204:4	65:1,4,7 74:6,7,	humility	27:3 37:21,24	201:19
hindsight	8 75:2,4,11,16,	14:22 53:4	50:22,25 51:4	importantly
117:2	22 76:3,9 79:15,	hundred	64:24 65:2,6	58:5 85:7
hire	17 89:14 90:17,	47:10	79:13,16 90:15,	105:10
41:3 201:18	19 101:16	hundreds	18 102:4,7	imposed
hired	102:6,8 230:16,	227:22	118:15 124:13	115:13
193:25 194:4	25	hurry	127:11 203:2	impressed
history	hope	47:14	identified	18:13 93:20
116:24 117:6	34:6 39:8 66:10,	hurt	136:19	impression
168:10 170:18	13 72:25 80:19,	22:16 118:21	identity	43:8 53:20
173:8	21 92:18,20	120:11 212:6	42:11 127:4	93:23
hit	107:22 226:12	hurts	147:21 148:1	improper
120:1,2 143:19	229:2 231:8	120:10 162:5	149:24 150:8,11	126:17 199:22
223:1,5	hoping	husband	ignoring	improperly
Hold	11:9	49:14 89:19	153:15	109:5
100:1 139:11	horn	91:10 92:10	imagine	impropriety
	40:1	96:3 99:19	67:22 161:24	24:20 35:10
home 13:24 35:18	horrific	103:1 122:18	immediately	48:23 62:24
49:5 87:12	96:10	219:25	145:22 166:25	72:14 87:2
115:9,10 122:1	Horry	Hyde	171:5,15 199:7	100:11 229:17
140:12,13,19	207:19	207:11	impact	improve
140.12,13,19	hour	207.11	12:19	180:12
142:6,7,22	13:20		impartial	improvement
158:1 186:4	hours	I	12:17 106:23	30:3
220:18 223:7	59:11 121:25	ICU	108:20 205:10	impunity
homicides	144:3 192:22	152:25	impartiality	45:13
146:4	house	idea	12:16 40:15	inability
honest	18:9 121:10	12:21 70:9	impatient	22:17
190:19	135:11,12,13	132:4,6 146:7	54:13	incarcerated
	139:5,19 140:7,	158:12 173:23,		116:12
honestly	15,23 141:12,21	25	impeachment 146:25 147:3,7	110.12
12:21 32:14	,,1		140.23 147.3,7	
			<u> </u>	1

incident	111:4 229:1	:t-d	•	:4004
135:4		ingested 145:3	insurance 120:15	internet 21:17
	independent 105:10 227:4			
incidents		initial 134:6	integrity 71:11 85:10	interpreted 107:19
126:24 130:20	indicating			
132:1 183:12 190:16	182:23	initially	intellect	interrupted
	indication	115:5,13	19:23	217:5
include	99:16	initiative	intelligent	interrupting
34:8	indictment	140:16	12:12 33:18	123:21
included	153:11 154:2	innocent	72:1	interruption
12:1 50:12	198:15	30:13 215:19	intend	217:11 226:11
64:15 79:3	individual	input	219:10	intervene
111:25	155:9 201:25	22:22,24 40:2	intense	31:21 44:16
includes	214:25	inquire	132:9 163:14	55:23 69:13
9:6 103:9	individuals	114:21	intensely	83:20 95:5
including	40:24 107:11,14	inquiries	81:7	225:15
15:8 31:10 44:5	163:9	187:7	intent	intervening
55:12 69:2 83:9	ineffective	inquiry	15:4 31:7,14	175:15
94:19 225:5	41:23	9:4 21:4 26:12	44:1,9 55:9,16	interviews
income	infant	37:9 64:14 79:1	68:23 69:6 83:5,	114:22
120:22	120:25	187:11	13 94:15,23	intimidated
inconsistency	inflection	insensitive	175:2 224:19	116:19 173:12,
181:19	195:3	108:13 216:24	225:8	21 222:11
inconsistent	influence	217:18	intents	introduce
68:5	126:20	insensitivity	24:12	8:2 89:18
incorporated	informant	108:3	interest	102:20
16:1 32:5 45:1	127:5 146:24	insight	9:11 26:19	introduced
56:7 69:22 84:5	147:21,22	53:23	37:16 50:17	101:21,22
95:14 225:25	149:7,13,24	instance	64:19 79:8 91:7	introductory
incorrectly	150:12	107:4 116:9	103:14 220:12,	128:2
175:12 205:14	informants	179:1 194:21	13	invalid
incredible	19:7 147:15	205:5	interested	119:1
228:4	149:11 150:9	instances	176:7	investigate
incredibly	information	132:5 143:5	interesting	21:14 190:17
10:25	104:17 111:15	instant	20:8 27:13	investigated
incumbent	113:3,7,13,15	155:16	29:16 30:2	9:3 26:11 37:8
44:25 171:20	117:10 123:3	instantly	67:15 98:2,3	50:10 64:13
incur	146:22,23	144:5,6	191:19	78:25
195:24	147:14 148:2	instruction	interests	investigating
incurring	157:18 207:3	181:12	194:6	103:6 169:14
174:1	informed	insufficient	interim	investigation
independence	108:6,8,10	67:9	175:14	15:25 32:4
macpenaence	116:16	07.9		13.23 32.7
	1	1	1	1

44:24 56:6	issued	15,16 129:9	45:4 47:3,17,18	170:12 171:12
69:21 84:4	19:5 100:14	130:19 132:24,	54:10 58:20	172:9,15 173:10
90:25 95:13	174:19	25 133:3,23	67:14,19 73:2	174:14 177:12
100:6 103:8	issues	138:17 140:17	74:1,12 93:22	178:5,6,25
111:15,16	29:25 45:15	141:4,5 142:21	101:20 104:15	179:4,24 180:19
116:17 225:24	51:20 55:8	143:4 144:9	107:23 109:6	181:9 182:19,23
investigators	68:22 93:11	145:16 148:5,7,	131:4 186:1	183:9,20
189:24	94:9 98:21	15 149:4,22	190:15 201:22	184:10,15
invite	127:1 128:18	152:25 155:6	205:8 216:15	185:18,19,20
14:3 125:3	129:20 130:7	159:19 160:3	I'm	186:1,10,15,22,
involved	138:10 151:13	161:4 162:12,	9:24 11:3,9,12	23 187:2,22,24
53:12 58:18,21	172:13 184:16	13,14 163:7,10,	13:4,24 14:5	189:1 190:23
81:10 128:17	202:5 207:25	11,23 164:2,4,9	17:14 18:1,20	191:1,13,14
149:7,11 155:9	224:17	165:7,9 171:10,	21:25 22:14,15	192:1,23 193:6,
189:17	issuing	21 172:3,7,22	23:24 28:7,23	12,25 194:1,18,
involvement	82:1	173:17 177:6,8,	29:20,21 34:4	19 196:3,7,11,
14:20	items	25 178:6,9	36:12 37:4,5	20,25 197:14
involves	83:4	182:11 183:20	40:1 41:23,25	200:13 201:1,16
128:17 193:16	it'd	186:17 187:10,	42:6 43:8 47:1	203:19 205:3,9
	62:14	19 188:7,8	48:5 49:10	207:4,5 208:1,
involving	it's	189:3 190:4	51:18 52:6	13,17 209:14
204:3	7:3 10:14,15,20	191:25 193:2	56:11,18 59:18	210:21 213:22
Ireland	11:9,14 13:22	194:3,9,11,16,	61:18 63:15	214:3,9 215:18
126:5	16:11,25 17:19	23 195:18,19	66:13 67:24	217:21 222:2
isn't	19:25 20:23	196:2 198:21	68:3 70:1 75:1	223:3 229:10
129:10 130:10	21:4,16,23 22:6,	201:11 206:20	77:20,24 83:22	I've
142:23 170:14	7,20,24 27:10,	211:12,24	85:16 89:13	13:5 17:16 24:6,
171:20,22	11,12 28:6 30:9	215:10 216:2,3	91:11,13,19	7,11 32:10
174:21 182:21	32:19 33:10,16	218:19 219:1	98:1 100:3	33:15,20 35:4
206:23	34:21 38:3,14	224:3 226:10	107:2 108:19	39:9,10,11,12,
issue	39:8 42:8 44:5	228:22	112:21,23	13 40:23 41:20
21:1,10,11	54:18 56:12	I'd	119:7,16 124:21	42:19 43:13
59:18 82:13	59:17 60:15	11:13 14:8	125:15,22	45:4 46:14
109:1 114:21	63:14 65:11,12	27:14 35:2	129:18,19	48:10 54:20
127:5 133:4,24,	66:13 74:23	43:11 104:8	130:12,13,17,25	56:19 60:4 61:7,
25 140:2 143:23	75:20 76:2	110:2 212:7	131:1,13 132:16	8,10,12,15,21
148:5,12 151:1,	79:24 80:13	217:25	135:2 137:24	66:12,17 67:9
3,7,11 160:4	84:13 85:3	I'll	145:15 146:6	80:13 86:15
161:5 163:11	88:18 92:14,18	13:13,14,15	147:9 148:21	92:12 93:12
170:25 172:13,	96:15,16 97:1,2	14:3 19:1,2,14,	151:5 156:6,7,	96:5 105:17
15 205:18 209:2	101:9 104:6	17,19 23:9 28:1	23 159:16,18	107:25 119:11
220:20	107:20 109:3,7	38:8,14 40:20	160:3 161:5,6	126:8 128:19,24
	119:19 128:13,	41:24 42:25	162:21,22	129:19 130:14
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
	-	•	•	•

149:6,11 157:19	165:1	Johnson's	45:3,11,14,17,	21,23,24 104:2,
159:11,14	job	140:9 183:14	25 46:10 47:17	4,5,10,13,20,24
160:11,15	10:12,17 17:13	199:7 230:6	48:16,17 49:6,9,	105:3,7,15,18,
162:23 168:2	20:4 21:2 27:10,	join	10,13,23 50:1,5,	22 106:2,4,5,6,
170:7,8 177:6	13 28:16 29:8	8:7 34:11	8 51:7,11,12,25	11 107:6 108:2,
182:24,25 183:1	39:25 40:10	Jones	52:9,23 53:1	24 109:12,18,
185:3,4 186:24	46:16,22 48:14	18:7,25 23:2,4	54:5,21,23 55:8	20,24 110:9,12,
187:21 189:14,	58:23 79:22,25	24:14	56:10,17 57:8,	18,25 111:7,8
16 190:19	80:13 81:8 85:8	joy	22 58:13,15,16	112:3,5,7
193:13 194:4	92:9,13 95:25	96:13 128:3	59:4,7,20 60:19	113:18,22,25
195:12 202:17	96:1,2 97:15,18	Jr	62:10,17 63:7,	114:7,10,12,18,
204:20 205:18,	109:17,23	8:11 9:18,21	12,14 64:1,4,8,	23 115:11,17,22
21 206:6,11,24	116:23 122:5	36:13 37:23	11 65:9,17,23,	116:4,10,13,20
207:18 210:2	128:15 129:2	38:1 71:23	25 66:7,16,20	117:1,2,5,12,14,
212:8 213:8,10	130:23 136:16	75:22	68:8,12,22	18,22 118:10
215:3 221:21,	166:19 180:22		69:25 70:4,9,11,	119:7 121:2,3,6,
22,23 223:15	181:13 194:6,12	judge 7:23,25 8:4,17,	15 71:6,13,16	8,10,17,20,23
225:3 227:2,8,9,	201:1,6,7,8,15,	20,24 9:1,22	72:2,6,8,23 73:2	122:4 124:2,7,
10 228:24	16	10:5,6,8 11:6,23	75:13,18 76:12,	10,12,18,20,25
	jobs	12:1,4,5,9,10	16 77:13,14,15,	125:2,6 126:19
J	191:18	14:7,9,16,17,18	20,24,25 78:6,7,	127:1,7 129:15
	John	15:1,2,4 16:3,	11,13,16,20,24	130:2 132:19
jail	201:10	19,20 17:3 18:1,	79:19,21 80:8,9,	134:15 135:2,18
47:23 106:13,14	Johnny	3,5,8,15,20	16,22 81:1,4,6,	136:3,15,23
115:7,16 121:25	138:4	19:14,16 20:6,	25 82:19,21,25	137:4,11 138:2,
122:7 136:14	Johnson	19.14,10 20.0,	83:4 84:8,16	7 139:25 140:18
141:15 144:17	73:3 77:2 87:25	22:5,9,19 23:3,	85:1,14,20,25	143:1,3 144:15
154:20 171:2	114:24 115:1,2,	9,18,20 24:5,8	86:5,9,10,11,13,	145:15 147:2,5
176:9 212:10,13	7,10,14,18	25:4,6,9,13,15,	15,20,21 87:10	148:6 151:1
James	116:22 134:25	22,24 26:2,6,9	88:8,10 89:8,10,	152:7,11,16
18:7,25 23:1,3	139:2,5 141:19	27:6,19,20,21	13,19,24 90:3,6,	153:8,13 155:15
24:14	142:3,12,15	28:3,9 29:2,6	10,21 91:11,15,	157:5,8,23
January	160:19 164:18	30:5,19,20 31:6	16,19 92:2,3,8,	158:3 160:1,21
62:12 116:2,6	166:15 168:13,	32:8,17 33:9,13,	21,25 93:5,14,	162:1,3,13
121:5 220:16	24 169:1,3,19,	18,19,20,22	15,17 94:2,10	163:8 167:6,15
Jeni	23,24 170:10	34:7,12,23,24,	95:17 96:1,7,15,	168:3,13,25
102:2	172:14 179:1	25 35:2,5,19,23,	23 97:1,9,21,23	169:6,14,18
Jenny	180:2 183:23	24,25 36:1,3,4,	98:1,5,6,8,11,23	171:5,8,19
198:4	198:1,6 199:1,	5,8,10,11,19,21,	99:14,18,21,25	172:6,10,22
JMSC	24 202:9 205:2	24 37:2,6 38:3,	100:3,7,18,20,	177:15 182:14
90:14 190:20	24 202.9 203.2	12,18 39:1,2,16,	24 101:6,9,13,	183:2 184:20
	228:6	19,23 40:4 42:7,	22,25 102:11,	185:5,14,25
Joanna	220.0	24 43:17,18,25	13,20,22 103:2,	186:18 187:21
		27 73.17,10,23		
	I	I	 	

190:7 193:2,4,	13,21 200:5,6	jurisdictions	killing	kneed
16 195:25	211:1,3,22	146:16 186:14	175:6,8	222:21
196:9,14,19	214:5 227:2,5	jurist	Kimpson	knew
197:5,21,23,24	judge's	24:9	63:11,12,14,20	47:21 60:22
200:4,6,10	109:10 117:7	jurists	64:1,4,8,11	71:23 137:25
201:13,25	126:18 144:9	12:2 52:20	65:1,4,8,9,23,25	138:1 169:13
202:22,25	145:7 153:9	jus-	66:1,2,4,7,20	210:5
203:4,8,16,17	181:23 182:6	192:5	68:12 70:1,4,9,	knife
205:6 206:8,10,	195:18	justice	11 71:6,13,16,	152:24
11 208:14,21	judgment	29:8 121:7,18	20,23 72:6,8,23	knock
209:12,13,16,	114:24	128:16 129:4	73:2 76:9,12	14:2 101:4,10
24,25 210:2,5,9,	judicial	137:24 138:11	kin	knowing
15,16,21 211:7,	9:2 14:15,17,25	146:2 153:2	125:21,22,23	144:10
20 212:9,15	26:10 30:6 31:1	184:3 201:10	kind	knowledge
213:12 214:11	37:7 38:9 43:24	justifies	8:7 12:2,15	24:5 40:14 53:4
215:6,9,22	50:9 54:6 55:4	193:24	22:17 36:8 42:7	93:21 137:10,14
216:17 217:11	64:12 68:18	1,5.2	43:14 45:6	158:4,6 227:9
224:5,13,18,19	70:21 71:11		56:19 71:25	knowledgeable
225:24 226:2,7,	78:24 82:24	K	91:22 97:1,2	40:7 227:4
25 227:10	85:10,11 93:11	Kate	159:11 164:8	70.7 227.4
228:18,20	94:8,10,11	74:8 75:5	170:8,10 173:10	
229:2,4,6,9,24,	106:1 107:18	keeled	174:3 182:10,11	L
25 230:2 231:9,	110:24 113:20	43:3	187:25 189:3	lack
11	114:11 125:10	keeping	191:12 197:13	48:13 54:7
judgement	126:17 127:19	11:20 13:19	224:3	99:15 109:13
13:20	130:23 138:20	215:5	kinda	188:4,6 205:23
judges	193:20 195:8,15	Kelly	163:5 176:24	213:12
13:2 16:16	200:17 201:2	8:4,9	kindness	ladies
19:24 20:19	227:19	Kevin	207:9	217:4
21:7 29:9 32:11	judiciary	125:9,13,15	Kingstree	lady
33:6 41:9 45:6,	17:10 38:4	123.9,13,13	77:20	152:9 210:8
22 53:2 56:20,	39:11,14 46:17,	186:12 203:3	Kinlaw	laid
22 57:7 60:6	19 57:19,25		35:24 36:1,4,5,	131:21 134:14
61:5,22 66:16	July	key 48:7	8,10,11,13,19,	206:12
70:13 75:10	65:11		21,24 37:2,6,23	late
84:10,13 98:16	jump	kidding	38:1,3 39:1	23:14 62:6
105:24 106:16	90:3	86:7	42:24 43:17,18,	105:3
107:3 128:13	June	kill	25 45:4 47:17	
140:14 151:20,	62:12	175:2	48:16,17 49:6	lauded 113:18
24 156:22,23,25	jurisdiction	killed	75:22	
157:6,7,13,20	174:17 187:6	115:14 118:22	knee	law
160:16 162:7	198:18,24	169:1,3	223:5	16:25 17:6
185:4 188:3,6,8,	,			19:10,11 21:18
	<u> </u>			

28:11 34:19	161:25 162:8	learn	lenient	life
38:22 40:10,14	164:9 167:11	107:22 218:4	109:25 150:16	105:3 119:5
48:21 53:8	200:1,2,3,7,23	228:22	lesser	154:19 155:2
65:11,13,19	201:5 208:20	learned	47:5	161:19 169:15
67:5,8,19 71:17	212:12,21 213:9	46:7 126:8	lets	222:25
72:1,13 81:8,23	218:21 219:22	learning	126:19	lifetime
85:19 86:2 87:1	lawyerly	218:8 228:17	letter	120:15
93:21 96:5	109:21	229:2	15:4 24:19 31:6,	light
100:9 105:3,23	lawyers	leave	14 35:9 43:25	95:22
109:1,3,8,9,11,	12:12 13:1,19	23:6 223:5,7	44:9 48:21 55:9,	lightning
13,19,23 110:16	16:23 20:17	leaves	16 62:23 68:23	195:24
114:7 126:2,21	24:1 30:10	60:3	69:6 72:13 83:5,	likes
128:5 132:5,6	34:16 40:3 41:2,	led	13 87:1 94:15,	101:12 137:22
141:20 148:12	7,11,24 42:5,11,	34:13 163:18	23 96:20 100:9	138:12
153:25 154:16,	13,17,18,20,22	168:18 177:1	111:21 112:3,8,	limitations
21 177:3 185:24	43:9,10,15	204:15	13,22 113:17	15:8 31:11 44:5
199:15 200:20	46:25 47:2	left	114:16 115:16	55:13 69:3
201:14,18 208:8	57:19,23 93:7,9	36:4 60:3 90:12	116:16 126:25	83:10 94:20
212:3,9 217:22	107:24 109:5,8,	93:23 102:2	130:15,21	225:5
222:5	16,17,21 114:9	135:12 139:16	224:19 225:8	limited
lawful	132:2,11	144:17 161:15	229:16	198:9
153:11	138:14,15	200:12,14 220:1	letting	Lindi
laws	162:24 200:17,	legal	16:19 91:11	26:8 37:4 50:7
9:8 24:19 26:16	20 201:2,7,22	14:23 19:23	96:2	64:10 78:22
35:9 37:13	204:21 205:10,	34:4,20 39:7	let's	90:12 91:21
50:14 62:23	11 209:2,4,5,8	47:25 48:3,6	7:1 13:17 76:17,	Lindsay
64:18 79:5 91:4	212:24 213:1,	66:25 105:6	18 146:18	37:5
103:12 153:16	10,23 214:25	106:1 111:2	173:21 176:1	linger
229:16	222:1	legislative	178:24 217:1,7	175:25
lawsuit	lawyer's	129:21	level	lip
52:9,15 104:11,	13:7	legislator	11:1 14:19	222:20 223:5
15,17,18	lay	15:12 31:15	29:13 34:1	listen
lawsuits	182:3,5	44:10 55:17	132:18 179:18	11:15 41:25
66:1	leader	69:7 83:14	185:13 197:14	108:15,19 157:9
lawyer	97:5	94:24 215:10	Lexington	190:2
13:14 18:23	leadership	225:9	141:19 143:25	listened
30:10 41:4,13,	97:5	legislature	224:24	198:8
18 42:21,22,23	leading	200:15	liberties	listening
43:1,4 46:8,10	168:11 180:1	length	18:24	166:8 177:12
47:15 52:8 61:9, 10 72:2 81:21	leads	178:7 207:23	lieutenant	listens
93:4 107:5	215:4	lengthy	102:22	12:6
109:20 125:18		9:25		12.0
109.20 123.10				
	1	I	1	1

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
literally	215:7 220:6	lowest	Maine	58:3 64:5 78:17
33:4	lord	45:21	126:5	82:1 99:10
litigant	85:23	lucky	maintain	105:12 117:2
105:22	lose	12:4	184:1	130:3 145:7
litigants	11:14 106:3	Lucy	maintains	154:24 185:23
12:19 16:22	198:17	86:6 231:7,12	198:24	208:15 216:10
21:8 33:11	losing	lull	majority	malicious
38:12 40:9 43:8	147:16	131:9	68:9	129:10
46:5 58:11	loss	lunch	make	man
81:11,12,14,20	56:11,14 228:11	76:16 77:17	9:1,14 18:2 21:4	119:22 136:14
93:9 214:25	lost	130:4 178:1	26:8,23 27:11	152:24 153:1
litigation	54:13 129:2	203:25 205:4	28:25 34:3	171:1 175:3,6
22:17	162:8 169:15		37:19 38:8	210:18 226:24
live	183:4		40:25 41:21	management
21:17 96:18	lot		42:8,20 50:6,20	129:19 142:12
152:19,20 207:3	11:19 29:18	mad	57:3 58:7 64:22	manages
lived	33:12 42:5 43:9,	121:16 201:10,	67:24 71:16	12:13
161:8	12,13 48:2	13,14	72:25 79:11	Managing
lives	53:19 60:24,25	Madam	81:18 84:19	201:6
10:16 142:2	84:10 86:18	226:4	91:17,23 97:18	mandate
local	99:2,11 126:8	made	103:18 106:12	113:4
14:21 106:13	130:5 134:18	8:19 14:5 25:25	108:24 109:10,	manner
113:2 114:19	150:25 151:6	49:25 64:3 70:7	20 114:14	29:9 164:17
162:13	159:12 176:24	98:18 111:24	119:18 131:3	213:22
locked	177:25 178:9	118:13,18	136:17 147:19	March
121:16	186:25 188:24	124:11 127:4,9	151:16 152:1	54:11
logical	204:10,12 209:1	130:3 140:1	159:20,22	marijuana
67:1	211:9 218:12	153:25 154:6,8,	160:11,16	210:18
long	lots	22 155:10	174:20 178:21	Marine
10:8 13:16	95:21	159:13,14	179:9 187:6,10	102:23
14:17 16:25	Louisiana	166:22 189:22	189:25 193:23	Marines
17:6 23:17 24:4	71:19	202:23 220:11	194:4,12 201:22	102:24 103:2,4
43:15 62:8 73:1	love	228:5,7	202:19 206:20	marital
158:9 159:10	92:9,11,12 96:1,	magic	216:6,13 219:6	115:9
218:7	9 135:25 138:16	98:20 173:4	221:2 222:9	
longer	love-fest	magistrate	229:7	mark 37:6 64:11
61:3,25 63:18	20:14,15	115:6,7,13	makes	78:23 90:12
175:4 200:7	loved	135:8,16 171:5	12:6 39:21	
looked	47:3 98:11	181:22 198:13,	143:3 167:9	marked
16:6,17 34:10	lovely	15,21 205:17	196:10,15 218:9	9:16,19 26:25
60:23 103:1	100:22	magistrate's	making	27:3 37:21,24
168:2 170:8	100.22	198:16	8:22 11:21 50:2	50:22,25 51:4 64:24 65:2,6
100.2 170.0				04.24 03.2,0
	l			1

79:13,16 90:15,	119:15 159:4,7	44:1,6,14 55:10,	microcosm	missing
18 102:4,7	216:19	13,21 68:24	178:18	215:18
118:15 124:13	Mcculloch	69:3,11 83:6,10,	middle	mistreat
127:11 203:2	74:8 75:4,7,9	18 93:25 94:16,	28:24	189:5
marking	Mciver	20 95:3 97:11	Midlands	mistreated
26:4 36:25	73:15 88:14,22	112:1 113:2,5,	54:23 68:11	162:9 213:3
married	231:8,13	19,21 114:11	midst	mistreating
61:14	Mcleod	122:12 123:25	67:24	204:17
Martin	74:7 75:2	125:1,10	miles	misunderstandi
125:17	Meaning	126:14,16	142:19	ng
mask	177:22	225:5,13	military	217:20
117:25	means	memo	102:24	model
mater	22:11 48:3 71:1	127:2	milkshake	67:14
34:4	108:8,11 153:18	memory	228:23	moment
materials	201:3 215:9,17	160:12 196:22	million	107:23
9:7 26:15 37:12	222:5	mental	211:22,23	Monday
50:13 64:16	meant	14:12 30:23	Milton	158:1 228:8
79:4 91:3	211:7	43:20 55:1	63:20 65:1,4,7	money
103:10 111:25	measures	68:14 83:2 94:5	71:20,23 76:9	60:24,25 194:4
170:7	54:19	110:20 127:18	mind	monitor
matter	mediation	mention	66:25 67:25	143:6,8
21:23 38:19	85:20	65:25	123:12,14 140:1	monitored
48:8 123:12	medical	mentioned	204:13 205:21	143:5
134:25 136:1	120:23 221:10	149:16 202:22	minded	monitoring
158:7 163:14	meet	merit	91:12	18:10 140:8
170:10,17	28:20 92:13	9:2 26:10 37:7	mine	141:22 142:9,
174:15 180:10,	102:19 204:24	50:9 64:12	11:1 49:13	17,23 145:11,14
11 188:25	meeting	78:24	minimized	monitors
194:3,5 196:5	161:16 183:18	meritorious	114:12	144:5,22
198:1 199:1	199:19 205:4,	194:8,22	minute	month
218:22 224:25	13,23 211:17	met	59:25 112:11	175:22,23 205:1
matters	212:2 213:17,18	28:18 71:16,19	185:16 217:1,3	months
7:22 39:7 41:17	215:15	72:1 102:17	minutes	143:18 167:1,3
53:7 73:23	meetings	103:3 159:5	7:21 60:2,3,6,	169:12 178:11,
77:11 162:18	206:2 213:15	199:10,11,13,15	15,16 61:3,23,	18 199:3 202:10
205:20 228:12	214:5,22	203:17,19,23	25 63:15,17	219:24 220:8
Matthews	member	205:15,16,18,21	217:6	moot
97:6	42:15 96:21,22	206:13 224:8	misconception	71:17
ma'am	217:10 224:20	227:8	218:14 219:1	moral
15:13 50:8	members	Michael	missed	8:8
55:11,15,20,25	15:5,9,16 31:7,	52:11 126:1	34:5 215:23	morning
56:4 118:11	11,19 39:5,9,14	209:25	2 210.23	morning

7:23 10:6,7	77:2 87:16,25	16,17,21	37:14 50:15	125:20 126:3
27:21,22 33:22	88:22	119:13,15,19,21	79:6 91:5	note
35:23,25 36:3,	moving	122:9,11,13,15,	103:12	11:23 14:8
10,11 39:2	68:22 75:10	18,19,20,21	nice	15:24 30:19
47:12 51:12,13	78:2 98:25 99:7,	123:1,4,7,13,16,	46:8 99:25	32:3 43:17
58:15,16 63:11	12 106:14	19,23 124:1,14	102:19 208:21	44:23 48:5
228:8	112:16 145:5	191:1,9,10	nicer	54:22 56:5
Moses	Mt	219:14	179:6	68:11 69:20
17:22	17:22 18:6	Neal's	Ninth	82:20 84:3 94:1
mother	multiple	122:18 124:23	150:19	95:12 104:10,15
29:11	153:25 154:6,22	necessarily	no-one	110:17 225:22
motion	155:10 169:15	186:22 188:20	64:21	noted
13:12,13,20	mute	neck	Nobody's	113:23 116:14
75:13,25 76:5,	136:6	222:23	191:16	notes
12 88:15 135:16	mutual	needed	nodding	67:9,10 151:14
210:3,5 221:20,	199:17	20:3 28:20	96:4	180:5
21 229:11 230:7		35:15 62:7	nominate	noteworthy
231:9,16		78:14 102:23	76:10	14:22
motions		105:5 136:13	nominated	notice
13:10 76:18	naive	210:11	75:9,12,14,19,	20:24 218:11,13
99:3 221:23	107:2	negative	23 76:4,6,13	noticed
motorbike	named	19:8,11 57:12	88:13 230:19	13:25 185:5
120:1	52:20 104:14	94:1 106:18	231:11,15	notified
motorcycle	names	224:4	nomination	142:4,19 145:2
140:5 220:2	37:5 132:2	neglect	231:9	218:11
move	189:16	97:24	non-attorney	noting
28:1 56:16	name's	negotiate	222:2	116:22
74:10 75:12,23	118:3	147:16	non-comment	notion
76:10 88:12	national	negotiated	208:24	198:19
117:12 118:12	38:9	153:6 210:7,10	non-jury	November
127:8 139:4	nationalist	negotiation	13:6	54:11 111:22
153:10 163:16	204:3	152:15,16,19	non-legal	novos
194:23 202:15	nature	nerve	105:4 111:2	65:14
205:20 229:9	38:16 52:13	120:11		numb
230:18 231:1	68:10 98:20	nervous	non-partisan 130:24	118:24,25
moved	115:4 130:23	25:13 116:15	nonetheless	120:19
116:8 143:16,	193:21	neutral		number
19,22 231:10	NCIC	194:20	17:6 19:11 23:15	9:16,19 13:10
movement	175:13	Nevada		26:25 27:3
145:6	Neal	38:10	normal 223:24	37:21,24 50:22,
moves	117:20,22,23,24	newspaper		25 51:4 64:24
7:3,13 73:3,15	118:3,4,5,7,11,	9:9 10:15 26:17	North	65:2,6 79:13,16
	, , , , , ,	7.7 20.12 20.17		, , , , , , ,
	I	1	I	1

90:15,18 102:4,	objectiveness	offense	155:3 157:5	91:17 103:18,21
7 113:18,21	66:19	38:16 110:16	158:11 160:6,25	openly
114:19 118:15	obligation	152:9 153:24	161:2,3,21	114:5
124:13 127:11	41:20 212:22	154:2,17	166:7,11 167:2,	operate
165:4 178:10	213:24	offenses	8 171:22 173:6,	212:24 214:20
201:7 203:2	observations	154:3	19 174:19 181:2	215:8
209:2 217:9	226:4	offensive	182:21,22	operator
218:9 226:23	observe	214:13,14,16	183:19 190:6	120:18
numbers	21:6	offer	191:1,5 193:5,	opinion
146:16	obvious	29:15 40:19	16 198:17	33:17 67:2
numerous	175:1 190:1	53:14 54:8	200:9,10,12	114:18 136:15,
27:7 113:1	192:17	114:2 147:19,	202:15 206:5	17
114:17 126:24	occasion	20,24 148:4,21	209:3,8 210:23	opinions
nursing	168:14 203:25	149:3 150:15,	213:2 221:11,16	12:18 67:1
220:17	occasional	16,17 152:6,7	officers	opportunities
	22:3	188:10 198:5	28:17	59:13 160:21
0	occasions	offered	offices	opportunity
	109:4 140:14	222:18	160:7 207:21	10:15 24:16
oath	156:1 203:20,24	offering	oftentimes	35:5 48:18
23:6 82:7,10	205:15 206:3	72:20	219:9	62:20 65:10,15
85:16 139:12		offers	oil	66:11 72:10
165:21 166:12	occur 36:23 229:22	151:16,21,24	177:10	86:19,23 91:16
197:23 200:21		152:2,3	older	103:18 117:17
object	occurred	office	43:13 120:12	160:24 161:14,
101:23 116:3	178:10 192:15	39:15 41:9	one-third	17 197:13,22
136:1 170:14,16	202:10	113:22 114:12,	193:13	218:10 219:12,
171:21 202:23	occurrence	14 115:19 116:8	ongoing	17,19 220:6,22,
221:20	177:14	125:11 126:14,	177:19,21	23 221:6 229:6
objected	October	17 127:6 128:22	189:11	
171:24 172:23	61:14 121:1	129:5 130:5,7,	open	oppose 173:5
objection	219:23	18 132:8,25	24:23 35:13	
8:21,24 9:1 26:7	odd	133:2 134:1,17,	48:25 63:2	opposed 7:7,17 43:5
36:25 37:2 50:2,	54:17 200:3	19 135:7,16,18	72:17 73:23	73:8,19 76:22
5,6 64:8,9	213:5	137:25 138:1,5	87:5 106:20	73.8,19 70.22
78:17,20,21	oddly	139:16 142:11,	114:1 152:4	25 186:13
112:18 115:19	60:7	24 143:7	190:4 230:10	
118:14 124:20	odds	144:18,25	opened	opposing
127:10	126:21	145:1,8,17	60:21	93:19
objections	offended	146:17 147:13		opposite
26:3 64:5	214:9	148:7 150:6,21	opening	207:5
objective	offender	151:6 152:10	9:14,25 26:24 37:19 50:20	opposition
22:11 66:10	145:3	153:23 154:7	64:22 79:11	9:12 26:20
			U4.44 /7.11	37:17 50:18

passed 19:13 81:23 218:4 passion 96:12 195:3 passionate 135:24
218:4 passion 96:12 195:3 passionate 135:24
passion 96:12 195:3 passionate 135:24
96:12 195:3 passionate 135:24
passionate 135:24
135:24
noggionotoly
passionately 194:24
past 28:10 205:18
pasting
67:14
path
67:1
patience
192:24 207:9
patient
11:13 12:2 29:6
89:11 104:23
pattern
20:24 57:7
patterns
67:17 84:23,25
Paul
114:24,25
134:25 198:1
205:2
pause
151:18
pay
34:9 60:24
143:3
Payne
165:1
PCR
41:22
PDO
PDQ 65:25 101:19
_
65:25 101:19

20.1.12.15	15011510	 ,	1 .	202.10
39:1 43:17	152:1 154:8	permanent	person's	203:10
44:23	155:5,22 159:22	120:11	194:5	place
Pee	160:1,2,11,18	perpetrator	perspective	28:20 128:7,8
82:20 94:2	161:21 162:1,21	82:9,10	138:23	140:9,12,15,23
peers	163:13,22 165:4	Perry	Pete	142:2,8,13,20
46:3 152:5	166:8,9 167:7	25:17 27:2,4	101:12 231:8,12	145:12,17 159:3
156:11 228:2	168:16 169:9	75:16	petitioner's	168:10,15
penalties	177:5,20 185:22	persistent	68:7	199:12 205:2
153:17	189:5,21 201:6	114:18	ph	places
penalty	202:20 206:15	person	109:21	141:20 184:24
156:12,14,15,18	207:6 211:23	15:1 22:4 60:17	philosophical	227:20
pending	213:1 215:11	110:7 129:9	189:1	plaintiff
15:14 31:16	216:1,2 217:18	132:10 138:3	philosophically	28:23
44:11 55:18	222:9	139:22 149:14	184:19	plate
69:8 83:15	people-centric	153:24 154:1,24	philosophy	154:14
94:25 146:4,6	95:22	155:4,13,22	61:7 162:18	play
174:15,22	people's	156:3,7 191:13	208:4,10	36:6 180:24
175:5,10 225:10	10:16 142:2	192:6	philosophy's	182:14
Pennsylvania	215:16	personal	162:18	playing
126:4	perceive	8:14 9:17 11:1	phone	132:18 138:4
people	71:4 134:19	12:18 25:19	11:11 113:10	185:13
12:8 14:3 16:13,	perceived	27:1 34:1 36:16	phrased	plea
21 17:12 21:6,	107:17 113:23	37:22 49:20	161:2	114:2 127:3
20 22:12 30:15,	140:1 204:17	50:23 51:1	physical	147:16,19,20,24
17 39:18,21	percent	63:22 64:25	14:11 30:22	148:4,21 149:3
40:13 46:8 48:2,	21:1,10 22:20	65:3 72:4 78:8	43:20 54:25	151:16,21,24
4,6 51:20 54:16	61:3 137:6	79:14 90:4,16	68:14 83:2 94:4	152:1,3,6,7
58:3,7 59:3	138:20 143:15,	96:13 102:5	110:20 127:18	175:23 188:10
61:13 66:10,14	18 185:11	108:25 161:7	pick	210:7 219:16
70:25 71:3	200:15 227:22	185:10 188:25	130:24 159:17	plead
92:12,13 96:17	percentage	personality	165:14	152:10 154:17
106:2 107:16	45:19	160:4 192:8		155:1
128:8,10,17,18	percentages	228:14,24	picked 145:21	pleaded
129:1,5,24	45:22	personally		121:1,3,21
130:9 132:3,7,	perception	99:4 132:16	pictures	pleading
14,15,20 133:24	14:5 209:7	personnel	126:9	210:19
138:22 140:15	perfect	11:8 21:9 28:5	piece	pleads
141:12,25 142:5	29:7 58:20 81:3	39:6 80:18	38:17 119:9	154:3
143:17,24	201:16	129:20	153:2 170:21	pleas
144:19,20	period	persons	Piedmont	13:6 127:4,7
145:9,14,18	39:10 42:16	41:9 213:13	14:8 110:17	188:9 193:10
146:17 149:3	135:21 209:16	215:19,24	111:19 197:1	209:4
	l	l	l	l

nlaggant	nolisies	222:0 10 12 10	212:9	171:14 215:1
pleasant 33:19 40:7 49:6	policies 146:1,18	223:9,10,12,19		219:15 222:19
	150:24,25 151:3	positions	pragmatic	226:16
pleasurable 97:19	156:15,16	132:22 229:14	106:7	
	158:11 159:22,	positive	pray	presiding 77:20
pleasure	23	10:15 11:9,25	56:16	
27:13 33:19		29:5 40:6 53:1	prayed	press
38:3 39:4 53:5	policy	66:23 68:9	47:22	135:2,6
93:5,13	126:22 130:6,7,	80:25 92:24	pre-	presume
pledge	8,9,10,11,13,18 140:20,25 141:3	105:2	142:9	167:11
15:12 31:15	140:20,23 141:3	possessed	pre-pandemic	pretty
44:10 55:17	144:19,20	105:25	146:3	24:6 60:2 123:7
69:7 83:14	153:23 154:6	possesses	preconceived	170:12 178:6
94:24 225:9	155:2 156:6	93:11	198:19	183:15 192:17
pledging	163:11 181:2	possibly	predictable	208:9 210:3,4
15:21 31:25	188:1,5,19,21	67:5 147:25	110:10	prevalent
44:20 56:2	190:14 213:19	174:1	prefer	178:6 187:16
69:17 83:25		post	97:25	previous
95:9 225:19	political	140:24 141:25	preferable	9:10 26:18
plenty	70:8	potentially	155:21	37:15 50:16
131:5	pool	24:21 35:11	preference	64:18 79:7 91:6
podium	207:2	48:23 62:25	13:1,21 111:6	103:13
117:24 163:18	poor	72:15 87:3	114:5	previously
point	54:6 185:24	100:12	pregnant	171:15
19:20,21 32:22	poorly	pound	219:24 220:8	pride
33:15,24 42:20	164:12 206:15	210:20		128:14
102:11 103:17	popularly	powers	prepare 169:13	priest
123:18 128:14	21:25	227:1		96:20
130:3 143:14	population	practical	prepared 11:15 25:9	primary
150:3 161:20	146:11	93:12 105:6	26:22 47:14	134:2
170:19 171:6	portion	practice	52:2 58:20	prime
172:12 180:16	24:15 62:19	28:5 52:1 53:22	226:25	216:20
183:14,17	72:9 86:22	79:24 80:17		principals
186:11 187:8	99:23 130:16	92:17 104:21	preparedness	34:17
189:6 191:19	226:5 229:12	105:3 128:11	29:13	
197:12 207:22	posed	141:20 150:7	presence	principles 57:18
215:8 220:17	217:12	practiced	144:23	
pointed	position	18:5 40:10	present	prior
183:18 204:12	48:8 72:20	65:13 105:23	26:22 27:7	23:16 31:16
208:25 209:14,	116:5 129:16	practicing	88:18 91:9	44:11 55:18
18	153:20 173:10	16:24 17:6	103:17 165:3	65:14 69:8 83:15 94:25
police	181:1,3 196:13	57:19 85:18	167:10 199:13	83:15 94:25
131:1	198:6 208:24	128:5 137:21,22	presented	155:15 169:19
		120.3 137.21,22		133.13 109:19
		1	1	1

225.10	l •	1460	140.40.40	1 202 5 207 10
225:10	procedures	146:9	149:12,13	203:6 205:19
priority	67:5	programs	protecting	209:15 214:12
114:15 155:6	proceed	144:1	144:19,20	publish
prison	89:8 125:4	progress	145:9,18	119:17 124:16
52:12,19 121:7	proceeding	11:21	protection	203:9
210:20,22	118:9 195:5	prompted	82:2,6,14	published
private	231:17	161:20	protections	112:20
41:1,13 53:17	proceedings	proof	168:4	pull
143:5 199:23	116:20	167:5	protocol	78:1 174:22,23
211:17 212:2	process	proper	190:11	pulled
213:15 214:4,	20:18 24:10	190:10	proud	119:24 167:14
11,22 215:15	31:22 44:17	properly	34:2 57:20,25	pulling
privately	55:24 59:18	198:25	72:3	222:23
205:16,22	67:3 69:14 72:9	proportional	proudly	punched
pro	83:21 86:22	110:15	86:7	222:22
81:11,12,14,20	95:6 99:23	proposition	prove	punctuality
probate	100:13 177:19,	10:21	59:4,14	21:12
14:17 24:8	21 182:12	prosecute	proven	punishment
probation	225:16 228:17	153:11 154:9	30:13	121:13 123:9,10
143:6 152:11	229:12	155:11 174:24	provide	purpose
153:4 164:7	produce	prosecuted	21:13 39:24	127:14 161:12
problem	67:6,21	159:12 175:6	104:18 114:25	purposes
45:18 57:6	profession	prosecuting	146:17 148:2	9:17,20 24:12
63:14 130:5,8,	14:25 34:20	128:4 152:21	191:12	26:25 27:3
18 132:25	professional		provided	37:21,24 50:22,
133:15 134:1,	14:14,19 30:25	prosecution 135:21 182:13	56:25 111:13	25 51:4 64:24
18,21 138:18	33:1 43:23 55:3		115:23,24 118:7	65:2,6 70:8
143:20 144:15	68:17 82:23	prosecutor	119:13 126:23	79:13,16 90:15,
147:6 160:15	94:7 110:23	159:20 167:12	127:23 146:19,	18 102:4,7
162:16 180:7	111:1 127:17	210:3	24	118:16 124:13
184:5 185:10	162:3 227:4	prosecutorial	proxies	127:11 203:2
186:7,13 187:12	228:1	114:1 159:13	231:6	pursuant
189:12 191:20	professionalism	195:8		24:16 35:6
214:21	106:9	prosecutors	proxy 88:16	48:19 62:20
problems	proficiently	114:19 164:17	public	72:10 86:23
51:23 105:6	109:23	184:23 218:4	40:17,20,21,23,	115:4 116:9
118:23 136:19	profile	prosecutor's	25 41:3,4,12	127:19 229:13
138:10,17	117:9	201:12	42:4 46:24 47:2,	pursue
procedure	program	protect	6,19 104:7	114:3 146:1
13:5 29:23 30:1	71:22 140:8,23	145:13 147:22	111:13 114:4	194:2
109:19 140:12	142:2,24 145:1,	167:20 169:16	138:1 146:3	pursuing
	16,17,23,25	protected	148:6 200:8	181:2
	, , , -		1 10.0 200.0	101.2
	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

purview	110:20 127:14,	217:12,16	20:13 123:11	ran
214:6,22	16 196:19	222:15 224:23	151:15 217:7	23:20,22 45:24,
push	229:21	questioned	226:11	25 119:24
42:6 190:25	qualified	29:13 81:25	quickly	220:1,3
pushed	14:10,12 27:9	questioning	28:15 81:20	range
119:10 121:14	30:21,23 33:19	16:1 32:6 45:1	143:20 175:25	14:21 111:1
pushing	43:19,22 54:24	56:8 69:23 84:6	199:20	Rankin
140:2	55:2,7 57:15	95:15 100:19	quit	7:3 18:12,18
put	68:12,15,19,21	103:20 225:25	85:25	20:12,13 22:25
18:21 21:22	74:10,15,18,21	226:20	quote	23:5,11,24
23:5 32:20	75:8,12,13,17,	questionnaire	108:9	74:22 75:3 89:5,
57:22 58:25	18,23 76:4,6,13	8:14 9:17 25:20	quoted	11,16,22,25
59:12 61:9,10	82:21,25 85:3	27:1 36:16	135:2	90:2,7,11,22
82:7,9 90:13,22	88:12 94:3,6	37:22 49:20	quoting	91:12,16,25
121:4 139:4	110:18,21 111:7	50:23 51:1	105:16	95:17 96:3,8,19,
141:12,21	137:5,7 138:20,	63:23 64:25		24 97:4,10,13
142:12,16,20	23 197:3	65:3 78:8 79:14	R	98:4 99:14,19,
145:12,17	227:21,23,24,25	90:5,16 102:5		22 100:1,4,8,21
152:25 153:19	230:18 231:2,14	questions	race	101:2,7,11,15,
173:21 178:16	qualify	10:3 16:2 20:11	74:5 113:2	18,23 102:1,11,
210:22 211:15	76:10	24:13 27:17	230:23	17,21,25 103:22
212:10 223:6	qualities	32:7 34:24	radar	112:11,13,16,
puts	38:11	38:24 41:15	81:7	18,20 117:14
33:5	quarter	45:2 48:11,13	Ragland	118:4,14
putting	228:8	51:9 56:9 58:13	97:6	119:16,20
161:13	quesstions	62:17 65:21	raise	122:9,12,16,19,
	71:14	69:24 70:19	76:13 78:3	24 123:2,5,11,
Q	question	71:15 72:7 80:1,	101:3 123:14	14,17,20,24
——	10:12 21:4	6 81:19 82:9,12	183:22 191:19	124:2,8,15,20
qualification	27:23 30:4	84:7 86:10,11	211:10 231:11	125:1,6,17,23
133:16	40:14,15 41:16	95:16 97:10	raised	126:6,10 127:10
qualifications	85:15 97:14	99:16 122:11,13	15:25 32:4	158:18 159:8
9:3 14:11 24:24	98:14 110:4	123:24 125:2	44:24 56:6	164:14 169:23
26:11 30:22	133:12 136:8	132:3 158:16	69:21 84:4	176:22 192:20
32:25 35:14	139:7,23 141:9	160:22 169:22	95:13 225:23	197:19 202:21
37:8 43:20 49:1	147:9 159:6	189:23 192:24	230:21 231:5	203:1,7,10,15 206:9 209:23
50:10 54:25	161:18 192:2	197:19 200:16,	raising	216:16 217:1,9,
55:6 63:3 64:13	195:18,19	24 204:12,21	74:18 75:15,19	14 224:11,14
68:14 72:18	196:23,25 197:9	205:12 208:25	76:1,7 88:16	226:2,8,10
79:1 83:2 87:6	198:13,19	209:13,14,18	rampant	227:13 228:19,
91:1 94:4	203:4,12,14	224:9 226:1,4	187:12	21 229:5,10,25
100:15 103:7	211:6 212:18	quick	107.12	230:3,6,10,20
		13:11,12 14:1		230.3,0,10,20
	l			1

	1		1	1
231:4,7,16	160:3 191:13	92:21 94:24	69:20 72:17	recused
Rankkin	195:2 207:4	103:15 104:24	73:14 74:19,23	192:14
74:20	ready	113:10 114:17	76:2,7,14 84:3	Red
re-election	74:1 90:7	188:12 225:9	87:5,14 89:6	217:23
88:11	101:21,22	receives	90:8,13,22	reduce
RE-	reaffirmed	105:12	95:12 101:1,3,	41:22 159:14
EXAMINATIO	193:3	receiving	21 104:10	reduced
N	real	17:22 21:24	112:14 116:13,	194:13
164:15 192:20	20:13 28:13	recent	14 117:10	redundant
224:18	47:2 123:11	178:13	118:2,13,19	80:9
re-hear	130:15 151:14	recently	119:16 124:9,11	reelected
61:19	215:22 217:7	143:15 194:15	127:9,23 133:21	200:14
re-incarcerated	realize	recidivism	181:22 195:3	reelection
171:6	20:6 149:20	38:18	198:1,8,11,23	32:12 45:6
re-screen	realm	recipient	202:24 203:6	133:12 229:15
102:15	20:15 22:25	135:22 185:2,3	212:13,16	230:16
reach	reason	recipients	213:13 214:25	refer
93:18 132:3	10:17 14:4	187:18,19	215:1 217:8	164:17,25
react	16:14 21:3,13	recitation	219:18 220:6,10	reference
162:14 167:10	22:2 167:6	134:5	221:5,13,17	130:20 135:4
reacted	168:3 221:9	recognize	225:23 226:18	referring
165:24	223:16	13:15,23 57:17	228:8 229:20	142:7
read	reasonable	58:12 70:23	230:5,11	reflect
17:15 19:16	176:8 187:7	209:17	recording 164:25 165:8	74:19,23 76:2,7,
35:4 59:23	reasoning	recognized		14 104:16
60:16,17 61:1,2,	19:24	54:15	recordings	214:11
7,12,15 67:10	reasons	recollection	165:10	reflected
75:1 119:12	10:13 11:4	157:2,4,18	records	111:3
131:25 132:1	202:4	193:7 205:4	169:10 213:14	reflective
133:19 134:12,	recall	recommendatio	record's	46:18 183:13
13 141:3 150:5,	160:10 196:19,	n	199:11	reflects
22 161:5 164:21	24	153:8 164:8	recounted	116:13 198:11
165:16 166:6	receive	reconsider	173:2	
170:7 175:2	133:1	221:21	recounting	refresh 196:21
195:4 196:20	received	reconsideration	169:9	
199:4 202:3	11:23 15:12	115:11	recover	refuse 188:9
203:8 215:6	26:14,20 29:2	record	118:24	
217:11 219:18	31:15 40:2,4	14:17 15:24	recovery	refused
222:16 227:17	44:10 52:23	24:23 25:8 32:3	120:15	210:10
reading	55:17 64:19	35:13,22 44:23	recuse	regard
18:15 59:25	66:21 69:7	48:25 49:8 56:5	191:25	23:25 34:22
90:24 112:23	80:23 83:14	63:2,10 68:8		67:15,21 97:17
		03.2,10 00.0		
	1	1	1	1

99:16 134:11	relevant	229:13		213:18 226:12
194:19 196:10	113:4 130:16		reporting 214:2	
	150:13	remorse		requesting 111:22 146:22
regarded 106:18		119:6	reports	
	relief	remove	114:17 197:4,9	147:5,8
regret	82:15	117:25	203:20	required
86:13,16,17	reluctance	Reno	represent	218:25 229:8
regularly	191:4	38:10	41:14 194:1	requires
93:6 114:3	reluctant	repeat	Representative	40:9
177:21 190:7	186:16	61:12	17:24 73:3 77:2	reread
rehabilitation	remain	repeated	87:25 88:12	131:25
38:17	24:23 35:13	189:11	138:25 139:1	research
rehash	48:25 63:2	repeatedly	141:10 143:14	127:2
177:2	72:17 87:5	113:25 214:8	155:23 164:14,	resents
reinstate	175:5	repeating	15 169:23,24	132:5
171:12	remained	61:11 110:4	202:21 203:12,	resident
reinstated	116:13	replace	13,15,16	137:11 193:2,18
136:14 171:16	remaining	22:9	209:23,24	resolved
173:5,9 175:20	14:13 145:10	replete	224:23 230:6,18	13:12 175:24,25
relate	remarkable	33:7	231:1	228:25
84:25 127:20	16:11 96:9	replies	represented	resolving
related	remarks	7:8,18 73:9,20	53:12 82:6	14:23
31:2 150:11	25:10 26:23	76:23 77:8	135:18	resonated
relates	58:8	87:20 88:5 89:1	representing	38:11
84:12 146:24	remedies		59:3 165:5	
150:24 168:23	130:2	report	173:15	respect
	remember	24:24 35:14	reputation	12:4 14:25
relating		41:8 49:1 63:3	11:7 14:15 24:3,	30:12,18 53:8
52:11	138:3 156:21,24	72:18 87:6	9,10 28:4 31:1	58:12 80:20
relation	157:14,15	100:14 104:11	33:2 39:5 43:24	106:9 128:10
224:25			JJ.4 JJ.J TJ.4T	100 (100 15
447.43	158:13 161:19	111:10,11,23,24		129:6 132:15
relationship	162:2,8,9 164:3	112:10,13,24	45:10 46:1 51:25 52:2 55:4	183:8,9 187:14
relationship 39:9 71:24	162:2,8,9 164:3 180:17 183:3,4	112:10,13,24 113:14 134:6	45:10 46:1	183:8,9 187:14 191:7 199:17
relationship	162:2,8,9 164:3 180:17 183:3,4 199:5,6 203:25	112:10,13,24 113:14 134:6 197:1,3,5,7,8,10	45:10 46:1 51:25 52:2 55:4	183:8,9 187:14 191:7 199:17 200:13 201:15
relationship 39:9 71:24	162:2,8,9 164:3 180:17 183:3,4 199:5,6 203:25 204:1 205:2	112:10,13,24 113:14 134:6 197:1,3,5,7,8,10 203:11 213:24	45:10 46:1 51:25 52:2 55:4 56:23 66:8	183:8,9 187:14 191:7 199:17 200:13 201:15 229:1
relationship 39:9 71:24 131:6	162:2,8,9 164:3 180:17 183:3,4 199:5,6 203:25 204:1 205:2 217:22 220:5,21	112:10,13,24 113:14 134:6 197:1,3,5,7,8,10 203:11 213:24 214:1 229:21	45:10 46:1 51:25 52:2 55:4 56:23 66:8 68:18,20 70:16, 20 80:17 82:24	183:8,9 187:14 191:7 199:17 200:13 201:15 229:1 respected
relationship 39:9 71:24 131:6 relative	162:2,8,9 164:3 180:17 183:3,4 199:5,6 203:25 204:1 205:2 217:22 220:5,21 221:4,12,17	112:10,13,24 113:14 134:6 197:1,3,5,7,8,10 203:11 213:24 214:1 229:21 reported	45:10 46:1 51:25 52:2 55:4 56:23 66:8 68:18,20 70:16,	183:8,9 187:14 191:7 199:17 200:13 201:15 229:1
relationship 39:9 71:24 131:6 relative 148:22	162:2,8,9 164:3 180:17 183:3,4 199:5,6 203:25 204:1 205:2 217:22 220:5,21 221:4,12,17 remembering	112:10,13,24 113:14 134:6 197:1,3,5,7,8,10 203:11 213:24 214:1 229:21 reported 14:9 113:25	45:10 46:1 51:25 52:2 55:4 56:23 66:8 68:18,20 70:16, 20 80:17 82:24 84:15 85:6 92:16 94:8	183:8,9 187:14 191:7 199:17 200:13 201:15 229:1 respected 17:10 respectful
relationship 39:9 71:24 131:6 relative 148:22 release	162:2,8,9 164:3 180:17 183:3,4 199:5,6 203:25 204:1 205:2 217:22 220:5,21 221:4,12,17 remembering 205:14	112:10,13,24 113:14 134:6 197:1,3,5,7,8,10 203:11 213:24 214:1 229:21 reported	45:10 46:1 51:25 52:2 55:4 56:23 66:8 68:18,20 70:16, 20 80:17 82:24 84:15 85:6	183:8,9 187:14 191:7 199:17 200:13 201:15 229:1 respected 17:10 respectful 30:8 93:6 105:8
relationship 39:9 71:24 131:6 relative 148:22 release 24:24 35:14	162:2,8,9 164:3 180:17 183:3,4 199:5,6 203:25 204:1 205:2 217:22 220:5,21 221:4,12,17 remembering 205:14 remind	112:10,13,24 113:14 134:6 197:1,3,5,7,8,10 203:11 213:24 214:1 229:21 reported 14:9 113:25	45:10 46:1 51:25 52:2 55:4 56:23 66:8 68:18,20 70:16, 20 80:17 82:24 84:15 85:6 92:16 94:8 104:20,22 110:24 127:18	183:8,9 187:14 191:7 199:17 200:13 201:15 229:1 respected 17:10 respectful
relationship 39:9 71:24 131:6 relative 148:22 release 24:24 35:14 49:1 63:3 72:18	162:2,8,9 164:3 180:17 183:3,4 199:5,6 203:25 204:1 205:2 217:22 220:5,21 221:4,12,17 remembering 205:14 remind 24:16 35:6	112:10,13,24 113:14 134:6 197:1,3,5,7,8,10 203:11 213:24 214:1 229:21 reported 14:9 113:25 115:17 204:7	45:10 46:1 51:25 52:2 55:4 56:23 66:8 68:18,20 70:16, 20 80:17 82:24 84:15 85:6 92:16 94:8 104:20,22 110:24 127:18 128:7,19 226:21	183:8,9 187:14 191:7 199:17 200:13 201:15 229:1 respected 17:10 respectful 30:8 93:6 105:8
relationship 39:9 71:24 131:6 relative 148:22 release 24:24 35:14 49:1 63:3 72:18 87:6 100:15,16 202:7 229:20	162:2,8,9 164:3 180:17 183:3,4 199:5,6 203:25 204:1 205:2 217:22 220:5,21 221:4,12,17 remembering 205:14 remind 24:16 35:6 48:17,18 62:20	112:10,13,24 113:14 134:6 197:1,3,5,7,8,10 203:11 213:24 214:1 229:21 reported 14:9 113:25 115:17 204:7 reporter	45:10 46:1 51:25 52:2 55:4 56:23 66:8 68:18,20 70:16, 20 80:17 82:24 84:15 85:6 92:16 94:8 104:20,22 110:24 127:18 128:7,19 226:21 227:23 228:4	183:8,9 187:14 191:7 199:17 200:13 201:15 229:1 respected 17:10 respectful 30:8 93:6 105:8 180:10,13,20
relationship 39:9 71:24 131:6 relative 148:22 release 24:24 35:14 49:1 63:3 72:18 87:6 100:15,16 202:7 229:20 released	162:2,8,9 164:3 180:17 183:3,4 199:5,6 203:25 204:1 205:2 217:22 220:5,21 221:4,12,17 remembering 205:14 remind 24:16 35:6 48:17,18 62:20 72:10 86:23	112:10,13,24 113:14 134:6 197:1,3,5,7,8,10 203:11 213:24 214:1 229:21 reported 14:9 113:25 115:17 204:7 reporter 102:2,10 117:25	45:10 46:1 51:25 52:2 55:4 56:23 66:8 68:18,20 70:16, 20 80:17 82:24 84:15 85:6 92:16 94:8 104:20,22 110:24 127:18 128:7,19 226:21 227:23 228:4 request	183:8,9 187:14 191:7 199:17 200:13 201:15 229:1 respected 17:10 respectful 30:8 93:6 105:8 180:10,13,20 respective 111:23
relationship 39:9 71:24 131:6 relative 148:22 release 24:24 35:14 49:1 63:3 72:18 87:6 100:15,16 202:7 229:20	162:2,8,9 164:3 180:17 183:3,4 199:5,6 203:25 204:1 205:2 217:22 220:5,21 221:4,12,17 remembering 205:14 remind 24:16 35:6 48:17,18 62:20	112:10,13,24 113:14 134:6 197:1,3,5,7,8,10 203:11 213:24 214:1 229:21 reported 14:9 113:25 115:17 204:7 reporter 102:2,10 117:25 160:20 167:21,	45:10 46:1 51:25 52:2 55:4 56:23 66:8 68:18,20 70:16, 20 80:17 82:24 84:15 85:6 92:16 94:8 104:20,22 110:24 127:18 128:7,19 226:21 227:23 228:4	183:8,9 187:14 191:7 199:17 200:13 201:15 229:1 respected 17:10 respectful 30:8 93:6 105:8 180:10,13,20 respective
relationship 39:9 71:24 131:6 relative 148:22 release 24:24 35:14 49:1 63:3 72:18 87:6 100:15,16 202:7 229:20 released	162:2,8,9 164:3 180:17 183:3,4 199:5,6 203:25 204:1 205:2 217:22 220:5,21 221:4,12,17 remembering 205:14 remind 24:16 35:6 48:17,18 62:20 72:10 86:23	112:10,13,24 113:14 134:6 197:1,3,5,7,8,10 203:11 213:24 214:1 229:21 reported 14:9 113:25 115:17 204:7 reporter 102:2,10 117:25 160:20 167:21, 24 168:5,8	45:10 46:1 51:25 52:2 55:4 56:23 66:8 68:18,20 70:16, 20 80:17 82:24 84:15 85:6 92:16 94:8 104:20,22 110:24 127:18 128:7,19 226:21 227:23 228:4 request	183:8,9 187:14 191:7 199:17 200:13 201:15 229:1 respected 17:10 respectful 30:8 93:6 105:8 180:10,13,20 respective 111:23 respects

ı ••	1	164 1 100 20
revoking	rocks	164:1 198:20
135:8 181:23	215:11	ruled
rewarding	rode	59:7 109:5
10:25 27:10	207:16	rules
ribs	rods	29:14,22,25
222:22	120:7	40:9 52:6 94:13
Rich	Roland	100:10 146:20
39:3	91:21,25 95:17	ruling
Richland	role	22:11 105:13
62:3 141:19	12:11 81:3	109:1 221:20
142:10,11	109:19 129:7	rulings
143:24 144:25	160:2 180:24	12:6,18,19 52:7
146:5,11,14	182:14 193:20	93:1 105:15
175:7	195:6,17 200:17	106:24 109:10
ridden	201:24	run
207:16	room	24:7 31:3 46:9
ridiculous	30:2 46:13	86:18 128:24
152:22	178:2	144:1 155:11
right-wing	rooted	158:7 161:11
204:3	186:5	187:13
17 rightly	ROSS	running
218:7	27:20 30:19	11:20 49:14
rights	32:3 80:8 82:20	59:12
154:25 216:18,	84:3	runs
20 217:21,25	Rouge	81:6
218:5,8,9,13,18	71:18	ruse
219:2 222:15	Rouise	202:15
Ring	146:25 147:4	Rutherford
135:14	149:16 150:10	17:24 20:9
risk	routine	88:12 138:25
8 21:16	67:5,12 144:13	139:1 141:10
	145:12	143:14 155:23
rite	routinely	164:14,15
5 17:5	174:19	167:18 169:21
road		188:3 189:9
28:25 120:3	rude	202:21 203:12,
robe	41:23 54:7	13 209:23,24
18:7 95:22	106:21 107:4,17	230:18 231:1
Robert	rule	
8:11 9:18,21	29:17,22 60:22	
Rock	1	S
204:4	1	Sabb
	127:20 163:25	
_	Rock	Rock 61:4,6 94:12

71:14,15 74:11	39:21	225:6,11	78:24 102:18	serve
76:11,18 88:17	schedule	screenings	Senator	27:9 80:4 91:1
231:7,12	28:8,14 78:2	9:10 23:17	7:3,13 20:12	103:7 111:7
safe	193:9	26:18 37:15	71:14,15 74:11,	160:1
35:18 49:5	scheduled	46:21 50:16	20 76:11,18	served
87:12 149:15	28:12 93:15	64:18 79:7 91:6	88:17 98:4,5,7,	38:4 40:20,23
safeguards	scheduling	103:13	9,13 99:13,25	52:15 102:14
144:13	93:11	screwed	206:11 231:7,12	175:19
safer	school	164:11	send	service
144:8	21:18 34:19	scrutinize	21:6,14 62:10	14:21 16:5 17:8
safety	71:17 72:1	84:17	158:1	20:19 25:2 34:1,
146:3,17	81:23 217:22	search	sending	22 35:17 46:16
Safran	scope	9:8 26:16 37:13	85:25	49:4 52:16 58:3
75:12,17,24	187:6	50:14 79:5 91:4	senior	63:6 65:19 71:8
76:4 87:16	score	103:12	198:2 204:10,18	72:22 85:11,13
176:22,23	70:21 228:2	seasoned	sense	87:9 103:4
206:9,10 222:8	scored	167:11 173:20	20:23 23:19	104:3
231:3	24:6	seat	105:5 106:8	services
sake	scram	88:11 117:15	113:20 182:23	48:1,3
130:16	144:23 145:11	197:20 230:17,	221:22 228:12	serving
sales	screened	24	sentence	10:8,11 23:17
154:8	19:15 23:13	seconded	18:9 52:19	24:4 27:24,25
salt	45:23 90:14	75:14,19,24,25	110:14,15	51:14,15 80:10,
22:7	102:12	76:6 88:14,15	121:20 141:15	12 92:6,7 95:19
sarcastic	screening	secretary	153:8 160:2	99:24 103:25
165:12	10:3 11:11	199:14 212:3	190:5 196:6	104:4
sat	12:23 23:19	section	202:4 210:7,24	session
170:7 198:7	24:15,22 25:11	15:22 36:2 73:4	218:15 220:18	7:4,10,11,13,14,
satisfaction	27:17 31:12,17	196:22 207:6	sentenced	20,21 73:11,12,
188:4,7,21	35:12 38:24	sector	18:8 218:17	15,16,22 76:19,
satisfied	44:7,12 46:14	138:10	220:24,25	25 77:1,3,10,11
201:1 218:16	48:24 51:9 52:9,	security	sentences	87:17,22,23,24
save	14 55:14,19	89:16,22 91:12	109:25 211:1	88:1,7,23 89:3, 4,6 229:9 230:7,
142:2	62:19 63:1	seek	216:2	8,9,11,12
SC	65:21 69:4,9	154:19 155:2	sentencing	sessions
95:9	72:9,16 77:19	seeking	121:4,5 196:4	107:12 138:8
scared	80:6 83:11,16	133:12	220:10,16	193:11,13
58:22 136:5,9	86:22 87:4	sees	separating	set
scarring	94:21 95:1	132:20,21	96:11	60:15 122:7
164:2	99:23 100:13	selection	seriousness	130:8,10 170:17
scenes	104:12 112:10,	9:2 26:10 37:7	59:10	188:1 190:13
	24 113:13	50:9 64:12		100.1170.13

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	1
sets	shot	signify	134:8,13 136:21	221:19 223:21
130:18 215:22	57:2 115:14	7:5,15 73:4,16	137:1,8,14,16	230:24
setting	shouldn't	74:18 75:14,19	142:25 144:17	sixth
199:23	13:16 163:22,23	76:1,7,19 77:4	152:14 154:10	17:17
settle	195:25 214:19	88:1,16,23	156:18 157:2	Sixty-three
60:11	215:23	silent	158:7 159:21,25	227:22
settled	show	116:13	166:12 168:6	slam
66:5	10:14 89:20	similar	170:3,5 172:2,4,	22:5
shame	130:13 230:21	12:25 24:6	8,12,16,19,21	slammed
106:3	showers	simply	173:13 174:6,9	222:22
shape	101:12	24:11 51:21	176:13,16,21	slapped
196:4	showing	72:2 140:10	177:16 178:20,	122:3
share	170:6	150:20,21	23 183:17 186:9	SLED
72:4 137:20	shown	157:25 198:14,	192:18 193:17,	104:11
176:24 186:24	17:9 81:4 114:5	21 199:12	19 197:15,17,20	sleep
190:15	shrinking	Sinai	203:7 207:18	118:25
shared	186:25	17:22 18:7	225:2,4	slightly
183:15	sickens	sincere	sit	146:13 150:2
sheriff	159:12	105:19	59:11 60:20	
141:2,5 142:1	side	single	67:19 122:19	slosh 101:11
144:1 145:5	29:1 38:13,15,	138:14 164:3	125:6 130:4	
161:10 199:2,9,	20,21 81:21	165:23 166:20	141:15 155:24	smart
21 212:2,4	120:2 121:23	169:17 213:3	186:22 213:4	12:2
214:5 215:12	129:15 159:15	singling	215:24	Smith
224:5 230:3	185:8 216:10,14	117:8	sits	7:1,7,9,12,17,19
she's	222:12	sir	132:19	8:1,9,13,18,21,
62:11 136:5	sides	18:3 26:8 27:18	sitting	25 10:2 13:16
139:23 168:1	22:12,18 81:5	36:19,21 37:4	45:6 56:22	16:3 17:4 18:1,
191:14	129:13 221:24	38:25 39:4	70:13 84:10,12	5,13 19:3 20:9
shields	sign	49:23 51:7 64:1,	85:1 132:20	24:13 25:5,7,11, 15,19,23,25
211:9	42:7 107:8	4,10 65:22	162:4 179:20	26:3,7 27:16
shockable	119:24 145:19	78:11,13,16,22	189:9 212:12	32:8 33:24 34:8,
51:19	200:24 220:2	80:7 90:6 100:7,	213:12 226:14	13,24 35:4,21,
shoplifting	signals	20 101:18	situated	23 36:2,5,10,12,
174:21,25	91:10	102:10 103:4	153:17	15,20,22,25
short	signed	108:12 110:6	situation	37:3 38:23 45:3
snort 114:4,16	28:17 107:10	112:12,15,17,	38:19 159:4	48:13,17 49:7,9,
, ·	significance	19,21 119:19	180:12	11,16,19,24
shortly 112:9,22,23	114:13	122:21 123:1,4,	six-year	50:2,6 51:8
175:24 176:18	significant	7,13,19,23	102:16	56:10,18 62:17
204:25	114:19	124:1 131:13,17	Sixteenth	63:9,12,19,22
ZU4.23	114.17	133:9,14,18,20	113:21 125:9,16	64:2,5,9 65:20
				, , ,
	l	l	1	I

69:25 70:7,11	144:1,10,18	160:9 171:22	sounds	168:14 189:16
71:7,14 72:7,24	152:21 158:20	182:21,22 191:5	18:12 160:3	190:16 205:5
73:3,8,10,13,19,	159:10 165:20	193:5,16 207:21	205:25	specifically
21 74:14,17,23	166:5,9,10,13,	210:23 221:11,	source	70:19 131:12
75:13,18,25	15 168:24	16	53:16	132:8 139:7
76:5,12,22,24	169:4,18 170:1,	solution	South	160:25 165:20
77:2,6,9,14,16,	11,13,16,17	163:6	12:8 15:21 16:5	168:17 180:4
22,25 78:7,12,	171:19,21,23	solutions	25:2 31:25	specifics
14,17,21 79:20	172:23 173:11,	105:6	35:17 44:4,20	155:8 178:25
80:1 84:8 85:15,	12,15 174:7	solve	46:18 49:4 56:2	spectrum
23 86:2,6,10,14,	179:1 180:24	51:22	57:20,23 63:6	188:13
16,21 87:12,16,	184:12 186:23	somebody's	69:17 71:9	speed
19,21,24 88:4,6,	193:1 195:7	164:22 165:12	72:22 81:23	230:1
15,21,25 89:2	198:2,3,10	201:9	83:25 87:9	spend
224:23	199:2,14 200:8	someone's	94:12 100:10	42:1 217:6
Snelgrove	201:8,9 203:18,	144:24	106:3,11 125:21	spent
49:9,10,13,17,	22 204:11,18,19	son	148:8 225:19	41:18
23 50:1,5,8,24	206:14 209:16	102:22 115:15	227:5	
51:2,6,7,11 53:1	210:9,14,19	118:9,21,22	Spartanburg	sphere
54:24 56:10,17	211:4,8,12,25	119:4,8,21,25	98:11 143:25	195:10,16
58:14,16 59:7,	212:4 213:2	120:1,6 121:10,	145:19 157:24	spirit
20 61:22 62:10,	215:12,13	18 122:3 140:5	222:4	24:18 35:8
18 63:7 76:3	217:23 218:1	158:25 219:16	speak	48:21 62:22
sober	221:18,19	230:1	11:2 17:21	72:12 86:25
33:18,21	223:12,14,15,17	son's	18:18 30:5	100:8 129:3
society	224:5 226:20	118:23	71:21 81:11	229:16
34:4,11 105:9	230:3		82:3 95:21	spit
soft	solicitor-run	sort 32:10 96:16	116:18 134:4	101:7
188:7	145:25		135:1 151:2	spoke
sold	solicitors	133:3 176:10	158:24 179:4	122:25 135:3,6
146:6	113:25 144:6,8,	sorted	219:16,20	180:18 212:11
solicitation	11 157:25 160:6	175:17	220:6,23 221:3,	220:5
190:1	184:16 186:2	sought	6 223:23,25	spoken
solicitor	188:22 200:19	15:11 31:15	speaking	12:11 40:15
19:9 116:2,5,18	205:25 214:5	44:10 55:17	149:6 155:9	130:2 131:18
125:9,16	215:21 223:22	69:7 83:14	192:22	203:22 206:13
126:11,13,23	solicitor's	94:24 115:10	speaks	sponte
120:11,13,23	113:22 114:12,	225:9	41:10	141:7
129:19 137:11	14 115:19 116:8	sound	specific	square
139:2,4 140:10	126:16 127:6	23:1,3	131:20 134:11	137:9,19
141:2,24	133:2 142:11	sounded	136:8 156:9	St
142:13,20	143:7 144:25	18:6 123:7	160:15,18	97:5
	145:17,23 150:6			

stabbed	7:2 21:18 23:14	27:4 36:17	Stole	66:18 208:11
152:24 175:3	59:24 121:16	37:19,25 49:20	80:1	
176:6	192:22 226:9	50:21 51:5	stomach	striving 66:11
stability	starting	63:23 64:22	177:6 179:2	Strom
14:12 30:23	13:8	65:7 78:9 79:11,	222:21	1
43:21 68:15	state	17 90:5,19	stones	35:25 58:14,15, 17 59:9 61:17
83:3 94:5	7:19 9:8 14:25	91:17 101:19	16:14,15 22:2	62:7,16 74:10
110:21 127:18	16:5,17 25:2	102:8 103:18,21	1	97:12,13,14,21,
staff	26:16 28:23	119:12,14 128:3	stood	25 98:3 101:12
16:23 28:4	34:18 35:17	167:19 229:7	36:1 119:10	159:8,9 160:8
33:12 39:15,17,	37:13 45:23	statements	136:5 181:25	182:24 188:23
23 46:7 58:8,11	49:4 50:14	181:24 216:24	stop	231:8,12
66:9 67:6,18,20	57:21,24 58:3	states	17:24 119:24	Strom's
91:21 92:12	63:6 64:17	114:8,16 127:6	126:7 139:11	176:25
103:20 129:1,17	70:22 71:9	145:20 149:22	157:25 177:24	
134:23 159:14	72:22 73:21	219:5	220:1	strong
163:2 168:9	77:10 79:5 87:9	state's	stopped	114:17 137:23
177:14 184:2	91:4 92:14		129:11,12	stronger
190:7 195:21	103:11 104:8	12:1 218:1 220:12	168:22	29:19
203:14,21 206:4	105:11 104.8		stops	strongly
stand	20 113:18	stating	190:14	54:4 113:22
41:25 42:4	115:16 116:1,16	108:6	story	191:4
181:3 200:21	118:2 128:12	station	22:18 51:17	struck
	144:14 160:8	10:19	61:19,24 72:4	190:23,24
standard	165:5 171:22	statute	straight	stuck
212:25	173:16,25	121:21 141:3	135:13	38:14 71:20
standing	184:12,17	stay	strangle	student
19:21 105:9	185:11,25	62:5 116:11	223:7	72:1
136:9 144:9	186:13,23	121:25 149:14	strangling	study
156:9 161:25	187:17 197:25	stayed	222:24	9:6,10 26:14,18
192:23 198:3	198:9 223:6	24:11 136:14	streamlines	37:11,15 50:12,
223:18 226:14,	227:12 229:16	174:2 186:5	99:9	16 64:16,18
21 227:10	stated	steel	stressed	79:3,7 91:2,6
standpoint	14:16 27:6 55:5	96:12	178:17	103:10,13
201:13	68:19 106:5	stems	stressful	193:14
start	110:25 115:1,22	129:11	91:22	stuff
11:16,17 16:4	116:21 158:24	step	strike	28:9,18 129:21
45:4 60:6,7	203:19 211:15	122:5 154:14	22:15 213:5	206:2
67:17 95:18	219:15 222:8,	186:16	strikes	stupid
159:17 183:11	20,24 223:12	Stephen	22:14 108:21	210:3,4
185:8,16 217:14	statement	111:20	185:17	sua
226:5	8:14 9:15,20	stick	strive	141:7
started	25:20 26:24	175:4	Surve	
	25.20 20.27			
	I	I	I	1

sub-part	summary	136:22	143:8 144:24	150:10,25
44:21	13:20 14:16		159:19 175:13	150:10,25
	31:2 55:5 68:19	surveys 11:24 12:25	184:3 199:18	23 166:21,24
subject 179:8 191:14	110:25 124:16	16:7 21:10 29:3	200:13	167:15 168:8
206:16	summit	32:25 40:3		169:18 206:15
	18:6	45:13 52:24,25	systems 142:12	212:15,17
submitted 8:15 25:21		56:21,24 66:21	142.12	talking
	Sumter 46:24	70:19 80:23,24		13:2 42:2 47:8
36:18 49:21 63:24 78:9		84:18 92:22	T	59:15 70:13
112:9,23,24	super	104:25 133:7,8	table	143:22 148:21
112.9,25,24	126:10 196:21	215:7 226:22	101:14 223:1,2	149:21 150:13
127:2	supermarket	228:4	tack	155:16 158:4,12
	10:19	Susan	226:3	160:19 164:16,
submitting	supply	97:22		19 165:12,17
15:4 31:6,14	28:19		tackles	172:15 183:11
43:25 44:9 55:9,	support	suspect	201:16	189:10 211:24
16 68:23 69:6	8:8 227:21	186:15	tactful	talks
83:5,13 94:15,	228:16	swear	29:8	17:12 156:4
23 224:19 225:8	supported	78:3	takes	
subpoenaed	194:9,16,22	swing	12:6 60:1 63:17	Talley
218:25	supports	22:15	81:8 130:7	7:13 98:4,5,7,9,
subsequently	126:24	switch	187:25	13 99:13
104:15	supposed	36:5	taking	203:15,16
successfully	129:14 155:18	sworn	40:11 129:12	206:11
93:18	173:15 194:20	8:12,13,22 9:20	163:1 180:4	taping
sudden	Supreme	25:17,20 26:4,9	182:21 213:21	67:8
207:11	148:9,10,18	27:4 36:13,16	220:25	target
sufficient	149:23 150:19	37:1,25 49:17,	talent	155:7
82:13	surmise	20 50:3 51:5	15:2	tasked
suggest	187:25 211:5	63:20,23 64:6	talk	32:10 45:5
147:1 190:25	surprise	65:7,10 78:8,18	32:12 43:5,6	56:19
195:2 212:8	214:19	79:17 89:14	58:6 67:19,25	taste
213:7	surprised	90:5,19 101:16,	121:23 132:13	177:8
suggested	29:24	19 102:8 118:5	136:7 139:15	tasted
115:17	surreptitiously	123:16 125:13	146:15 151:6,8	177:9
suggesting	21:7	synopsis	155:18,23	taught
184:21 185:19		131:21	156:7,12,14	47:13 99:11
suit	survey 9:6 18:22 26:14	system	172:17 178:24	taxes
29:20 52:13,21	29:4 37:11 40:5	40:21 59:1,2	182:20 191:4	120:22
sum	50:12 56:25	67:8,11 85:11	211:21	tea
177:13	64:15 66:22	106:15 112:5	talked	228:23
summaries	79:3 91:2 92:23	115:2 128:16	98:15 136:22	teach
66:5	103:9 105:1	129:4,8 138:11	139:13 146:25	34:16
00.5	103.9 103.1			
	I	I	1	1

			1 -0-1	1
teachable	135:17 146:4	thanking	205:15,21 212:5	186:2 195:24
107:23 201:17,	192:22 196:7	80:3	213:14,15	202:7 205:11
20	210:20 211:22	that's	214:1,7 218:19	211:2,4 219:9
team	term	10:1,14,17 11:4	219:5 220:19,20	they've
97:5 185:15	11:16 27:10,15	13:24 14:4 17:7	221:7 222:15	61:2 118:25
technology	102:14,16 104:9	18:3,22 19:3,18	224:2 225:3	126:8 140:15
140:22	terms	20:7 21:2 22:5	227:13 229:2	200:21 207:8
telling	11:20 13:21	23:9 26:6 28:16	theme	213:25
154:23 156:21,	23:25 38:12	29:16 30:1	206:17	thing
24 157:9 181:9	93:2 98:25	33:25 34:12	themself	12:22 13:1,3
187:14	189:7 193:10,11	35:2 42:3,4	45:16 57:5	19:16 20:25
temper	195:12 208:7	46:2,7 47:24	theoretically	21:20 22:17
11:14 54:8	terrible	48:9 53:23 58:9,	60:3	33:14 38:7 42:3
temperament	178:4	25 61:16 76:8,	thereof	45:8 46:7 47:9
12:10 14:15	terrified	14,15 80:20	124:17	48:7 59:20
16:21 17:12	182:1	84:17 86:2,17	there's	74:12 84:17
19:23 21:5,11	terrorized	98:23 99:5	16:10 21:10,11,	134:11 153:5
29:7 31:1 32:15	208:16	107:19 109:10	16 38:17 45:17,	159:11 165:7
33:2 40:7 43:24	testified	110:13,15	18 51:16 57:6	172:14 174:3
45:10 54:6 55:5,	140:5 165:21	122:24 126:6	61:5 65:13	176:1 181:15
6 56:24 68:18	166:12	129:13,14 131:4	81:21 84:22	184:9 185:4
70:16,21 71:1	testifies	132:11,17	92:10 112:18	186:21 189:25
80:20 81:2	8:12 25:18	136:15,17	126:1 132:11	190:22,24 200:5
82:24 84:15	36:14 49:18	140:18 142:6	152:2 170:22	201:19 204:20
85:7 93:12,20	63:21 89:15	150:20 151:10	171:17 175:9	207:15 220:9
94:9 106:1	101:17 118:5	152:19 153:5,9	178:6 183:15	things
110:24 113:20	123:16 125:13	155:2,9 156:11	188:20 190:25	11:20 16:4
126:17 127:19	testify	157:12 158:8	197:4 209:17	28:15 31:3
133:23 138:20,	9:14 26:22	159:6,24 161:4	214:1 217:20	34:19 35:4
22 183:9 227:19	37:18 50:20	162:11,13,21,22	218:13 231:10	38:10 39:21
temperate	64:21 79:10	163:6,7 164:1,	they're	54:17 57:3
189:7	82:8 91:9	10 165:22	22:19 30:12,16	66:18 70:12
temperature	103:17 156:17	168:4,14 169:7	39:20 45:16	84:11,16 92:20
20:2	218:23,24,25	171:12 172:1	48:2 57:1,4 60:2	96:10 98:19,23
temporary		173:14 175:1,	82:15 84:13,19	99:7,10,12
59:22 60:5,14,	testifying	10,19 176:12	101:22 117:21	143:9 153:20
19,25 61:4	139:12 170:6	178:7 180:20,25	130:11 142:5	162:17 169:16
98:18	testimony	182:9,16 184:7,	143:22 144:4	170:8,9 171:8
	8:23 26:4,9,21	12 185:1 186:3,	149:5 153:19	175:15 177:11
ten	37:1 50:3 64:6	4 187:19 190:11	161:21 163:15	182:10,16
17:11,14,22	78:18,23 207:3	196:3,15 197:18	167:8 176:3	186:17 223:8
40:13 60:2	Texas	199:24 200:11	177:22 178:13	thinking
63:16 75:4	148:23	202:18 204:15	1,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	11:9 149:5

	1	1	1	1
thinks	thrown	183:4 186:7	told	tracking
195:25	16:15 22:2	188:5 198:5	26:23 85:21	150:1
think's	thundering	205:16,21	101:13 121:11	tractor
45:9	73:25	207:11 208:13	130:14,17 135:9	28:19
Thirty	ticked	209:6,17 211:5,	157:11 167:7,24	trafficking
158:10	17:11	11 212:10	168:5,12 169:9	210:8,18
thirty-five	tight	214:17 216:1	183:2 189:6,21	tragic
58:18	222:23	219:11 220:7,8,	200:5 210:4,9,	117:3 228:11
Thomas	time	24 221:5 228:3	11 212:11,13	Tragically
79:15,17	8:5,19 9:25	times	224:22	115:13
thought	11:14 12:6 13:7	47:1 51:21 52:4	Tolson	transcript
35:2 43:11	16:18,25 17:6	60:18 98:10	161:10	18:16 112:1
58:19 85:20	19:13 23:16,19	105:14 127:7	ton	115:1,23,24,25
102:23 103:1	24:6,25 26:1	130:6 131:5	42:16	116:10 135:5
104:22 116:11	29:24 33:6	141:12 177:25	tone	139:3 140:6
136:4,6 171:13,	35:15 36:23	202:18 211:23	164:22	164:19,22
19 186:20	39:10 40:12,16	217:25 223:15,	tones	165:11,23
188:10 190:15	41:3,17,20 42:1,	24	18:10	166:20 168:7
205:13 211:12	16,25 45:2	tips	tongue	169:17 171:7
thoughtful	46:19 47:4,19,	120:19	174:2	191:2,9,13
92:25 227:3,5	25 48:10 49:12,	today	tool	199:8,9
thoughtfulness	25 51:16 53:18	8:6,23 9:13 16:2	20:21,23 99:6	transcripts
14:24	54:9 58:24 59:4,	25:1,12 26:5,21	toot	115:20 118:9,18
thoughts	16,23 61:4,7	32:6,9 35:16,23	39:25	164:16 165:15
59:19 98:22	63:4 64:3 65:14	37:5,18 40:1	tooth	166:1,23
three-day	70:13 72:19	41:8 45:1 49:3,9	120:9	167:14,17 168:2
93:16	78:15 81:3 82:5,	50:4,18 56:8	top	169:11,21
three-year	16 87:7 91:23	60:9 62:11 63:5,	13:8 16:16 33:5	translate
210:7	97:19 98:14	13 64:7,20	123:8	165:13
threshold	99:2,10 115:9	69:23 78:19,24	total	transmitted
210:21	116:24 117:12	79:10 84:6 87:8	23:22 177:13	198:16
threw	118:12 120:10,	89:21 95:15	totally	transpired
223:1	24 121:5,8,25	102:24 117:20	22:21	191:6
throat	122:6,7 125:3	128:4,20 159:5	touch	trauma
152:24 175:3	129:16,17,18,21	163:18 169:12 181:10 185:21	96:13 209:12	108:6,8,10
176:6	135:21 139:15,	203:18 204:20	tough	travel
	16 143:22 146:5	205:18 204:20	56:12 106:7	30:16
throw 16:14 21:21	149:3 158:17	225:25 226:6		travelers
49:15 160:21	159:5,10 162:9 164:3 166:25	228:13	town 187:20	97:25 98:1
215:11	164:3 166:25			travels
	174:12 176:9	Today's 75:10	track	49:5 87:12
throwing	174:12 176:9	/3.10	145:6 150:18	77.5 07.12
131:4	1/0./ 101.12			
	l		<u> </u>	l

treat	trials	106:16	undermined	unique
16:21,22,23	62:1 81:16	two-day	114:14	17:18 33:25
33:11,12 46:5	trip	62:4,7,14	understand	110:8 191:7
48:7,9 58:7,8,11	35:18	two-thirds	15:20 31:24	unison
81:15,22 96:17	Trotman	138:7,19 185:12	41:5 44:19 46:3	32:15
107:15,23 111:5	66:4	193:12,15	48:2 56:1 69:16	United
128:10 132:13,	troubles	type	83:24 95:8	149:22
14 155:10	208:13	206:22 221:20	100:20 107:17	unnecessary
161:24 191:22	troubling	typically	110:4,11 122:3	121:8
201:22 202:20	181:8 182:10	67:4,18,21	129:7 136:15,16	unnerving
211:23		07.4,10,21	147:9 158:21	179:22
treated	true 21:23 66:13		160:15 165:3	
96:18 107:25	186:3	U	171:11,25	unopposed 88:10
144:11,12 161:7		U.S.	172:17 177:9	
163:22 167:9	trust 160:11 217:4	52:10	189:19 196:25	unqualified
179:22 184:8		Uh-huh	201:12 207:10	33:4 71:1 85:2,
195:20,21	truth	142:14	216:20 225:18	4,5
206:15 212:2	18:24 78:4		229:23,24	unreasonable
213:13,14	123:18	ultimately 175:11 196:10	understandable	153:3 156:3
222:10	truthful		93:3	Unusual
treating	22:23	umpire	understanding	137:18
46:12 80:19	truthfully	129:15 185:14	29:14 109:13	updated
treatment	10:16	unacceptable	177:12 178:5	104:16
42:12 108:3,14	Tuesday	156:11	218:6	updates
126:18 133:1	158:1	unanimity	understands	8:19 25:25
134:20 168:16	tumult	188:20	132:22	36:22 49:24
203:21 205:25	129:22	unanimous	understood	78:14
211:3,17 212:20	turmoil	45:9 74:24	206:21	upfront
214:24 215:14,	134:19	75:15,20 76:2,8,	unethical	81:22
15,16	turn	14 88:18	212:22 213:24	uphold
treats	73:24 74:1	230:19,21	214:3	173:15 200:22
12:3 33:10 53:7	103:19 111:9	unanimously	unexpired	upholding
106:8 132:21	185:6	231:5,12	102:14	46:17 57:18
133:24 138:22	turned	unbiased	unfair	58:1 71:11
trepidation	176:5	105:10	117:1 185:3	85:10
179:14	turns	uncontested	unfavorable	upset
trepidatious	175:11	81:15 84:10	105:15	119:7 178:4
179:14	twang	99:1		188:12 199:15,
trial	70:4,10	undergrad	unfortunate	19
12:9 30:11 62:4,	twelve	71:21	173:17	upsetting
7,14 65:14	22:1 24:8	undermine	Union	179:22
93:17	Twenty	22:9	113:6	upstate

30:20 36:6	75:3 89:5,11,16,	181:25 188:11	114:13,15 115:3	wait
43:18 98:9	22,25 90:2,7,11,	192:1 204:6	135:21 143:10	112:11 185:16
USC	22 91:12,16,25	216:21 218:2,	168:18 198:4	waiting
43:6	95:17 96:3,8,19,	10,14,15,22	204:5,9	13:3,19
Usry	24 97:4,10,13	219:11 220:2	violent	waive
74:8 75:5	98:4 99:14,19,	221:5,15	115:4	154:25
Usury	22 100:1,4,8,21	223:16,18	violets	waives
75:7	101:2,7,11,15,	victims	187:1	154:1
	18,23 102:1,11,	108:4,5,13,16,	virtually	
uttered	17,21,25 103:22	23 143:24 144:8	59:21	walk
173:6	112:11,13,16,	173:16 176:3		46:19 47:17
·	18,20 117:14	201:13 202:1,2	virtue	48:5 73:1 178:1
${f V}$	118:4,14	216:18,24	170:23 207:9	208:16 228:23
	119:16,20	217:19,21,24	visitation	walks
valuable	122:9,12,16,19,	218:1,3,5,8,17,	82:17	30:11
13:7 20:20,23	24 123:2,5,11,	20 219:1 220:5	visiting	walls
vast	14,17,20,24	222:14 223:10	93:15 97:23	200:9,12
68:9	124:2,8,15,20	victim's	193:2 211:3	wand
veins	125:1,6,17,23		voice	98:20
120:13	126:6,10 127:10	223:19,23	17:19 70:2	wanted
venting	158:18 159:8	video	164:22	33:17,24 59:14
177:25	164:14 169:23	67:8,11	vote	70:23 71:5 72:4
verbal	176:22 192:20	view	73:25 74:3,5,14	119:18 121:12,
100:4	197:19 202:21	24:19 35:9	88:7,9,17	13 152:10
verification	203:1,7,10,15	48:22 62:23	159:24 230:14	176:9,12 198:23
9:7 26:15 37:12	206:9 209:23	70:14 72:14	231:14	204:13 208:20
50:13 64:17	216:16 217:1,9,	87:1 109:9	voted	209:11 218:21
79:4 91:3	14 224:11,14	137:20 162:25	230:15	wanting
103:11	226:2,8,10	206:21	votes	19:10 186:11
vernacular	227:13 228:19,	violate	7:22 73:22	warrant
96:25	21 229:5,10,25	144:5	74:13,25 75:6,7,	28:17 174:19,21
	230:3,6,10,20	violated	8 77:12 89:6	175:12,16,19
versus 42:12 82:2	231:4,7,16	115:8 142:16	230:12	176:11,14,17
	Vicki	171:15,16	voting	198:16,18
148:22,23 193:10		violation	74:20 88:18	warrants
	49:17 50:24	100:11 145:21	74:20 00:10	99:9 111:16
vestry	51:2,5 76:3	146:20 229:17		113:13 174:20
96:22 97:5	victim	violations	\mathbf{W}	
vicarious	82:8,11,16	24:20 35:10	Waffand	Warren
179:20	116:5,10 117:21	48:22 62:24	Wafford	66:2
Vice	131:1 135:11	72:14 87:2	34:2,4,19	wasn't
18:12,18 20:13	136:4 142:3,4,	violence	wailing	21:19 58:22
22:25 23:5,11,	18 145:2 159:2	108:5,22	129:23	59:25 120:25
24 70:1 74:22	167:20 176:2	100.3,22		139:6,15 143:20

	1	<u> </u>	1	1
152:18 159:4	37:6 50:6 56:16	12 227:17	125:5,8 126:13	won't
176:8 179:9	64:10 67:17	228:15	127:13 224:15,	120:20,21
186:20	74:4 88:21	whatsoever	18 225:22	131:24 155:1
watching	172:17 174:22,	22:3 63:14	willingness	word
179:21	23 190:2	116:6 123:9	49:3	33:7 136:10
water	we're	128:3 148:13	Wilson	149:8 212:11
101:9	7:2,12 16:19	what's	85:18	words
ways	60:16 62:2	11:18,19 19:19	window	164:23 170:16
107:19 183:9	73:13,14,23,25	20:19 45:7,11	120:2	173:4 193:25
188:7	76:24 77:9 78:1	61:13 66:14	wing	work
wealth	86:12 88:6,7	82:12 107:8	11:16	8:6 19:4 20:5
105:4	89:2 98:13	131:24 160:7	winks	23:9 25:3 28:7
Web-ex	101:2 107:2	170:9 172:3	91:13	29:18 34:25
99:9	117:14,15 124:2	173:10 178:18	wise	39:6,20,21
Webex	128:8 131:15	181:14 183:13	106:6	40:17 51:23
28:13 99:5	133:1 138:8,13,	187:23 208:25		63:8 71:8 72:21
165:1,8	18 143:21	227:14	wished	79:23 80:14,18
1	149:20 150:13	Whetstone	161:1	92:11 97:20
week	154:18 155:4	74:8 75:5	wishes	99:17 106:1
9:24 11:17 13:5	156:2 164:10,11	who's	116:25 159:17	107:20,21 111:3
28:18 34:6 62:3 77:20 209:5	172:1,5 174:24,	84:25 156:9	withdraw	119:2 120:6,21,
	25 181:14 184:8	193:25	148:3	24 128:14 131:6
weeks	185:11 187:17,	who've	withdrew	138:7 190:7
11:12 104:22	18 188:17 189:9	17:5 19:4 70:23	23:20	202:17 205:7,9,
112:25	195:13,14	188:6	withhold	19 213:1 224:6
weigh	197:21 214:7,	wide	149:23 150:14,	worked
20:18 67:25	17,23 217:6	14:20 111:1	17	47:25 128:22
110:13	222:1 224:2	widely	witnesses	130:14 137:25
weighs	we've	115:17	9:13 26:21	149:11 169:4
202:8	16:24 17:4,16	wife	37:18 50:19	217:23 222:12
weight	26:13 32:17	8:4 17:24 18:2	59:15 79:10	worker
24:21 35:11	37:10 41:21	23:5 25:14	91:9 103:16	81:7
48:24 62:25	59:4 64:19 74:5	101:13 115:9,14	150:11	working
72:15 87:3	75:10 77:17	122:24 123:6	woman	40:22 106:11
100:13 227:20	84:9 98:14 99:7,	169:1,4 207:13	136:12 171:1	119:21 120:16
229:18	8 128:19 129:2	· ·	wonderful	206:1
weren't	131:12 136:22	WILKINSON	19:16 29:8	workload
158:24 160:16	159:10,14 170:1	103:23 110:17	30:16 35:24	193:1,4,8
221:10	175:6 182:12,13	112:8,12,15,17,	46:1 65:12 72:2	works
we'd	188:8 203:17	19,21 117:19	85:8 93:11	39:17 119:22
78:2	206:13 214:8	118:7,12,17 119:13 122:10,	97:15 137:24	159:24 190:19
we'll	217:2,6 218:7	17,22 124:10	wondering	137.27 170.17
9:1 26:8 34:7	224:5,8 226:11,	17,22 124:10	185:20	
L				

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	1
world	132:11 160:17	177:4 178:19	you'd	222:17 226:24
203:8	175:13,17 189:1	179:25 180:1	91:17	228:21 229:7
worried	190:11 203:19	182:25 183:1	you'll	you've
68:3 121:9	wrongly	186:6,24 196:2,	90:11 102:1	8:15 11:22 14:1
worry	114:7	7 200:3 210:10	117:16,24 118:1	16:24 17:11,13
195:23	wrote	213:7 216:8	125:12 185:6	20:16 21:24
worse	97:7 127:1	217:24	197:20 198:1	23:11,17 24:4
153:21 181:14		yell	you're	25:21 26:23
213:10	Y	162:5 164:6	10:21 11:18	34:13,18 40:14
worst		204:22	13:15,19 16:16	43:15 45:25
57:1 200:5	year	yelled	20:2,4 22:1,10,	48:7 58:18
worth	17:17 23:21	120:4 164:5	11,23 28:14	59:11 61:6,22
116:22 186:20	28:10 32:10	179:5 199:25	33:20 34:1	63:24 71:2
wouldn't	45:5 54:12,13	202:13	46:15,17 48:14	72:21 89:16
96:20 131:23	59:16 102:14,15	yesterday	57:15 58:1,10	98:9 104:16
159:2 160:11	107:12 115:15	74:4 77:21	59:15 60:8,9	123:17 149:9
182:5 184:25	143:16 165:2	York	61:11 70:7	150:5 151:23
192:6 218:3	175:18 186:19	113:6 115:5	71:10,11 74:1	154:18 158:9
228:7	210:19,22	128:5,7,11	77:16,18 85:5	159:12,13,20,22
Wow	220:11	140:13 142:23	97:15 99:17	166:6 167:1,3
28:6 35:2	years	143:7 144:14	100:1 101:11	169:12 174:7
wrap	17:11,14 19:5	145:9 146:2,4,	110:9 112:16	178:17 180:22,
177:11	20:1 21:5 22:1	11,13,21 147:5	118:1 125:6	24 186:7 187:13
wrapped	24:8 27:24	148:23 149:7	129:13,14,15	188:2 189:10
222:23	28:10 38:5,6,9	150:6 156:22,25	133:17 139:11,	207:15 209:15,
	42:17 43:14	157:14 204:9	12,14 142:7	16 216:4,12
wreck 120:9	45:23,24 47:18,	207:10,19	143:1,21 144:15	226:19,23
	21 51:14 58:18	210:6,17 211:2	145:6 146:8,10	228:13
wrestled	63:16 66:16	217:23 220:21	147:6 148:23	y'all
98:15	79:23 80:11	young	150:16 151:23	7:25 9:23,24
write	86:15 92:6	43:12 46:8	154:19 158:4,12	20:1 21:3 22:21
170:21	93:14,22 102:13	47:18 74:9 75:6,	160:19 161:23,	25:6,13 28:6
writing	103:25 104:3	8,9 93:4 119:21	25 165:17	35:19,20 36:6
124:5 135:10	105:22 107:9,13	135:19 140:4	167:11 172:10	49:14 72:5 86:2
written	120:20 121:7	152:8 161:25	175:9 178:1	87:10 100:22
12:14 29:12	128:5,22 135:19	162:23 173:18	181:9 184:21,	129:20 132:24
53:9 66:2,3 67:9	141:16 143:12	198:3,9 200:19	22,24 185:19	145:23 160:5
81:9 94:1 96:21	152:9,22 153:1,	209:4,5 210:8,	186:12 187:14,	163:1,17,21
106:16 124:18,	7 158:10 162:23	18	20 192:12 193:3	190:21 202:3
21 147:4 181:23	166:8 167:8	younger	196:22 197:23	203:19,23 206:1
207:4	170:2 173:19	43:9,10 60:6	201:8 206:5	230:1,4
wrong	174:5,7,10,15,	134:23	216:5,11,24	y'all's
122:2,4 130:13	25 175:7,8,15		217:18 218:19	130:16

Z ealously 194:24 195:22			
	7		
24 195:22 194:24 195:22			
194:24 195:22	ealously		
	194:24 195:22		

Garber Reporting info@garberreporting.com